MCT1 confirmed in rat striated muscle mitochondria
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Butz, C. Eric, Grant B. McClelland, and George A. Brooks. MCT1 confirmed in rat striated muscle mitochondria. J Appl Physiol 97: 1059–1066, 2004.—We sought to test the hypothesis that monocarboxylate transporter isoform 1 (MCT1) is the inner mitochondrial membrane lactate/pyruvate transporter, and, as such, contributes to functioning of the intracellular lactate shuttle. However, presence of a mammalian mitochondrially localized MCT1 (mMCT1) has been contested. We sought to confirm by Western blotting the mitochondrial localization of MCT1 in rat cardiac, soleus, and extensor digitorum longus muscles utilizing three different cell fractionation methods and three different antibodies. We performed Western blotting using antibodies to cell membrane glucose transporter isoform GLUT1, inner mitochondrial constituent cytochrome oxidase, the monocarboxylate transporter protein chaperone CD147, as well as custom and commercially available MCT1 antibodies. Western blots demonstrated similar results with each MCT1 antibody and two of three methods of fractionation. MCT1 was found in the mitochondria, as well as in the sarcolemmal membrane and whole muscle homogenates. Probing with GLUT1 and CD147 demonstrated that mitochondrial fractions were not contaminated with sarcolemmal remnants. Probing with cytochrome oxidase showed mitochondrial localization of MCT1. Comparison of these results to the findings of others indicates that the most likely source of discrepancy is the cell fractionation procedure utilized.

In the form of lactate molecules, are moved into mitochondria for reduction. For red striated (5, 6) and cardiac muscle (10), the ILS is likely the predominant pathway for carbon and reducing equivalent flux. In the process, cytosolic NADH is regenerated, allowing glycolysis to continue. Although pyruvate can be transported into the mitochondria, most of the cytosolic pyruvate is reduced to lactate (10-fold greater concentration at rest, over 100 times greater during higher rates of energy flux), making lactate the numerically more consequential cytosolic organic anion of the two. Localization of MCT1 to the mitochondrial membrane was initially demonstrated by both Western blotting (utilizing a cell fractionation process giving both subsarcolemmal and interfibrillar mitochondria) and electron microscopic techniques in rats (6). Subsequently, by use of a different cell fractionation process, Western blotting demonstrated mitochondrial MCT1 (mMCT1) and mitochondrial lactate dehydrogenase in humans (12) and rats (20).

In contrast, Bonen et al. (3) utilized a different cell fractionation technique but failed to find mitochondrially localized MCT1. This cell fractionation technique (11) provides a lithium bromide (LiBr)-treated fraction that yields an internal membrane (L-IM) fraction that contains a pool of GLUT4 vesicles translocated to the sarcolemma and T tubules as a result of contraction due to an acute bout of exercise (27) or electrical stimulation (26). These studies (11, 26, 27) have provided valuable information about this glucose transporter, but GLUT4 is not found in the mitochondrial fraction, and the L-IM fraction was never purported to be representative of the mitochondrion. Although the preceding fractionation procedure was used to investigate skeletal muscle mitochondria, other tissues and methods have been employed as well. Data have been published indicating little MCT1 in the pancreas and no MCT1 in the mitochondrion of the β-islets (31). Furthermore, the authors went on to state, “Indeed, we have not detected any MCT1 associated with mitochondria in any cell type or in isolated mitochondrial preparations” (Ref. 31, p. 64). Similarly, attempts with electron microscopy failed to find evidence of mitochondrial localization above background levels in cardiac sarcolemma or mitochondria (16). Unfortunately, there were no descriptions of how background levels were evaluated, no data, and no explanation for the failure to visualize sarcolemmal MCT1. Furthermore, those results (16, 31) are in contrast to those of Valenti et al. (29), who demonstrated a functional ILS in rat heart mitochondria. Valenti et al., however, interpreted this to be an export of pyruvate out of the mitochondrion.

In view of the importance of a mitochondrial location of MCT1 to the ILS hypothesis and controversies over the exis-
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tence of mMCT1 and the ILS, we sought to test the hypothesis that MCT1 is the inner mitochondrial membrane lactate/pyruvate transporter and, as such, contributes to functioning of the ILS. Therefore, we probed tissue homogenates and cell fractions using three different fractionation procedures and three different MCT1 antibodies (raised in two different hosts). To establish cellular locations of MCT1 in situ, we also probed for proteins whose locations are now well accepted; these include the constitutive sarcolemmal glucose transporter (GLUT1), the inner mitochondrial membrane component cytochrome oxidase (COX), and the MCT chaperone CD147. Results point toward differences in membrane separation procedures as the source of discrepancy.

