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Abstract

The hydraulic conductivity of a connective tissue is determined both by the fine ultrastructure of the extracellular matrix and the effects of larger particles in the interstitial space. In this study, we explored this relationship by examining the effects of 30 or 90 nm diameter latex nanospheres, or low-density lipoproteins (LDL) on the hydraulic conductivity of Matrigel™, a basement membrane matrix.

The hydraulic conductivity of Matrigel™ with latex nanospheres or LDL particles added at 4.8% weight fraction was measured and compared to the hydraulic conductivity of Matrigel™ alone. The LDL-derived lipids in the gel were visualized using transmission electron microscopy and were seen to have aggregated into particles up to 500 nm in size. The addition of these materials to the medium markedly decreased its hydraulic conductivity, with the LDL-derived lipids having a much larger effect than did the latex nanospheres.

Debye-Brinkman theory was used to predict the effect of addition of particles to the hydraulic conductivity of the medium. The theoretical predictions matched well with the results from adding latex nanospheres to the medium. However, LDL decreased hydraulic conductivity much more than was predicted by the theory.

The validation of the theoretical model for rigid particles embedded in extracellular matrix suggests it could be used to make predictions about the influence of particulates (e.g. collagen, elastin, cells) on the hydraulic conductivity of the fine filamentous matrix (the proteoglycans) in connective tissues. In addition, the larger-than-predicted effects of lipid-like particles on hydraulic conductivity may magnify the
pathology associated with lipid accumulation, such as in Bruch’s membrane of the retina
during macular degeneration and the blood vessel wall in atherosclerosis.
INTRODUCTION

The hydraulic conductivities of connective tissues are primarily determined by the ultrastructure of their extracellular matrices. The concentration and molecular dimensions of the glycosaminoglycans, proteoglycans, glycoproteins and/or collagen microfibrils in these tissues control the extent to which these tissues are permeable (21). However, the hydraulic conductivity can also be influenced by larger structures that are embedded in these matrices such as cells, elastin and collagen fibers. With aging and in disease, lipids accumulate in tissues such as blood vessel walls (12, 33) and in the human eye, in Bruch’s membrane behind the retina (4, 29, 32). This lipid accumulation can reduce transport through these tissues, and in some tissues, can have pathological implications (1, 22, 29). Here, we investigate how the inclusion of such material modifies the hydraulic conductivity of an extracellular matrix.

Matrigel™ Basement Membrane Matrix is a solubilized basement membrane preparation extracted from the Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm (EHS) mouse sarcoma. The major constituents of Matrigel™ (including collagen type IV, laminin, and heparin sulfate) are the same as those found in basement membranes in general, such as Bruch’s membrane. We previously used this matrix as a model for transport through basement membranes and determined its hydraulic conductivity as a function of perfusion pressure (24).

In the current study, we added latex nanospheres or low-density lipoprotein (LDL) to Matrigel™ preparations and determined the hydraulic conductivity as a function of perfusion pressures. By comparing our results to the hydraulic conductivity of these
preparations without these materials, we determined the extent to which the hydraulic conductivity was reduced by such inclusions. We also compared our results to predictions using the Debye-Brinkman theory.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Materials

Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM} (20.2 or 20.6 mg/ml) was obtained from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). These samples were thawed to 4°C in a refrigerator and transferred by pipette into individual 150 µl aliquots, each in a 0.5 ml plastic micro-centrifuge tube, and then refrozen at -20°C. This process was done in order to minimize the need to thaw and refreeze the Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM} samples. The night before use of a sample, an aliquot of Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM} for each trial was taken out of the freezer and allowed to thaw in a beaker in the refrigerator.

For control samples (no particulates), the Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM} was diluted to approximately a 1% weight fraction using water that was filtered through a Nanopure Infinity Ultrapure water system (Barnstead, Dubuque IA). The resulting solutions were mixed by hand with a small metal bar and by pipetting up and down until they appeared uniform. These solutions were equilibrated with Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma, St. Louis MO) before the start of an experiment.

