Nonuniform shortening in the biceps brachii during elbow flexion
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in regions that contain aponeurosis tissue. On the basis of the specific architecture of the biceps brachii, we hypothesized that shortening would be uniform along the anterior boundary and proximal centerline of the biceps brachii and nonuniform along the distal centerline in the region containing the internal aponeurosis (Fig. 1). This study tests these hypotheses and provides the first in vivo measurements of muscle shortening uniformity along the fascicle direction in human subjects.

**METHODS**

Twelve unimpaired subjects (10 men and 2 women, age 21–44 yr, height 160–188 cm) volunteered for participation in this study. Average anthropometric characteristics of the subjects are presented in Table 1. Each subject performed three cyclic elbow flexion tasks within a 1.5-T General Electric MR scanner (Signa, General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). Before MR imaging, the muscle fascicle architecture of the biceps brachii was measured in each subject by using ultrasound imaging with the elbow extended and flexed 90° (36). An Acuson Sequoia 512 ultrasound system (Mountain View, CA) with a 15-MHz transducer was used to image the midsagittal plane of the biceps brachii (Fig. 1, top). The Institutional Review Board at Stanford University approved the protocol, and informed consent was obtained from each subject.

Each subject was positioned on their side on the MRI scanner table next to a plastic exercise device that was attached to the table (Fig. 2). The subject’s right hand was placed in a glove and fastened to the handle of the device in ~60° of forearm supination to ensure activation of the biceps brachii (6). The exercise device was designed to guide the elbow flexion and extension motion and to ensure the subject’s upper arm remained stationary during data acquisition. The range of motion of elbow flexion was ~80°; elbow angle was measured with a goniometer as 7 ± 5° at maximum extension and 86 ± 5° at maximum flexion.

**Table 1. Age and anthropometric characteristics of the subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Means ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>30 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>181.9 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>72.9 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVC, kg</td>
<td>21.7 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper arm length, cm</td>
<td>32.9 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper arm circumference, cm</td>
<td>32.6 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are for 12 subjects. MVC, maximum voluntary contraction load with 90° elbow flexion. Upper arm length was measured from the lateral edge of the acromion to lateral humeral epicondyle. Arm circumference was measured as the maximum with the elbow flexed 90° in weak isometric contraction.
Static MR images were acquired to ensure that the longitudinal axes of the biceps brachii and humerus were oriented parallel to the longitudinal axis of the scanner. Once aligned, the subject was instructed to keep his or her upper arm in the same position for the duration of the experiment. Before three successive dynamic cine PC MRI data acquisitions, static axial MR images were acquired with the elbow in the extended position and in the maximum flexion position while the subjects were resisting 5% MVC. After the dynamic cine PC MRI scans, a final series of static axial images was acquired to confirm the upper arm had remained stationary during the cine PC data acquisitions; the medial-lateral and anterior-posterior position of the humerus in the post-cine PC axial MR images was compared with its initial position in the pre-cine PC axial images. Static images were acquired at 10-mm intervals along the upper arm by using a proton density, fast spin-echo sequence with fat saturation, 12 × 12-cm field of view, 256 × 160 pixel matrix, and 7-mm slice thickness. The position of the distal aponeurosis and the boundaries of the long and short heads of the biceps were determined from these static images.

Cine PC MRI was used to measure muscle tissue motion within the biceps brachii noninvasively during repeated elbow flexion. Cine PC data were acquired from the upper arm under three different loading conditions: passive elbow flexion and active elbow flexion against 5 and 15% of the subject’s elbow flexion MVC strength. The MVC strength of each subject was measured before imaging from the average of three maximal isometric efforts at an elbow angle of 90°. The three elbow flexion tasks were performed in a randomized order. The 15% MVC force condition was considered to be the maximum resistance that would not cause substantial fatigue (14, 30). As a baseline reference, biceps motion was also measured during passive flexion and extension of the elbow; each subject was instructed to keep their arm completely relaxed while their elbow was flexed and extended by the investigator using a rod attached to the exercise device. Absence of biceps brachii activity during the passive elbow flexion task was confirmed in three subjects by surface electromyography measurements made outside the MRI scanner.