METHODS

Animal Care

Female Sprague-Dawley rats were used throughout. Animals were maintained at 25°C on a 12:12-h light-dark cycle with access to standard rodent laboratory chow and water ad libitum. All procedures were in accordance with accepted protocols of the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of California, Berkeley (AUP no. R017-1000R).

Cellular Fractionation

Comparisons of three different fractionation procedures were performed. These procedures (hereafter identified as methods 1, 2, and 3) are described below.

Subcellular fractionation procedure (method 1). Whole muscle homogenates (MU), sarcolemmal fractions (SL), and mitochondrial fractions (MI) were isolated as previously described (12); these procedures are shown in Fig. 1. Briefly, animals were euthanized by pentobarbital overdose. Heart, soleus, and extensor digitorum longus (EDL) were quickly removed and frozen. Approximately 200 mg of tissue were homogenized in ice-cold solution A (210 mM sucrose, 2 mM EGTA, 40 mM NaCl, and 30 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) by use of a motor-driven glass homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 600 g in a Sorvall RC-5 Superspeed centrifuge for 10 min at 4°C to eliminate erythrocyte material. One milliliter of supernatant was diluted with 0.75 ml of buffer B (1.167 M KCl and 58.3 mM Na₄P₂O₇·10 H₂O, pH 7.4) and centrifuged in a Beckman L8–7M ultracentrifuge at 230,000 g for 120 min at 4°C to remove contractile proteins. The pellet was washed in 1 ml of buffer C (1 mM EDTA and 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4). The pellet was resuspended in 200 µl of buffer C and 66 µl of 16% SDS and centrifuged at room temperature for 20 min to remove any insoluble materials. Supernatants containing crude MU were aliquoted and stored at −80°C. Unless otherwise stated, the Sorvall and Beckman refrigerated centrifuges were used for all subsequently described procedures.

The remaining supernatant of the 600 g spin was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant from this spin was diluted with 0.75 volumes of buffer B and centrifuged at 230,000 g for 120 min. The pellet was washed in 1 ml of buffer C and then resuspended in 200 µl of buffer C and 66 µl of 16% SDS and centrifuged at room temperature for 20 min to remove any insoluble materials. Supernatants containing SL were aliquoted and stored at −80°C.

Fig. 1. Flowchart for differential centrifugation procedure used in method 1. Note that mitochondrial fraction (MI) is pelleted in a 10,000 g step. MU, whole muscle homogenate fraction; SL, sarcolemmal fraction. See METHODS for details of procedure.
The pellet of the 10,000 g spin was washed in 1 ml of buffer C and then resuspended in 200 μl of buffer C and 66 μl of 16% SDS and centrifuged at room temperature for 20 min to remove insoluble materials. Supernatants containing MI were aliquoted and stored at –80°C.

Isolation of mitochondrial populations (method 2). Subsarcolemmal (SM) and interfibrillar (IM) mitochondrial population were isolated as previously described by Bizeau et al. (2), as modified by Brooks et al. (6) and shown in Fig. 2. Briefly, animals were euthanized by pentobarbital overdose. Heart, soleus, and EDL were quickly minced and homogenized in an ice-chilled solution 1 (100 mM KCl, 40 mM Tris·HCl, 10 mM Tris base, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM ATP, pH 7.5). Minced tissue was suspended in 10-fold (wt/vol) solution 1 and homogenized in an Ultra-Turrex (Cincinnati, OH) tissue homogenizer at 40% power for 10 s. For this and all other procedures, homogenization was performed in an ice-water chilled vessel in a 4°C cloakroom. The homogenate was centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min in a refrigerated centrifuge (Tomy, model MRX-151). The pellet was used to prepare IM, as described below. The supernatant was filtered through a double layer of cheesecloth and centrifuged at 9,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was used to isolate sarcolemmal and remaining nonmitochondrial membranes. The SM pellet was resuspended in solution 1 (100 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris·HCl, 10 mM Tris base, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.02 mM ATP, and 1.5% BSA, pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 8,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in solution 2 (100 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris·HCl, 10 mM Tris base, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.02 mM ATP, pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 6,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in a small volume (1.0 ml, heart; 0.5 ml, soleus and EDL) of suspension buffer (220 mM sucrose, 70 mM mannitol, 10 mM Tris·HCl, and 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4). The IM were isolated without proteolytic digestion by use of nagarse or trypsin because these proteolytic enzymes are known to degrade MCT1 and other inner membrane transport proteins (4). For preparation of IM populations, the IM pellet from the first 800 g spin was resuspended in a 10-fold dilution of solution 1 with a Teflon homogenizer, homogenized further in the Ultra-Turrex for 10 s, and centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 10-fold dilution of solution 1 and centrifuged at 5,000 g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 10-fold dilution of solution 2 and centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min. The pellet was discarded, and the supernatant was centrifuged at 9,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in a small volume (1.0 ml, heart; 0.5 ml, soleus and EDL) of suspension buffer.