Latex nanospheres with diameters of 30 or 90 nm at a 10% weight fraction in water were obtained (5000 Series Latex Microspheres; Duke Scientific, Fremont CA).
Equal volumes (150 µl each) of 30 or 90 nm nanospheres in solution and Matrigel™ at 4°C were combined and then mixed together as described above. This resulted in a solution with approximately 1% Matrigel™ and 5% nanospheres (weight fractions). The volume fraction of nanospheres in this solution was 4.8% based on an average density for the nanospheres of 1.05 g/mL (matched to LDL, see below).

*Lyophilized human plasma low-density lipoproteins (LDL)* samples were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis MO). Each vial of LDL contained between 17.3 and 21.3 mg of lipid, associated proteins, and 0.3 mg of EDTA(23). The lyophilized powder from five to six vials was combined with 1 ml of purified water (with approximately 1 mg/ml of sodium azide) in a beaker to make a 100 mg/ml lipid solution. The solution was mixed with a stir bar at a medium setting for 4 – 5 hours, until the solids had completely dissolved. As with the nanosphere solutions, 150 µl samples of the lipid solution were mixed together with 150 µl aliquots of Matrigel™ at 4 °C and stirred both manually and with a pipette until the solution appeared homogenous. This resulted in a solution with approximately 1% Matrigel™ and 5% LDL (weight fractions). The volume fraction of LDL in this solution was 4.8%, based on an average density for LDL of 1.05 g/mL reported by the supplier.
**Apparatus and Perfusion Procedure**

Our methods closely follow those previously described (24). Briefly, a computer-controlled perfusion system was used to maintain a constant perfusion pressure while determining the flow rate passing through the system (Figure 1). The gel preparations were placed into a custom made acrylic flow chamber, between two 50 nm pore-size, 13 mm diameter polycarbonate filters (Millipore, Billerica MA) that were supported by two porous glass frit discs, 12 mm in diameter (Adams & Chittenden Scientific Glass, Berkeley CA). These filters were used to trap the gel within the flow chamber. A rubber O-ring, 1.75 mm thick with an inner diameter of 9 mm, provided the lateral walls of the sample space surround the gel. Surrounding this O-ring, a flat steel washer, 1.25 mm thick with outer diameter 47 mm and inner diameter 32 mm, acted as a solid spacer that determined the initial thickness of the gel. Leakage testing was done on the system to ensure that flow channeling around the gel samples did not occur during our measurement process (23, 24).

The perfusion procedure involved placing the sample to be tested into one half of the flow chamber, on top of one of the filters and inside the O-ring. The sample was allowed to solidify for 45 minutes at room temperature. The two halves of the flow chamber were then clamped together until each half rested against the steel spacer, preventing further clamping. The volume of sample used in the experiment was chosen such that when the two halves of the flow chamber were clamped together, being separated only by the spacer, the sample would be compressed by approximately 10%. This was done in order to ensure a tight seal and prevent leaks. The device was allowed
to sit until the pressure, built up during the clamping process, returned to a stabilized value for approximately 15 minutes, which typically took 4 hours.

The perfusion fluid was Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma, St. Louis MO). For each perfusion pressure to be investigated, the system was perfused with PBS at constant pressure until the flow rate had stabilized at a constant value over the previous hour. It typically took at least 5 hours to ensure the flow rate had in fact reached a constant value. The experiment was continued for an additional 5-10 hours at this stabilized value to determine the hydraulic conductivity. A variety of pressures between 3 and 100 mm Hg were investigated, with each gel preparation used to make measurements at 1-3 perfusion pressures.