Each cyclic elbow flexion task was performed at a rate of 35 cycles/min (to the beat of a metronome) for ~2 min. Sixty-four repetitions were needed to acquire 64 lines of data in the frequency domain (37); several additional repetitions were required for the subject to attain a repeatable motion before the initiation of data collection. Data collection was synchronized to the motion cycle by using an optical transducer, triggered at full extension, and data were interpolated to 24 time frames. Cine PC MR images (1 magnitude image and 3 velocity images per time frame) were acquired by using a 17-ms repetition time, 30° flip angle, 35 cm/s maximum encoding velocity, 28 × 14-cm field of view, 256 × 128 pixel matrix, and 10-mm slice thickness. The cine PC imaging plane was prescribed graphically with an oblique-sagittal orientation by using the static axial images of the upper arm flexed against a 5% MVC load (Fig. 3).
Shortening in the biceps brachii was determined by tracking the position of muscle tissue regions of interest (ROIs) over all 24 time frames of the motion cycle, which included both flexion and extension of the elbow. All ROIs were 1-cm² square regions and were prescribed graphically in the first magnitude image (time frame 1) when the elbow was maximally extended (Fig. 4). Three-dimensional displacement trajectories were computed for each ROI from the three orthogonal sets of velocity images by using a closed-form Fourier integration method (45).

Percent shortening was measured along the anterior boundary and centerline of the biceps brachii by comparing the positions of tissue regions at maximum elbow flexion to their initial positions at maximum elbow extension. Normalized length change was defined as \((L_P - L_E)/L_E\), where \(L_E\) and \(L_P\) were the distances between two ROIs at maximum elbow extension and flexion, respectively. Percent length change was computed between every second region, ROI\(_{n}\) and ROI\(_{n+2}\). Because the \(1 \times 1\)-cm ROIs were defined in a contiguous distribution along the muscle in the first magnitude image (with the elbow fully extended), \(L_E\) was \(-2\) cm. A negative length change indicated local muscle shortening during elbow flexion.

Shortening along the anterior border of the biceps was determined from the position trajectories of the ROIs located along the anterior boundary of the muscle, just deeper than the subcutaneous fat layer (Fig. 4A). Because anterior fascicles follow the contour of the anterior boundary of the biceps brachii (Fig. 1), shortening along the direction of anterior fascicles could be measured by tracking anterior ROIs. Centerline shortening was determined from the position trajectories of ROIs along the longitudinal axis of the biceps brachii (Fig. 4B). The most distal centerline ROI was defined \(\sim 1-2\) cm proximal to the distal biceps tendon, as permitted by the subject’s muscle thickness and shape. Distal aponeurosis length was \(7 \pm 1\) cm and \(L_M\) was \(20 \pm 2\) cm for the 12 subjects (36). Because the distal aponeurosis spanned an average of 94% of the muscle’s longitudinal length, centerline ROIs defined over the distal third of the muscle contained aponeurosis tissue. By using the measured aponeurosis length for each subject, centerline shortening data were subdivided into two regions: 1) the distal aponeurosis-containing region (i.e., intra-aponeurosis region) and 2) the proximal region that did not contain aponeurosis (i.e., extra-aponeurosis region).

Percent shortening was computed as a function of normalized distance from the distal biceps tendon; normalization was based on \(L_M\). For each subject, the values of percent shortening were linearly interpolated to increments of 2.5% of \(L_M\). The distributions of mean anterior and centerline shortening were compared at each location along the muscle by using two-sided, paired \(t\)-tests. To test for uniform shortening, 95% confidence intervals for average shortening at each location along the muscle (in 2.5% length increments) were compared with the overall mean of the shortening distribution. A linear regression analysis was also performed to compare the slopes of the anterior and centerline shortening distributions, with separate regression slopes computed for intra-aponeurosis and extra-aponeurosis centerline shortening. Data from all 12 subjects were used to analyze centerline shortening. However, because of inadequate muscle thickness in one subject, the analysis of anterior shortening was based on 11 subject data sets. Descriptive statistics are reported as means \(\pm\) SD.

RESULTS

Shortening along the anterior boundary of the biceps brachii was relatively uniform during active elbow flexion (Fig. 5A). Mean anterior shortening did not differ significantly between the 5 and 15% MVC loading conditions; the overall average of the mean anterior shortening distribution was 21% for both the 5 and 15% MVC loading conditions. For the 5% MVC condition, the 21% overall mean value of anterior shortening was within the 95% confidence level for 22 of the 24...
individual values of mean shortening along the muscle length. Similarly, for the 15% MVC condition, 21 of the 22 confidence intervals contained the mean of 21%. In contrast, shortening along the anterior boundary was not uniform during passive flexion of the elbow (Fig. 5A). Less shortening occurred at the distal and proximal ends of the muscle under the passive motion condition; only 18 of the 24 confidence intervals for mean shortening contained the distribution mean of 18% shortening.