Dombrowski fractionation procedure (method 3). Sarcolemmal membranes, T tubules, and intracellular membranes were isolated as described in Dombrowski et al. (11) and shown in Fig. 3. Hindlimb muscles were harvested and frozen for subsequent cell fractionation. All subsequent steps were performed at 4°C in a coldroom or in an ice-chilled vessel. Muscle was weighed and minced in 5 min in buffer α (10 mM NaHCO₃, 0.25 M sucrose, 5 mM NaHCO₃, and 100 μM PMSF, pH 7.0), 1 g/15 ml dilution. Minced muscle was homogenized using an Ultra-Turrex, twice at 5 s each time. An aliquot representing the whole muscle homogenate was saved. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1,300 g for 10 min. The membrane pellet was resuspended in 2 g/15 ml dilution of buffer α, rehomogenized, and again centrifuged at 1,300 g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in buffer B (0.5 M LiBr and 50 mM Tris, pH 8.5) (1 g tissue/25 ml buffer) and stirred for 4 h, providing the LiBr-treated membranes fraction PF1. The fraction was then centrifuged at 1,200 g for 5 min, with the pellet representing fraction PF2. The supernatant was then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 30 min (pellet representing PF3) and then 53,000 g for 1 h. The resulting pellet (PF4) was resuspended in buffer γ (0.15 M KCl, 5 mM MgSO₄, and 20 mM HEPES, pH 6.8). The supernatant of the 53,000 g spin was centrifuged at 190,000 g for 1 h, and the pellet (PF8) was then resuspended in buffer α. The resuspended PF4 fraction was applied to 10, 27, and 35% discontinuous sucrose gradients (wt/wt), and the resuspended PF8 fraction was applied to 10 and 40% discontinuous sucrose gradients (wt/wt). Both gradients were centrifuged at 150,000 g for 16 h. For the PF4 gradients, membranes at the 10%/27% (PF5) and 27%/35% (PF6) interfaces as well as the pellet (PF7) were recovered. For the PF8 gradients, membranes at the 10%/40% (PF9) interface as well as the pellet (PF10) were recovered. All of these interfaces and pellets were diluted in sucrose-free buffer α and centrifuged at 190,000 g for 1 h. Pellets were recovered and resuspended in buffer α and stored at –80°C for Western blot analysis. For this path, PF6 represented T tubules and PF9 represented L-IM (LiBr-treated internal membranes fraction).

The supernatants recovered from the two 1,300 g spins were combined and centrifuged at 9,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant of this spin was centrifuged for 1 h at 190,000 g. The pellet was resuspended in buffer α and applied on discontinuous sucrose gradients (25, 32, and 35% wt/wt) and centrifuged at 150,000 g for 16 h. The membranes at the sample/25% (F3), 25%/32% (F4), and 32%/35% (F5) interfaces as well as the pellet (F6) were recovered and

![Flowchart for differential centrifugation procedure used in method 2. Note that both subsarcolemmal (SM) and interfibrillar (IM) mitochondrial fractions are pelleted in 9,000 g steps. See METHODS for details of procedure.](http://jap.physiology.org/ftp/japp/ja097091061F101.gif)
diluted in sucrose-free buffer and centrifuged at 190,000 g (PF3) and 53,000 g (PF4) steps. Mitochondria are not likely to be found in supernatant from which the lithium bromide (LiBr)-treated fraction that yields internal membranes (L-IM) fraction (PF9) is drawn. See METHODS for details of procedure.