The flow resistance (R<sub>gel</sub>) of a gel sample was found by determining the total flow resistance of the system (pressure drop divided by flow rate: ΔP/Q) and then subtracting off the resistance of the system without the gel. This latter resistance was found to be 23.6 ± 5.7 mmHg/µL/min (mean ± S.D, n = 5)(24). Resistance values of the gel samples were typically greater than 150 mm Hg/µL/min, making the resistance of the system without the gel less than 15% of the total measured resistance. Hydraulic conductivity (L<sub>p</sub>) was determined as:

\[
L_p = \frac{1}{A R_{gel}}
\]  

(2)

where A (0.64 cm<sup>2</sup>) is the cross-sectional area of the gel facing flow. Typical variability in the measured hydraulic conductivity during an experiment was approximately 10%.

Specific hydraulic conductivity, necessary for comparison with our theoretical model, was determined as(21):

\[
K = (\mu L) L_p
\]  

(3)
where \( \mu \) was the viscosity of the perfusion fluid and \( L \) was the flow wise length of the medium. The flow wise length as a function of perfusion pressure was determined in our previous study on Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM}(24), and is shown in Figure 2 (the compaction was shown to be reversible). The curve shown is the best fit of the data(24), and was used to determine \( L \) for the current study.

In addition to measurements on Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM} preparations, thickness tests were performed on a 1\% Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM} sample with 90 nm nanospheres (4.8\% volume fraction) included. The gel was compressed at 175 mmHg and thickness measurements for deformation of the gel with nanospheres were compared to thickness measurements for a 1\% Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM} sample perfused at the same pressure.

**Electron microscopic examination**

In order to characterize further the effects of lipid accumulation on the hydraulic conductivity of Matrigel\textsuperscript{TM}, images of the gels with the lipids were obtained using the osmium-thiocarbohydrazide-osmium, tannic acid-paraphenylenediamine (OTAP) method. This post-fixation method for thin-section transmission electron microscopy was pioneered by Guyton et al. (14) in order to better visualize lipids in extracellular matrix and to distinguish lipid vesicles composed of phospholipids and unesterified cholesterol from neutral lipid droplets containing cholesteryl esters in atherosclerotic arterial tissue. Membranous material is uniformly stained black, while lipid droplets are typically translucent, usually with a black border (5, 19).

Gels were fixed in 1\% glutaraldehyde in PBS over night and then washed in PBS. They were then rinsed and treated with 1\% osmium in 0.1 M PBS (2.5 hr), 1\% tannic acid (gallotannin, C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{10}O\textsubscript{9}, 30 min), 1\% sodium sulfate (Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, 5 min), 70\% ethanol
(3×5 min), and 1% paraphenylenediamine in 70% ethanol for 30 min. Gels were dehydrated, infiltrated, and embedded in PolyBed 812 (Polysciences, Warrington PA). Thin sections were examined using a JEOL1200 EXII transmission electron microscope (JEOL USA, Peabody MA). Images were taken (Kodak EM film 4489), scanned at 600 dpi, and analyzed for particle sizes and area fraction using NIH Image. Volume fractions were estimated by assuming them equal to the measured area fractions, appropriate for a medium with randomly dispersed components(27).

**Statistical Methods**

The thickness measurements for 1% Matrigel™ (8 measurements on one gel) were compared to those for 1% Matrigel™ with 4.8% 90 nm nanospheres (6 measurements) perfused at the same rate and pressure using a t-test for 2-samples assuming unequal variances.

A linear regression analysis was used to fit the flow rate vs pressure drop data (Figure 4) for Matrigel™ (M), Matrigel™ + 30 nm particles (M30), Matrigel™ + 90 nm particles (M90), and Matrigel™ + LDL (LDL). The slopes were compared and were considered to be significantly different from one another if the p value for this comparison was less than 0.05.

**THEORETICAL MODEL**

A theoretical description of the effect of solid particles within a gel on that medium’s hydraulic conductivity was developed to better understand the experimental data. We used Darcy’s law

$$\nabla P = \frac{\mu}{K_m} \vec{V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
to describe the superficial velocity field \( \vec{V} \) around a set of spheres embedded in a
matrix of specific hydraulic conductivity \( K_m \) driven by a pressure \( P \). Darcy’s law has
been used to characterize transport through macromolecular networks in a variety of
geometries(7, 21, 28, 39).