Shortening along the centerline of the biceps brachii muscle-tendon complex was nonuniform (Figs. 5B and 6). For both active loading conditions (5 and 15% MVC), mean centerline shortening was significantly lower in magnitude at the distal end of the muscle, which contains aponeurosis tissue, compared with shortening at the midportion of the muscle. Mean centerline shortening averaged <5% at the distal centerline of the biceps brachii (~0.15 \( L_M \)) and increased to between 20 and 35% shortening in the midportion (0.4–0.7 \( L_M \)). Mean centerline shortening was significantly lower \((P < 0.001)\) at the distal end of the biceps brachii compared with shortening in the midportion under both the 5 and 15% MVC loading conditions (Table 2). Centerline shortening was also nonuniform during passive elbow flexion (Fig. 5B).

Centerline shortening was nonuniform in both the intra- and extra-aponeurosis regions. Mean centerline shortening within the distal intra-aponeurosis region was found to be nonuniform and varied approximately linearly for all three loading conditions \((r = 0.975, 0.991, \text{and } 0.981 \text{ for passive, } 5\% \text{ MVC, and } 15\% \text{ MVC, respectively})\). In the intra-aponeurosis region <0.34 \( L_M \), the linear regression slopes of centerline shortening did not differ significantly among the three different loading conditions \((P = 0.85, 0.43, \text{and } 0.54 \text{ for passive vs. } 5\% \text{ MVC, passive vs. } 15\% \text{ MVC, and } 5\% \text{ vs. } 15\% \text{ MVC, respectively})\). Surprisingly, in both the 5 and 15% MVC loading cases, mean centerline shortening remained nonuniform even in the midportion and proximal regions of the muscle (>0.34 \( L_M \)), where no aponeurosis was present. The extra-aponeurosis linear...
regression slopes were significantly different from zero for both the 5% MVC ($P < 0.01$) and 15% MVC ($P < 0.001$) loading conditions. Moreover, the extra-aponeurosis slope was significantly greater ($P = 0.001$) for elbow flexion against 15% MVC compared with 5% MVC.

The distribution of mean anterior shortening (Fig. 5A) was significantly different from the distribution of mean centerline shortening (Fig. 5B) for active elbow flexion ($P < 0.001$). The differences in anterior and centerline shortening distributions were statistically significant for both active flexion conditions (5 and 15% MVC), with larger differences observed under the 15% MVC loading condition (Fig. 7). The extra-aponeurosis and extra-aponeurosis linear regression slopes of the centerline shortening distribution were both significantly greater ($P < 0.05$) than the slope of the anterior shortening distribution for the 5% MVC loading condition. Even larger differences ($P < 0.01$) were measured between the slopes of the centerline and anterior shortening distributions for 15% MVC loading.

For all cine PC MRI scans, the 12 subjects maintained the position of their upper arm within $\pm 5$ mm of its original anterior-posterior location and medial-lateral location. Maximum out-of-plane tissue motion was 10 times smaller than maximum in-plane motion, ensuring accuracy in the tracking of tissue motion (38). The maximum out-of-plane displacement for all anterior and centerline ROIs averaged over all subjects was only $2.7 \pm 2.0$ mm, well under the 10-mm thickness of the imaging plane. None of the subjects reported fatigue during the 5% MVC elbow flexion task; however, most subjects experienced mild fatigue at the end of the 15% MVC task.

**DISCUSSION**

Shortening along the anterior boundary of the biceps brachii was significantly different than shortening along the centerline during low-load (5 and 15% MVC) elbow flexion. Shortening along the anterior boundary was approximately uniform, which supports the hypothesis that anterior muscle fascicles shorten uniformly during active contraction. In contrast, nonuniform shortening was measured along the centerline of the biceps brachii. Centerline shortening was nonuniform both in the distal region of the muscle-tendon complex that contains an internal aponeurosis and in the regions of the muscle that are proximal to the internal aponeurosis. These results suggest that the internal aponeurosis, which spans the distal third of the biceps brachii, influences shortening along the entire length of centerline fascicles during elbow flexion.

Shortening was estimated along muscle fascicles based on the measured displacement of regions of muscle tissue. A possible limitation of this study was the inability to confirm the orientation of muscle fascicles during elbow flexion. However, we believe anterior and centerline shortening closely approximated fascicle shortening for the following reasons. First, ROIs were defined along the direction of muscle fascicles as determined from ultrasound images. For example, the uniform anterior shortening measured during active contraction suggests uniform shortening along anterior fascicles because these fascicles follow the contour of the anterior boundary of the muscle (Fig. 1). Moreover, the cine PC imaging plane was aligned with the longitudinal axis of the biceps brachii (Fig. 3) and should have contained anterior muscle fascicles, in addition to centerline fascicles that are parallel to the longitudinal axis (Fig. 1). Finally, the observation that in-plane displacement of tissue regions was approximately 10 times greater than out-of-plane displacement suggests that anterior and centerline fascicles were contained within the imaging plane.

