Muscle blood flow response to contraction: influence of venous pressure
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Valic, Zoran, John B. Buckwalter, and Philip S. Clifford. Muscle blood flow response to contraction: influence of venous pressure. J Appl Physiol 98: 72–76, 2005. First published September 17, 2004; doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00151.2004.—The skeletal muscle pump is thought to be at least partially responsible for the immediate muscle hyperemia seen with exercise. We hypothesized that increases in venous pressure within the muscle would enhance the effectiveness of the muscle pump and yield greater postcontraction hyperemia. In nine anesthetized beagle dogs, arterial inflow and venous outflow of a single hindlimb were measured with ultrasonic transit-time flow probes in response to 1-s tetanic contractions evoked by electrical stimulation of the sciatic nerve. Venous pressure in the hindlimb was manipulated by tilting the upright dogs to a 30° angle in the head-up or head-down positions. The volume of venous blood expelled during contractions was 2.2 ± 0.2, 1.6 ± 0.2, and 1.4 ± 0.2 ml with the head-up, horizontal, and head-down positions, respectively. Although altering hindlimb venous pressure influenced venous expulsion during contraction, the increase in arterial inflow was similar regardless of position. Moreover, the volume of blood expelled was a small fraction of the cumulative arterial volume after the contraction. These results suggest that the muscle pump is not a major contributor to the hyperemic response to skeletal muscle contraction.

Fundamental to the muscle pump theory of blood flow control is that muscular contraction lowers venous pressure within the active muscle, thus widening the arteriovenous pressure gradient. However, the relationship between venous pressure within the muscle before contraction and the ability of the muscle pump to produce skeletal muscle hyperemia is a question open for investigation (8). In the present study, baseline venous pressure in the canine hindlimb was manipulated by postural changes. As arterial pressure remained constant with postural changes and venous pressure was elevated in the head-up position, we reasoned that maximal contraction of the hindlimb muscles should produce larger decreases in venous pressure in the head-up position compared with the head-down position. Thus we hypothesized that muscle contraction would elicit larger increases in skeletal muscle blood flow in the head-up position.

METHODS

All experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with the American Physiological Society’s Guiding Principles in the Care and Use of Animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the Medical College of Wisconsin. Nine purpose-bred beagle dogs (13 ± 0.5 kg, mean ± SE) were used in this study. Anesthesia was induced with bolus intravenous infusion of 100 mg/kg α-chloralose and 500 mg/kg urethane into the antecubital vein and was maintained with continuous intravenous infusion of 20 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹ α-chloralose and 100 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹ urethane. The animals were intubated and ventilated with room air by a mechanical ventilator (Harvard Apparatus, Dover, MA). Respiration tidal volume was set to 15 ml/kg and end-tidal PCO₂, measured with an infrared analyzer (Ohmeda, Miami, FL), was kept in a range between 35 and 40 Torr by adjusting respiratory frequency. Arterial blood samples were regularly taken for measurement of arterial PO₂, PCO₂, and pH (model ABL-30, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). If necessary, metabolic acidosis was corrected with slow intravenous infusion of sodium bicarbonate. Body temperature of the dogs was maintained via a heating pad (Gaymar, Orchard Park, NY).

Initial surgical procedures were performed with dogs in the supine position. The carotid artery was dissected, and an intravascular catheter (18 gauge) was inserted retrogradely into the lumen and attached to a solid-state pressure transducer (Ohmeda) placed at the level of the dog’s heart for measurement of systemic arterial blood pressure. Through an abdomino-inguinal incision, an external iliac artery and vein were exposed and transit-time ultrasound flow probes (Transonic Systems, Ithaca, NY) placed around them for measurement of arterial inflow and venous outflow of the hindlimb. An acoustic couplant (model 1181, Nalco) was used to displace air within the probe’s measurement window. To reduce hindlimb collateral blood flow, the internal iliac artery and vein, as well as all visible side branches within the femoral triangle, were ligated. The dog’s head was then positioned

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: P. S. Clifford, Anesthesia Research 151, VA Medical Center, 5000 W. National Ave., Milwaukee, WI 53295 (E-mail: pcliff@mcw.edu).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. The article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

http://www.jap.org
in a stereotaxic apparatus (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL) secured on an aluminum platform, and the torso was extended by caudal tension applied via a hip-pin clamp to place the dog in a normal upright posture (horizontal position). To alter hindlimb venous pressure, the platform was tilted at a 30° angle to situate the dog head up (hindlimb below the heart) or head down (hindlimb above the heart). This resulted in a difference of ~25 mmHg in hindlimb venous pressure between the head-down and head-up position.