We used the unit cell approach in which a single particle surrounded by matrix is
modeled in a finite domain such that the fraction of this domain occupied by the sphere is
the same as the volume fraction of spheres in the medium. A spherical domain is chosen
for analytical simplicity(15).

The continuity equation is introduced into equation (4), with \( K_m \) assumed to be
constant over the small length of an individual unit cell, resulting in Laplace’s equation
for the pressure distribution:

\[
\nabla^2 P = 0
\]  

(5)

Boundary conditions are chosen such that (a) there is no flow normal to the surface of the
particle, and (b) a uniform flow is entering and leaving the domain at the periphery:

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial r}\bigg|_{r=r_s} = 0 \quad (6a)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial r}\bigg|_{r=r_b} = -\frac{\mu V_0 \cos \theta}{K_m} \quad (6b)
\]

where \( V_0 \) is the mean superficial fluid velocity in the medium.

Equations (5) and (6) can be solved by introducing spherical harmonics with the
result that

\[
P(r, \theta) = -\frac{\mu V_0}{K_m (1 - \phi)} \left( r + \frac{r_s^3}{2r^2} \right) \cos \theta
\]  

(7)

where \( \phi = r_s^3/r_b^3 \) is the solid fraction of particles in the medium.
The mean pressure gradient in the medium in the direction of flow can be found as:

\[
\frac{P(r_b, \theta) - P(r_b, \pi - \theta)}{2 \nu \cos \theta} = -\frac{\mu V_0}{K_m (1 - \phi)} (1 + \phi/2)
\]  

Comparing this with Darcy’s law (in 1-D), we can conclude that the effective specific hydraulic conductivity (K) of a porous medium of specific hydraulic conductivity \(K_m\) containing a solid fraction \(\phi\) of impermeable particulates is:

\[
K = K_m \frac{(1 - \phi)}{(1 + \phi/2)}
\]  

Darcy’s law does not allow the no-slip boundary condition at the particle surface to be satisfied, and thus shear forces at the surface of the particle have been neglected in this approach. To include these forces, it is convenient to rewrite equation (9) in terms of the net force acting on each solid particle (\(F_p\)). By integrating the pressure force (equation 7) acting on the surface of a particle to find \(F_p\), we can then determine that:

\[
\frac{\mu V_0}{K} = \frac{\mu V_0}{K_m} + \frac{\phi}{4/3 \pi a^3} F_p
\]  

Brinkman’s equation allows the no-slip condition to be satisfied and has been successfully used to model transport through macromolecular networks (6, 8, 9, 31, 39, 40). Brinkman determined the force acting on a sphere of radius \(a\) in a porous medium of specific hydraulic conductivity \(K\) through which a fluid passes at an interstitial velocity \(V\) and found (2):

\[
F_p = 6 \pi \mu a V \left[1 + \frac{2a}{3 \sqrt{K}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{a^2}{K}\right)\right]
\]  

The terms in the brackets in equation (11) represent, respectively, the Stokes drag on the particle, the additional shear forces acting on the particle due to the presence of the porous medium (including other particles in the medium), and the additional pressure.
forces acting on the particle due to the presence of the porous medium (again, including other particles in the medium). Note that we will use $K$ rather than $K_m$ in equation (11), as hydrodynamic interactions between the particles are included in this approach. If the limit of particles much larger than the matrix inter-fiber spacing is considered ($r_a \gg \sqrt{K}$), we find that:

\[
K = K_m \left(1 - 2.5\phi \right) \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)}
\]  

This is the same as equation (9) to order $\phi$. The difference between the two equations is that equation (12) includes hydrodynamic interactions between nearby particles, where this small correction is not included in equation (9). To account for the viscous effects at the surface of the particle, equation (11) is substituted into equation (10). Recognizing that $V = V_0/(1 - \phi)$, we find that:

\[
K = \left[1 - \frac{9\phi}{2K_m(1 - \phi)} \left(1 + \frac{r_a}{\sqrt{K}} + \frac{r_a^2}{3K}\right)^{-1}\right]
\]  

Note that equation (13) is an implicit equation for $K$, as originally pointed out by Brinkman. This arises because the particles alter the specific hydraulic conductivity of the medium that in turn affects the drag force acting on the particles themselves.