**Western Blot Analysis**

MU, SL, MI, SM, and IM fractions (5–10 μg protein/lane) were separated by PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Rat erythrocyte ghosts (28) were used as a standard (Std) and positive control for MCT1 and negative control for GLUT1. For the rat, the advantage of erythrocyte ghost membranes as controls is that, in contrast to the case for humans, GLUT1 is not expressed in rat erythrocytes whereas MCT1 is the erythrocyte lactate/pyruvate transporter in rats and humans. Membranes were washed in water (15 min) and incubated in 10% milk in Tween 20 Tris-base sodium (TTBS) overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were used in 10% milk in TTBS and rocked for 2 h. Membranes were washed in TTBS for 15 min, 5 min, and 5 min, followed by 10 min in TBS. Secondary antibody was used in 5% milk in TTBS, then washed as before.

MU, SL, MI, SM, and IM fractions were probed with three different antibodies to rat MCT1. These anti-MCT1 antibodies were Brooks (custom, rabbit anti-rat), ADI (Alpha Diagnostics, rabbit anti-rat), and CHEM (Chemicon, chicken anti-rat). As described in Table 1.

**Table 1. Comparison of MCT1 antibodies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GenBank</td>
<td>N′-APLQNSSGDPAEEESPV-C</td>
<td>GenBank</td>
<td>sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks</td>
<td>N′-CPILQNSSGDPAEEESPV-C</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha Diagnostics</td>
<td>N′-CPQNSSGDPAEEESPV-C</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Proprietary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicon</td>
<td>N′-CPQNSSGDPAEEESPV-C</td>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>Data sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halestrap*</td>
<td>N′-CPQNSSGDPAEEESPV-C</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MCT1, monocarboxylate transporter 1. *Not used in this study but in Refs. 3 and 31. Antibody was developed from Chinese hamster ovary cells (24) and showed high interspecies homology in this COOH-terminal portion of 2 mammalian species.
Table 1, like the sequence used by Halestrap and associates (24), antibodies to MCT1 are similar and target an immunoreactive portion of the MCT1 COOH terminal. Evaluation of mitochondrial fraction contamination by sarcolemma was performed by probing the membranes with anti-GLUT1 (Chemicon) antibody. A secondary confirmation of the lack of sarcolemmal contamination was performed by probing with an anti-CD147 antibody, a chaperone protein for MCT1 found only in the sarcolemma. Rat erythrocyte ghosts were used as a positive control for MCT1 and a negative control for GLUT1. Mitochondrial content was determined by probing membranes with anti-COX. Western blot probing was determined by enhanced chemiluminescence (NEN Life Sciences) and scanned with a Bio-Rad GS-700 laser densitometer, and, where appropriate, bands were quantified by software analysis using Molecular Analyst (Bio-Rad Laboratories). When membranes were used multiple times, they were stripped using Western Blot Recycling Kit (Alpha Diagnostics International) and washed in TTBS. Preliminary studies were performed to verify that previous antibody was completely removed by this method.

Statistical Analysis

Differences between antibodies were determined with the use of three animals each for heart and soleus, with each membrane probed sequentially with the three different MCT1 antibodies. Different membranes and antibodies were normalized by using the Std as 1.0 and are reported as means ± SD. Quantified bands were compared by one-factor ANOVA. Significance was determined at the level of P < 0.05 throughout.

RESULTS

Comparison of antibodies was performed by using cell fractionation method 1 and provided similar results for all three antibodies used (Fig. 4). For both heart and soleus muscle, MCT1 was found in the SL and the MU fractions. This is expected because the sarcolemma is a component of the whole muscle. Note also that the MI fraction was labeled by all three MCT1 antibodies. As expected, the Std (rat erythrocyte ghosts) was labeled, acting as a positive control. The heart MCT1 concentration was approximately the same in MU, SL, and MI fractions (1.704 ± 0.132, 1.604 ± 0.137, and 1.669 ± 0.301, respectively), given equal mass of total protein per lane. For the soleus, MCT1 concentrations were greatest in the SL at more than twice the concentration of the MU fraction and seven times the concentration of the MI fraction (2.296 ± 0.441, 0.949 ± 0.108, and 0.327 ± 0.098, respectively).

Evaluation of fraction contamination for method 1 is shown in Fig. 5. The same polyvinylidene difluoride membrane was sequentially probed with MCT1, GLUT1, and CD147. Although the MI fraction binds MCT1, it does not show GLUT1 labeling. Here, the Std was not labeled with GLUT1, acting as a negative control. No CD147 was found in the MI fraction, but it was found in MU, SL, and Std as expected. For brevity, we do not show a version of Fig. 5 to demonstrate purity of membrane fractions obtained by method 2; such results have been previously demonstrated (12, 20).