Contraction of the hindlimb muscles was evoked by electrical stimulation of the distal end of the sciatic nerve, which had been previously dissected and cut to avoid centrally conducted impulses along afferent nerve fibers. Two stimulating electrodes consisting of 0.20-mm-diameter Teflon-coated stainless steel wires (A-M Systems, Everett, WA) were inserted into the nerve and then wrapped tightly around it to provide constant stimulation in all positions. To prevent movement on hindlimb contraction that could potentially move the position of the ultrasound flow probe, the leg was secured at the ankle to the platform, ensuring that all muscle contractions were isometric in nature. The minimal current required to elicit an observable contraction was defined as the motor threshold, and all contractions were performed at 10 times this intensity (10 × motor threshold). The order (head up, horizontal, or head down) in which the influence of venous loading was examined was randomly assigned for each dog, and duplicate contractions were performed in each of the three experimental positions. The mean of the two responses for each dog was used for statistical analysis.

Data analysis. Systemic arterial blood pressure and blood flow signals were continuously recorded and stored on microcomputer (Apple G3 Power PC) using a MacLab data-acquisition system (AD Instruments, Castle Hill, Australia) sampling at 100 Hz. Baseline was calculated offline as the 10-s period before commencement of contraction. Peak arterial and venous blood flows in response to contraction were recorded. In addition, because the effects of the muscle pump should be evident in the first cardiac cycle after contraction (18), we analyzed the arterial blood flow in the first beat after release of contraction. The volume of venous blood expelled from the muscle during contraction was calculated by integrating the area under the venous flow curve from the beginning of contraction to the point where venous flow returned to baseline. Cumulative arterial blood volume passing through the limb in response to the contraction was calculated as the integral of the area under the arterial flow curve starting at the beginning of contraction and ending at the point where arterial blood flow returned to baseline.

Statistical analysis. To examine the hemodynamic response to contraction, a two-way (time × position) repeated-measures analysis of variance was used to examine the effect of contraction on difference in postcontraction hyperemia, heart rate, and blood pressure. One-way repeated-measures analysis of variance was employed to examine the effect of contraction on volume of blood expelled from the venous system. Where significant F ratios were found, a Tukey’s post hoc test was performed. Data are expressed as means ± SE. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Figure 1 contains original tracings from an individual dog showing blood pressure and hindlimb arterial and venous blood flow in response to a 1-s tetanic contraction with the three different body positions. Figure 2 shows in more detail that there was an immediate, rapid expulsion of blood from the veins with muscle contraction. Blood flow returned to baseline (and went below baseline) within the duration of the contraction. In contrast, in every condition, the arterial hyperemic response started immediately on the release of contraction, peaked within 3–5 s, and lasted for ~20 s.

Data regarding blood pressure and heart rate during the experiment are presented in Table 1. There was not a significant (P > 0.05) effect of position nor was there a significant (P > 0.05) effect of contraction on arterial pressure. In contrast, heart rate increased significantly (P < 0.05) as posture of the dog changed from head down to head up. A 1-s tetanic contraction did not affect (P > 0.05) heart rate at any position.

Figure 3 summarizes changes in venous outflow from the hindlimb at each position. There were no significant (P > 0.05) differences in baseline venous flow among the three positions. However, changes in posture significantly influenced the peak venous blood flow measurement during the 1-s contraction, with the highest in the head-up position. Figure 4 depicts the total blood volume expelled from the veins during the contraction under each condition. The blood volume expelled during the contraction was greatest (P < 0.05) for the head-up position.

Figure 5 shows the arterial blood flow response to the 1-s tetanic contraction in the three different postures. Baseline arterial blood flows were not significantly (P > 0.05) different among the positions. Muscle contraction produced significant (P < 0.05) increases in blood flow during the first cardiac cycle after the release of contraction that were not significantly (P > 0.05) different among the positions. The peak blood flow response was also not significantly (P > 0.05) different among the positions. In addition, there was no significant (P > 0.05) difference in the cumulative volume of arterial inflow in response to muscle contraction with the three different postural positions (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

There are two new important findings in the present study. First, the magnitude of the increase in arterial blood flow to canine skeletal muscle with a brief tetanic contraction, whether examined over the first cardiac cycle or at peak, was independent of the venous pressure in the muscle over the range of pressures studied. Second, the volume of blood expelled by a single contraction was a small fraction of the total increase in blood flow accumulated. These results suggest that the muscle pump is not a major contributor to skeletal muscle hyperemia in response to a single contraction.

Although there is an immediate increase in blood flow to active skeletal muscle with the onset of exercise (1, 10, 11, 15, 17, 19, 20), the mechanism(s) by which this rapid increase in blood flow occurs has remained elusive. The muscle pump theory has been proposed as a rapid, local mechanism by which blood flow to active skeletal muscle can be regulated. The premise behind the muscle pump theory is that muscle contractions empty the venous circulation, resulting in lower venous pressure during the relaxation phase. The reduction in venous pressure increases the pressure gradient across the muscle vascular bed and enhances muscle perfusion. Ideally, to support this hypothesis, one need only measure the fluctuations in venous pressure within the muscle. Unfortunately, because of the presence of venous valves and the probability of damaging valves by inserting a catheter, it has been technically impossible to measure pressure in the venules of skeletal muscle, so investigators have been forced to make inferences about the muscle pump from indirect data.
In accordance with the muscle pump theory, we expected that the elevated venous pressure in the muscles of the hind-limb with the dog in the head-up position would permit a greater contraction-induced reduction in venous pressure, which would translate to a higher blood flow response in the head-up compared with head-down position. The results indicate that changes in position altered venous pressure and influenced the amount of blood stored in the veins of hindlimb. Muscle contraction expelled significantly more blood from the venous circulation in the head-up position compared with the head-down position (2.15 ± 0.23 vs. 1.37 ± 0.17 ml) but did not affect the magnitude of muscle hyperemia after contraction whether measured over the first cardiac cycle or at the peak. As shown in Fig. 5, the contraction-induced increase in arterial inflow was similar regardless of position. Thus, contrary to our