Solutions to equation (13) as a function of particle radius ($r_a$) are shown in Figure 3. The figure shows that when $r_a$ is much greater than $\sqrt{K_m}$ (a length scale characterizing fluid flow through a porous medium), particle size has little effect on hydraulic conductivity. For our gels, $\sqrt{K_m} \sim 4$ nm, and thus for $\phi=0.05$, hydraulic conductivity becomes insensitive to particle size for particles larger than approximately 100 nm.
Equation (13) can be written as a quadratic equation for $K$. To solve this equation as a function of perfusion pressure requires values of $\phi$ and $K_m$ as functions of pressure. $\phi$ increases with increasing perfusion pressure due to compression of the gel in the flowwise direction (Figure 2). To account for this effect, we let $\phi = \phi_0 (L_0/L)$ where $L_0$ is the unpressurized length of the gel, and $\phi_0$ is the original volume fraction of nanospheres in the gels (4.8%).

We have previously determined $K_m$ as a function of pressure(24). We use the biphasic model that we developed(24) to fit these data. This model characterizes Matrigel™ in terms of three parameters: the non-deformed specific hydraulic conductivity, $K_0$; the confined compression modulus, $H_A$; and a parameter $M$ that characterizes the dependence of the specific hydraulic conductivity of the gel on the extent of tissue compaction. We used $H_A = 36 \text{ mm Hg}(24)$, $M=1.17(24)$, and then did a best fit to the 1% Matrigel™ (diluted from 2%) data to find $K_0 = 2.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^2$. The result of this fit is shown on Figures 5 and 6 (see below).

The suspensions that were prepared included 1% Matrigel™ and either 4.8% nanospheres or 4.8% LDL (by volume). Thus, in the compartment around the particulate material, the actual concentration of Matrigel™ will be higher than 1%. This higher concentration of Matrigel™ (1.049%) will decrease the value of $K_m$ as compared to 1% Matrigel™. In our previous study of Matrigel™(24), we used a fiber matrix model that allowed us to predict the dependence of $K_m$ upon concentration of Matrigel™. Using this model, we find that 1.049% Matrigel™ will have a value of $K_m$ approximately 1.067 times smaller than will 1% Matrigel™. Thus, we reduced the fitted values of $K_m$ by a factor of 1.067 and used these values in equation 12 and 13, as appropriate.
RESULTS

Figure 4 shows the measured flow rate of the different preparations at perfusion pressures from 3 to 100 mm Hg. All preparations showed an increase in flow rate and a decrease in specific hydraulic conductivity (Figure 5) as the perfusion pressure was increased. Statistical comparisons of the four groups (Table 1) showed significant differences in slope except for the Matrigel™ + 30 nm particles versus the Matrigel™ + 90 nm particles.

Addition of latex nanospheres decreased the hydraulic conductivity of the Matrigel™ modestly, with the effect being most apparent at the higher perfusion pressures. At lower perfusion pressures, there was considerable scatter in the data making it more difficult to determine whether or not the latex particles affected the hydraulic conductivity. No difference was seen when comparing the effects of the 30 nm latex nanospheres to the 90 nm latex nanospheres. The pressure-induced compressions in Matrigel™ and Matrigel™ + 4.8% 90 nm nanospheres samples were measured, and no significant difference was found (p > 0.05).