To evaluate whether different fractionation procedures gave rise to idiosyncratic results, muscle separated by the three methods was compared. Figures 4 and 6 demonstrate that mitochondria from method 1 (MI) and method 2 (SM and IM) are labeled by MCT1. In Fig. 7, the L-IM fraction (PF9) from method 3 fails to demonstrate significant MCT1 labeling. It should be noted that the same membrane failed to show label for COX in PF9 (the L-IM fraction purported to represent...
mitochondria), indicating a low mitochondrial content. In fact, by method 3, MCT1 and COX labeling were found dispersed into many other fractions (F1, F2, F6, PF1, PF2, PF3, and PF4), including a rather large amount in the PF3 fraction. These results indicate that much of the mitochondrial mass was removed before the sucrose gradient centrifugation step and never reached the PF9 fraction. Also note that the Std was labeled with MCT1 but not COX, demonstrating the lack of mitochondrial labeling when inner mitochondrial membrane debris were not present.

Because the LiBr step was considered a possible source of the absence of mitochondrial remnants in the PF9 fraction, method 3 was performed both with and without the LiBr digestion. The results indicate that similar protein concentrations and similar fraction contents were recovered in each fraction, with and without LiBr digestion (data not shown). The only apparent difference was that the total yield was much less when LiBr was not used.

**DISCUSSION**

We confirm the existence of MCT1 in the mitochondria of rat skeletal and cardiac muscle. Results with three antibodies raised in two different hosts and two of the three different fractionation procedures provide evidence that MCT1 is found not only in the sarclemma but also in the subsarcolemmal and interfibrillar mitochondrial fractions. Probing with GLUT1 and CD147 demonstrates that there was no significant contamination of the mitochondrial fractions by the sarclemma. All three antibodies targeted MCT1, but fractionation procedures yielded variable results. Hence, we reproduce our results as well as those of others; what differs is the interpretation.

As has been previously discussed, the Brooks MCT1 antibody, raised against the COOH terminal of the rat MCT1, has low homology with other known MCT sequences and has no significant homology with any protein other than MCT1 (6). In fact, review of the published sequences used to develop the various antibodies (see Table 1) indicates that all the MCT1 antibodies targeted the same section of the COOH terminal of the rat MCT1. Because these antibodies were raised in either the rabbit (Brooks and ADI) or chicken (Chemicon), it is unlikely that there have been host-specific problems in MCT1 identification. One possible consideration is that Bonen et al. (3) and Zhao et al. (31) used antibodies developed from Chinese hamster ovary cells, but there is extremely high cross-species homology in the immunoreactive section of the MCT1 COOH terminal used by many investigators to develop antibodies to MCT1. However, as asserted by Zhao et al., the Chinese hamster ovary-derived antibody to MCT1 readily reacts across cells and tissues taken or derived from a variety of mammalian species.

The possibility that monocarboxylates are transported into the mitochondria via an as yet undetermined, six-transmembrane (6TM) transporter was considered. The family of 6TM transporters has been investigated, and a putative, 30-kDa pyruvate transporter was partially purified (9, 22). However, the protein we found in mitochondrial and sarcolemmal membranes was ~43 kDa, significantly heavier than the putative pyruvate transporter. Furthermore, if the two candidate mMCTs were, in fact, the same but fragmented during isolation or electrophoresis, one might find signals at 43 kDa and either 30 or 13 kDa. However, that result was not obtained in any of the three isolation procedures used in this study. Hence, the possibility that the variety of MCT1 antibodies used would recognize both a 12-transmembrane MCT1 in the cell membrane and a different 6TM transporter in the mitochondria is unlikely. The protein found in the mitochondrial membrane reported here and earlier is the MCT1 protein.

Both method 1 and method 2 utilize centrifugation steps of 10,000 and 9,000 g, respectively, to pellet mitochondria. These speeds are necessary and appropriate for pelleting mitochondria (19). This is in contrast to method 3, which uses two steps.
with higher speeds but attempts to look for mitochondrial signals in the resultant supernatant.