Muscle fascicle shortening could not be accurately determined in the distal region of the biceps brachii as a result of the presence of tendinous aponeurosis tissue. Although the 1-cm$^2$ ROIs along the distal centerline contained both myofibrillar and tendinous tissue (Fig. 4B), the cine PC MR signal measured from these regions was due predominantly to myofibrillar tissue.
This is a consequence of the more rapid decay of the MR signal from tendinous tissue compared with myofibrillar tissue. Furthermore, firm conclusions about shortening along a muscle fascicle in the distal aponeurosis-containing region could not be drawn because of the complex interaction between muscle fascicles and aponeurosis and because of the insertion of muscle fascicles at oblique angles into the internal aponeurosis (Fig. 1). In contrast, because regions of interest defined along the proximal centerline and anterior boundary of the biceps did not contain tendinous tissue, their displacements provided an accurate estimate of shortening along anterior and centerline muscle fascicles.

The different stiffness of tendon, aponeurosis, and muscle may be a principal contributor to the shortening nonuniformity measured in this study. The presence of aponeurosis tissue, which is less compliant than passive muscle tissue and more compliant than tendon (11, 16, 27, 39), could strongly influence the muscle motion during contraction. During low-load elbow flexion, only minimal stretching is expected in the distal biceps tendon; in vivo biceps brachii tendon strain has been reported as 2% during strong isometric contractions (1). However, stretching of the internal aponeurosis may occur during low-force elbow flexion because aponeurosis compliance is considerably greater than tendon compliance (11, 27, 28, 46). In addition, the compliance of muscle tissue is highly variable depending on activation state; in passive deformation studies, longitudinal muscle fiber strain was significantly larger than aponeurosis strain (39). This is consistent with our passive shortening results (Fig. 5B); greater shortening during elbow flexion (or alternatively, greater stretching during extension) occurs at the midpoint of the muscle-tendon complex, which does not contain aponeurosis tissue.

The nonuniform centerline shortening observed in the extra-aponeurosis region raises the possibility that sarcomere length is distributed heterogeneously along individual centerline muscle fascicles. The existence of such heterogeneity has been demonstrated in preparation of single muscle fibers in animals (10, 15, 26). Sarcomere length inhomogeneity in skeletal muscle fascicles can alter the force-length property of the fascicle (47) and may be responsible for phenomena such as sarcomere popping, tension creep, and permanent extra tension (24, 29). In the human biceps brachii, the compliance of the internal aponeurosis may play a role in creating nonuniform shortening and sarcomere length heterogeneity along centerline fascicles, because of interactions between muscle fibers and aponeurosis (Fig. 1). Assuming uniform optimal fascicle length, our results suggest anterior fascicles span the distal 66% of $L_M$, which implies a normalized aponeurosis length of 41% $L_M$. In the biceps brachii, muscle fascicles are staggered in a distal-to-proximal direction, with anterior fascicles inserting distally and centerline fascicles inserting proximally into the internal aponeurosis (Fig. 1). If these differences persist over the unmeasured extra-aponeurosis region, then mean sarcomere length would also differ among fascicles.

The significant differences between anterior and extra-aponeurosis centerline shortening imply that sarcomere lengths differ between anterior and centerline fascicles. If these differences persist over the unmeasured proximal third of the biceps brachii, then mean sarcomere length would also differ among fascicles. Heterogeneity in mean sarcomere length among fascicles has important implications for the mechanical properties and function of skeletal muscle. A distribution of mean sarcomere length suggests fascicles likely achieve their optimal lengths at different overall muscle lengths. This would broaden the force-length curve of a muscle (12), enhancing the length range of active force generation at the expense of maximum force-generating capability at optimum muscle length (20, 43). It has been hypothesized that this “staggering” of...
fascicle force-length curves with respect to muscle length may be responsible for the larger-than-expected potential length range of force production of the human rectus femoris (18) and rat semimembranosus muscles (23).

Most lumped-parameter models of muscle-tendon contraction mechanics assume that muscle fascicles shorten uniformly along their length and that whole muscles behave essentially like scaled sarcomeres (22, 44). However, this commonly used biomechanical modeling assumption may be inappropriate for many muscles because of their complex muscle-tendon architectures (17). Discrepancies have been observed between actual experimental data and the prediction of muscle function based on models that assume uniform contraction (3, 4). Our results suggest that it may be unrealistic to consider the biceps brachii as a uniformly contracting, lumped sarcomere and underscore the importance of including the effects of heterogeneity in models of muscle mechanics (22).
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