LDL added to the gels had a much larger effect on the specific hydraulic conductivity of the Matrigel™ (Figure 6) than did the latex nanospheres (Figure 5), even though the volume of LDL added to the gels was similar to the volume of latex nanospheres added. This effect was easily apparent at all perfusion pressures and similar in magnitude at all pressures. The addition of 4.8% LDL typically reduced the specific hydraulic conductivity by 40-60%.
Figure 7A shows a micrograph of Matrigel™ alone, while Figure 7B-D shows micrographs of a preparation containing Matrigel™ and 4.8% LDL. While Matrigel™ appeared relatively uniform, typically, the images of Matrigel™ and 4.8% LDL showed elliptical translucent spaces in the gel, and small, electron-dense particulate material. The sizes of the translucent spaces were variable, with most spaces between 400 and 500 nm in diameter, and their total area covering approximately 5.1% of the micrographs. These translucent spaces are presumed to be composed of the cholesteryl esters that make up the interior of native LDL particles (see Discussion).

The particulate material was usually found on the edges of the open spaces, but it could also be found in the center of the spaces (Figure 7C) and had an average diameter of approximately 60 nm. Occasionally, but not often, regions were seen with particles as small as 30 nm in diameter (Figure 7D) that were more heavily stained on their periphery and less stained in their center, reminiscent of the appearance of neutral lipid droplets(13). This electron-dense particulate material may be derived from the outer shell of native LDL, including apolipoproteins, phospholipids, and unesterified cholesterol (see Discussion). These results suggested that nearly all of the LDL, which is 23 nm in diameter in its native state(3, 37), had aggregated into larger particles.

Comparison of theory with data. For the Matrigel™ with nanospheres, the theory generally showed good agreement with the experimental measurements, except at the lowest pressures (Figure 5). As expected, the theory predicted similar, though lower K values for the 30 nm particles than the 90 nm ones. Although the predictions show good agreement with all the experimental measurements, the small difference between 30 and
90 nm predictions is not apparent in the experimental data and statistical tests did not indicate a significant difference between those groups (p>0.05).

Model predictions for effects of addition of LDL to the Matrigel™ preparations are shown in Figure 6. We made predictions for three cases: 23 nm particles using equation (13), 60 nm particles using equation (13), and the large particle limit as found in equation (12). We chose to examine model predictions for the effects of 23 nm particles on hydraulic conductivity, as this is the size of individual LDL particles, and the effects of 60 nm particles were examined, as electron dense particles of this size were observed in the micrographs. We also examined the large particle size limit (equation 12) as our morphological results suggested that most of the LDL had formed aggregates 400-500 nm in size.

The most surprising feature of Figure 6 is that the data showed a much larger effect of the lipid particles on K than predicted by the theory, regardless of particle size used in the theory. This large effect was seen across the entire range of pressures investigated.

**DISCUSSION**

The hydraulic conductivity of connective tissues is important to the normal function of a variety of organs and tissues including cartilage(25), the kidneys(7), the aqueous humor outflow pathways in the eye(18), and the interstitium(21). While the hydraulic conductivity of these tissues is primarily determined by the ultrastructure of the small fibrils making up these connective tissues, larger structures such as cells, collagen fibrils, and elastin fibers can also influence hydraulic conductivity. In aging and disease,
accumulation of lipids in a tissue can decrease the hydraulic conductivity of a tissue. Such lipid accumulation has been hypothesized to limit transport to and from the retina, thus contributing importantly to age-related macular degeneration(1, 11, 34).

Bruch’s membrane is a connective tissue in the eye through which nutrients must pass to reach the retinal pigment epithelium, the layer of cells underlying the retina that support photoreceptor function. Bruch’s membrane accumulates lipoprotein-like particles with age(17). The hydraulic conductivity of Bruch’s membrane decreases significantly with age, a phenomenon hypothesized to have pathological implications(34). The role of lipid accumulation in this decreased hydraulic conductivity has not yet been established.

Accumulation of particles in a porous medium can decrease the hydraulic conductivity of this medium in three ways. First, they reduce the available area for flow, thereby increasing the interstitial velocity and thus decreasing the effective hydraulic conductivity of the medium. Second, due to the mean pressure gradient in the porous medium, there will be a net drag force on a particle due to the flow through the porous medium. Neither of these two effects depends on the radius of the particle. Their combined effects on hydraulic conductivity are described by equation (12). Finally, when particles are particularly small (when \( r_a / \sqrt{K} \) is of order one or smaller), then shear forces on the particle further reduce the hydraulic conductivity of the medium as described by equation (13).

