Flowmetric comparison of respiratory inductance plethysmography and pneumotachography in horses
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Hoffman, Andrew, Heike Kuehn, Klaus Riedelberger, Rachel Kupcinskas, and Mary Beth Miskovic. Flowmetric comparison of respiratory inductance plethysmography and pneumotachography in horses. J Appl Physiol 91: 2767–2775, 2001.—Respiratory inductance plethysmographic (RIP) and pneumotachographic (Pn) flows were compared dynamically in horses with bronchoconstriction. On a breath-by-breath basis, RIP was normalized to inspiratory volume from Pn, and peak [peak of subtracted final exhalation waveform (SFEₘₐₓ)] and selected area [integral of subtracted final waveform during first 25% of exhaled volume (SFEₐₙₜ)] differences between RIP and Pn flows during early expiration were measured in three settings: 1) healthy horses (n = 8) undergoing histamine bronchoprovocation; 2) horses with naturally occurring lower airway obstruction (AO) (n = 7); and 3) healthy horses (n = 6) given lobeline·HCl to induce hyperpnea. In setting 1, histamine challenge induced a dose-dependent increase in SFEₘₐₓ and SFEₐₙₜ differences. A test index of airway reactivity (interpolated histamine dose that increased SFEₘₐₓ by 35%) closely correlated (rₓ = 0.93, P = 0.001) with a conventional index (histamine dose that induced a 35% decrease in dynamic compliance). In setting 2, in horses with AO, SFEₘₐₓ and SFEₐₙₜ were markedly elevated, and their absolute values correlated significantly (P < 0.005) with pulmonary resistance and the maximum change in transpulmonary pressure. The effects of bronchodiator treatment on the SFEₘₐₓ and SFEₐₙₜ were also highly significant (P < 0.0001). In setting 3, hyperpnea, but not tachypnea, caused significant (P < 0.01) increases in SFEₘₐₓ but not in SFEₐₙₜ. In conclusion, dynamic comparisons between RIP and Pn provide a definable method for quantifying AO during tidal breathing, without the need for invasive instrumentation.
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INFLAMMATORY AIRWAY DISEASES and more severe recurrent airway obstructions are common clinical problems encountered in veterinary medicine (27, 32). Clinical examination is limited in sensitivity (28); therefore, more objective tests have been sought to improve early detection of these exercise-limiting problems. In contrast to human medical practice, in which lung function tests are employed routinely, the complexity, invasiveness, and limited sensitivity of conventional lung function tests performed during tidal breathing have hampered more widespread use of these in animals. Recent progress in the development of lung mechanical function tests, however, has been made on two fronts: 1) the application of a forced expiratory maneuver (6 or 2) forced oscillatory mechanics, which provide information concerning frequency dependence of resistance (22, 23). Both methods have been employed during histamine challenge, providing further information on airway reactivity and improving sensitivity to detect airway obstruction at a very early stage (6, 12, 13). These more sensitive diagnostic tests have facilitated earlier interventions, which theoretically should reduce or prevent the decline in lung function that can be seen in older horses. Unfortunately, even these newer tests, which are more sensitive, have not been adapted for field application and require significant energy supplies. Evaluation of lung function in the animal's natural setting would empower the veterinarian to detect problems early in their course, encourage serial examinations, and permit study of risk factors for these highly prevalent obstructive lung diseases.

Previously, our laboratory considered the use of respiratory inductance plethysmography (RIP) for field application (23), because previous work suggested that relative changes in phase angle (i.e., thoracoabdominal asynchrony) derived from RIP could be employed as a measure of airway obstructions in humans (1, 10, 29). In contrast to these earlier studies, our laboratory found in horses that, despite remarkable changes in
breathing pattern and phase shifts in rib vs. abdominal displacement, the severity of both phase-shift and airway obstruction did not correlate sufficiently for diagnostic use (23). This was also evident in earlier studies in humans with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (29). Furthermore, RIP, being a plethysmographic measurement, is prone to errors in the measurement of volume or flow, because of gas compression or rarefaction during obstructions (15, 17, 21). That volume displacement at the airway opening and thorax differ during obstruction served as a basis for unique indexes of airway obstruction in animals measured from double-chamber plethysmography (8, 18, 26, 31). This concept was also applied to the development of barometric whole body (single-chamber) plethysmography (3–5, 9, 11).