The method 3 fractionation procedure used by others to isolate intracellular membranes was developed for studies of GLUT4 translocation. Accordingly, it would appear that contesting mitochondrial localization of MCT1 on the basis of results using the Dombrowski fractionation procedure to isolate mitochondria from other cell fractions as attempted by Zhao et al. (31) is inappropriate. The data presented here indicate that the Dombrowski procedure (method 3) fractionates mitochondria with remnants precipitated out at several steps other than the L-IM (PF9) fraction. The preceding two centrifugation steps occur at 13,000 g and 53,000 g (see Fig. 3), resulting in pellets labeled PF3 and PF4, respectively. These would certainly be expected to pellet any mitochondria. It is interesting to note from COX data presented here (Fig. 7) that whole mitochondria and mitochondrial fragments are found in many places other than PF9 and that every fraction that contains a signal for COX also contains a signal for MCT1. Similarly, studies of others (3) using the method of Dombrowski et al. (11) to localize MCT1 in skeletal muscle could not be expected to find mMCT1.

In striated muscle, mitochondrial mass is organized as a reticulum (18), and the isolation of organelles subjects native structures and proteins to numerous degradative processes (e.g., homogenization, proteolytic enzymes, solvents). The use of proteolytic enzymes has been shown to result in loss of labile mitochondrial constituents (4). Care was taken in methods 1 and 2 to isolate mitochondrial fractions without the use of proteolytic enzymes such as nagarse or trypsin, although the homogenization steps were necessarily employed. Use of method 3 either with or without LiBr resulted in similar relative distributions of MCT1, although the absence of LiBr was also associated with a reduction of total protein concentrations in all subsequent steps. Regardless of whether the use of LiBr is necessary for the isolation of GLUT4 vesicles, it does not appear that it is the sole reason for the lack of finding MCT1 in mitochondria.

Data provided with respect to CD147 indicate that it was found only in the MU and SL fractions but not in the MI, SM, or IM fractions (methods 1, 2, and 3, respectively). These data are consistent with the findings of Kirk et al. (17) that CD147 is a chaperone protein, which is associated with the MCT1 and targets the MCT1 to the sarcolemma. Although Kirk et al. also suggested that CD147 is associated with MCT4, acting as a chaperone for its localization to the sarcolemma, MCT4 was not studied here. The lack of CD147 in the mitochondria and the evidence of mMCT1 presented here and previously support the suggestion that another, different chaperone protein is associated with targeting the MCT1 to the mitochondria.

With the data presented here, mitochondria of rat striated muscle contain MCT1, confirming data previously published (6) but subsequently contested (31). As has been shown previously (7), mitochondria also contain lactate dehydrogenase. This confirms the existence of the machinery necessary to allow the import and oxidation of lactate in the mitochondria. Data published earlier have also demonstrated the ability of mitochondria to respire using lactate as a fuel, and the ability of blocking the transport or lactate dehydrogenase-catalyzed oxidation of lactate also blocks the ability of mitochondria to use lactate as a fuel (7). Existence of this machinery has also been demonstrated in the human mitochondria (12).

Although in this report we have asserted a mitochondrial presence of MCT1, we do not mean to imply sole mitochondrial and sarcolemmal cell domains for MCT1 in striated muscle. To the contrary, ILSs have been demonstrated in the sperm mitochondria in several mammals (8, 13). In addition, MCT1 has been localized to liver peroxisomes (21), and a peroxisomal lactate shuttle has been demonstrated to be functional in the rat liver (1, 21). Taken together, these data are interpreted to mean that the ILS machinery is present and functional within diverse types of cells.

Part of our strategy for identifying cell domains occupied by MCT1 was based on the report of Kirk et al. (17), who identified CD147 as the sarcolemmal chaperone for MCT1 and MCT4. However, because their focus was on the sarcolemmal as opposed to mitochondrial membranes, the possibility arises that CD147 may be a universal MCT1 chaperone and hence may direct or scaffold MCT1 to the mitochondrial reticulum. Indeed, in Fig. 5 and other autoradiograms developed by us, there is a faint signal for CD147 in the MI fraction. However, at this point, we are inclined to accept the conclusion of Kirk et al. on the basis of relative strengths of MCT1 and CD147 signals in SL and MI fractions. The signal for MCT1 is relatively far stronger that that for CD147 in the MI fraction, whereas signal strengths are alike in SL membrane fractions.

In summary, we have confirmed that MCT1 is localized in both cell membranes and the mitochondria of rat striated muscle. Taken together with previously published data (7), this provides evidence that machinery for both the cell-cell lactate shuttle and the ILS machinery exists as originally proposed (5). These findings are consistent across two different cell fractionation procedures, using both fresh and frozen tissue, and three different MCT1 antibodies, raised against two different hosts. The most likely reason for the previous differences in experimental findings between laboratories seems to be in the experimental procedures used to isolate the subcellular fractions.1
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