By combining Matrigel, as a model of a connective tissue, with either 30 nm or 90 nm nanospheres, and measuring the specific hydraulic conductivity, we were able to validate equations (12) and (13). Only at low perfusion pressures did the model fail to
give good agreement with theory. In our previous study, we found considerable variability in our results at low perfusion pressures(24).

Further experimental validation of these equations could be conducted using a larger range of volume fractions of solid particles. However, lower volume fractions would be expected to have a smaller effect than the 4.8% solutions used in this study, and even at 4.8% volume fraction, only a modest effect was seen. Larger fractions would be ideal to investigate, but we did not find commercially available weight fractions >10% (that yields a 4.8% volume fraction when mixed with MatrigelTM), likely due to nanosphere aggregation at higher weight fractions.

In a similar vein, the effects of the radius of particles could be investigated over a larger range of radii. Figure 3 shows that there is a negligible additional effect on K as the particle size is increased past 100 nm for \( \phi=0.05 \), a prediction that our nanosphere results are consistent with (Figure 5). Particles smaller than 30 nm would be predicted to have an increased effect, but as the size of the particle decreases with respect to the inter-fiber spacing of the matrix, the particles will become too small to be retained in the matrix\(^1\), a situation our theory is not developed for.

Given the generally good agreement between the theory and experimental nanosphere data, the larger-than-expected effect of lipids on the specific hydraulic conductivity was surprising. In order to have the theory predict as much of a decrease as seen in the experimental data for the lipids, either the particle size would have to be much smaller than expected or the volume fraction would have to be much larger than expected. Based on equation (13), a large fraction of the particles would have to be less than 8 nm.

\(^1\) A pore size can be estimated as a mean interfiber spacing(26). Using characteristic dimensions of the macromolecules comprising Matrigel(24) at a 1% weight fraction, we find an average pore size of 5.8 nm and a maximum pore size of 28 nm (99% of pores are smaller than this size).
in diameter to account for the measured flow resistance. This size is much too small to be the native LDL particles, which are typically 23 nm in diameter.

The possibility that LDL particles could be broken down to form smaller particles is unlikely due to the self-aggregation tendencies of LDL. Khoo et al. describe the self-aggregation of LDL subjected to brief vortexing, which results in the formation of particle clumps up to 400 nm in diameter (20). This observation indicates that LDL particles should be aggregated and larger after their re-hydration, due to the mixing involved, rather than smaller than their initial diameter. Further evidence of aggregation in our experiments was obtained through electron micrographs of the Matrigel™ with lipids added.

The micrographs of Matrigel™ with LDL (Figure 7B-D) show two main features: small electron-dense particulate matter that is approximately 60 nm in diameter, and larger, irregularly shaped areas of electron translucence, up to 500 nm in diameter. We interpret the translucent spaces as being originally composed of cholesteryl esters that constituted the bulk of the interior of native LDL particles, with some of this material being lost in processing for electron microscopy. The OTAP methodology is designed to minimize this loss, but is only partially successful (19). The electron-dense particulate matter is interpreted as arising preferentially from the outer shell of native LDL particles, consistent with reports by other investigators using the OTAP methodology(4, 5, 14, 16, 19). Further evidence supporting this interpretation is that the area fraction of the translucent areas (and including the small volume of particulate matter) in the micrographs was 5.1% of the total area, close to the volume fraction of lipids initially put into the gel.
In order to match our theory to the experimental data for Matrigel™ with LDL, the volume fraction of lipids would have to be approximately 15%. We can suggest no mechanism by which the lipids might affect such a large volume fraction of the gel. However, our results do suggest that lipids are very efficient at blocking flow through a connective tissue. This could have significant implications to the understanding of the pathophysiology of aging and age-related macular degeneration.