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Pressure, mmHg</th>
<th>Heart Rate, beats/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td><strong>Peak</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head down</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134 ± 3</td>
<td>134 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113 ± 13*</td>
<td>116 ± 13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 ± 4</td>
<td>130 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 ± 14</td>
<td>130 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134 ± 5</td>
<td>132 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165 ± 13*</td>
<td>160 ± 13*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. *Significantly different from horizontal position, P < 0.05.
hypothesis, enhanced muscle pump effectiveness was dissociated from subsequent arterial hyperemia, which is inconsistent with the concept that the muscle pump plays a predominant role in the blood-flow response to a single contraction. These results add to the accumulating evidence that there is limited influence of the muscle pump on the contraction-induced increases in blood flow (2, 5, 9, 12, 14, 15).

By definition, the muscle pump can only influence blood flow for as long as venous pressure is reduced. Once arterial inflow replaces the volume of blood expelled during contraction, venous pressure is restored, and there can be no further effect of the muscle pump on blood flow. Therefore, the proportion of skeletal muscle hyperemia attributable to the muscle pump should be directly related to the volume of blood needed to replace the blood that was expelled from the veins by the contraction. In the present study, comparing the venous blood volume (~2 ml) expelled by the muscle contraction with the cumulative arterial blood volume response (>30 ml) after contraction reveals that the venous volume is a relatively small proportion of the cumulative arterial volume. The amount of blood flow for which the muscle pump can be responsible is a small percentage (~6%) of the total. Furthermore, at the prevailing venous blood flows, this volume would have been refilled in <1 s, whereas the peak blood flows were observed at ~4 s. This temporal dissociation of the peak muscle pump effect from the peak blood flow effect argues against a predominant role of the muscle pump in contraction-induced hyperemia. In the aggregate, the above findings suggest that another mechanism (vasodilation) produces the majority of skeletal muscle hyperemia associated with a single contraction.

In contrast to our findings, other laboratories have provided evidence that the manipulation of venous pressure within the muscle enhances the effectiveness of the muscle pump. Like the present study, these studies investigated the blood flow response to contraction after venous pressure manipulations by positioning the contracting limb above or below the heart (3, 10, 17, 19). An augmented blood flow response to a single contraction (19) or rhythmic contractions (3, 10, 17) with the limb positioned below the heart was attributed to the muscle pump by these authors. It is possible that these conflicting results indicate a greater role for the muscle pump in skeletal muscle hyperemia associated with voluntary contractions compared with electrically stimulated contractions. One might speculate that, for the muscle pump to be fully effective, natural patterns of muscle fiber recruitment are necessary. Nevertheless, electrically stimulated muscle contractions elicit
large increases in skeletal muscle arterial blood flow, indicating substantial skeletal muscle hyperemia that appears to be independent of the actions of the muscle pump.

Some of the support for a role for the muscle pump in the immediate increase in skeletal muscle blood flow at the onset of exercise has been spawned by the perception that skeletal muscle vasodilation occurs slowly and cannot be responsible for the immediate hyperemia. The evidence for delayed vasodilation comes from in situ and in vitro preparations in which vessels were directly visualized. Results from the cremaster muscle (4) and hamster retractor muscle (6) show a latency for vasodilation of 5–20 s, with the delay related to vessel size. Wunsch et al. (21) investigated the response of first-order arterioles to various agents (adenosine, acetylcholine, NO, K⁺) and found a latency of at least 4 s between exposure of the arterioles to these compounds and the beginning of vasodilation. On the other hand, Marshall and Tandon (13) showed that 1-s tetanic contractions of rat spinotrapezius muscle evoked dilation of terminal arterioles in <2 s. A recent publication from Tschakovsky et al. (18) argued that vasodilation, not an increase in muscle pump effectiveness, explains the contraction intensity-related increases in blood flow in the first cardiac cycle after release of contraction. This argument is strongest for contractions performed with the arm above heart level since it seems unlikely that the muscle pump would be capable of substantial reductions in venous pressure with the arm in this position. In view of the disagreement on this topic, it is obvious that further investigation is warranted to establish the time course of vasodilation at the onset of exercise.

In conclusion, although altering hindlimb venous pressure influenced venous expulsion during contraction, the increase in arterial inflow was similar regardless of position. Moreover, the volume of blood expelled was a small fraction of the cumulative arterial volume after the contraction. These results suggest that the muscle pump is not a major contributor to the hyperemic response to skeletal muscle contraction.
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