A different application of our theoretical model relates to the forces generated on a cell in an interstitial flow. These forces include both the shear forces and pressure forces. Investigations into these forces have focused on the effects of shear stress,(10, 31, 35) such as on smooth muscle cells (36, 38). As indicated in our discussion following equation (11), the shear forces and pressure forces can be separately identified in this equation. Using physiological values for the radius of a smooth muscle cell and the specific hydraulic conductivity of the arterial wall, such as those used by Tada et al. (36), \( r_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} \) cm and \( K = 1.5 \times 10^{-14} \) cm², one can compute the relative importance of the pressure and shear stress terms in the this equation. Using these values, the contribution to the force on the cell from the pressure term is nearly 300 times larger than the contribution from the shear stress. This suggests that pressure forces should be considered when examining the effects of interstitial flow on interstitial cells, a conclusion recently reached by another group(30).

In conclusion, our results have demonstrated that Brinkman’s equation well describes the effects of particulates on the hydraulic conductivity of fibrous porous media such as are found in the extracellular matrix. This model could be used to determine the influence of larger particulates (e.g. collagen, elastin, cells) on the hydraulic conductivity.
of the fine filamentous matrix (the proteoglycans) in connective tissues. Our results also show that lipids have a larger effect on hydraulic conductivity than solid latex beads, although the mechanism by which this additional hydraulic resistance is generated is not yet understood. This effect may be magnifying pathologies associated with lipid accumulation in extracellular matrices. These findings may shed new light into the physiology of aging and the pathophysiology of age-related macular degeneration and atherosclerosis.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1  Schematic of experimental system and flow chamber.

Figure 2  Length of 1% Matrigel™ in flow-wise direction (cm) as a function of perfusion pressure (mm Hg).

Figure 3  Specific hydraulic conductivity (K) of gel with added round particles as a function of particle radius ($r_o$) for three particle volume fractions in the gel of $\phi = 0.01$, 0.05, and 0.1. $K_m$ is the specific hydraulic conductivity without added particles ($\phi = 0$) and $\sqrt{K_m}$ is the characteristic hydrodynamic length scale of the gel. Using $K_m \approx 2 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^2$, the effect of particle size on K becomes constant for radii greater than $\sim 100$nm.

Figure 4  Flow rate of Matrigel™, Matrigel™ with 4.8% latex nanospheres, or Matrigel™ with LDL added as a function of perfusion pressure (mmHg). Each data point represents one experiment.

Figure 5  Specific hydraulic conductivity (K: cm$^2$) of Matrigel™ or Matrigel™ with 4.8% latex nanospheres added as a function of perfusion pressure (mm Hg) along with theoretical predictions. Each data point represents one experiment.
Figure 6  Specific hydraulic conductivity (K: cm$^2$) of Matrigel™ or Matrigel™ with 4.8% LDL added as a function of perfusion pressure (mm Hg) along with theoretical predictions. Each data point represents one experiment.

Figure 7  Micrographs of a preparation containing Matrigel™ (7A) and one containing Matrigel™ with 4.8% LDL (7B-D). While Matrigel™ was relatively homogenous (Figure 7A), typically, the images of Matrigel™ with LDL (Figures 7B, C) showed elliptical translucent spaces in the gel, and small, electron-dense particulate material. These translucent spaces are presumed to be composed of the cholesteryl esters that make up the interior of native LDL particles (see Discussion). Less typically, much smaller particulates were seen in the gel (Figure 7D). Scale bars are: 400 nm (7A, C), 1000 nm (7B) and 200 nm (7D).
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Table 1: p-values for the statistical comparisons between slopes of flow rates versus pressure for different Matrigel™ preparations: Matrigel™ (M), Matrigel™ with 30 nm nanoparticles added (M30), Matrigel™ with 90 nm nanoparticles added (M90), Matrigel™ with LDL added (LDL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M30</th>
<th>M90</th>
<th>LDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>p = 0.0019</td>
<td>p = 0.0416</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>p = 0.4081</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>p = 0.0011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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