In this paper, we explore an analogous technology for use in large animals. For practical reasons, we chose boxless plethysmography for large animals (RIP) and compared the flow-derived indexes from RIP (volume, flow) with flow-derived measurements at the airway opening, which were measured using pneumotachography. Although there are clear differences between RIP and body plethysmography, we hypothesized that compression and rarefaction of gas due to airway obstruction would create similar phase and magnitude shifts that could be quantified.

A comparison between RIP and nasal flow was made in three settings: 1) histamine bronchoprovocation, 2) severe lower airway obstruction before and after bronchodilation, and 3) during experimentally induced hyperpnea in nonobstructed horses. Settings 1 and 2 were used to test the hypothesis that this new method of measurement gives similar results to conventional mechanics, and setting 3 was employed to examine the effects of increased respiratory frequency or flows in nonobstructed horses, as would occur during stress, excitement, and exercise (i.e., situations that might confound the measurements of airway obstruction).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All procedures described were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Tufts University.

details of the bench-top models of the test device.

The frequency response of the flow derived from RIP (VdSum) and that of flow derived from pneumotachograph (Vpn) signals were compared using a step test and an oscillatory model. For the step test, one inflated balloon (20-liter internal volume) was attached to an elastic element, a large animal anesthesia bag (30 liters). The pneumotachograph was positioned at the proximal end of the tubing, and the inductance bands were wrapped in parallel around the spherical anesthesia bag, located at the distal end of the model. The model was oscillated (0.25–4.0 Hz) using constant end-expiratory pressure (2–5 cmH2O), with either a mechanical ventilator (Bear 1, model BV-512, Bourns Medical Systems, Riverside, CA), or forced oscillatory mechanics delivery system (On the Nose, Scientific Solutions, Eden Mills, Ontario).

Calibration, signal acquisition, and signal processing. The pneumotachograph (Fleisch no. 5, OEM Medical, Lenoir, NC) was calibrated using a precision syringe (3-liter volume syringe, Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO). The pneumotachograph was connected via tubing to a differential pressure transducer (DP45–14, Validyne Engineering) and carrier demodulator amplifier. An esophageal balloon catheter was placed to the level of the midthorax and connected to a differential pressure transducer (DP45–28, Validyne Engineering) and amplified. The opposite pole of the pressure transducer was connected to a side port in the gas-collection mask to obtain transpulmonary pressure measurements.

For calibration of RIP, an oscillator (large-animal oscillator, Ambulatory Monitoring, Sawmill, NY) was used, the signal from which was demodulated downstream using standard diagnostic hardware (Respirtrace Interface, Ambulatory Monitoring, Saw Mill, NY). The sensitivity of the two RIP sensors (Rib and Abd bands) was made equal by adjusting their analog gain settings while stretching them dynamically (0.25–0.5 Hz) off the horse to identical lengths. This required a system of hangers, and their equivalence was later confirmed by placing them as close as possible to each other on a horse.

The Rib and Abd volume signals were summed to obtain a third analog volume signal, Sum (RIP interface, Ambulatory Monitoring). The three analog signals that were derived from these sensors (Sum, Rib, and Abd) were digitized (30 Hz; ADAPC, Buxco Electronics, Sharon, CT), displayed, and recorded on a personal computer by using data-acquisition software (XA BioSystem, Buxco Electronics). We differentiated the Sum signal to obtain Sum flow (VdSum) and applied smoothing (50 ms) to VdSum and Vpn equally.

The waveforms (VdSum and Vpn) acquired in horses in each of parts I–III were analyzed post hoc using commercial software (AcqKnowledge, BIOPAC Systems). The RIP volume signal (VdSum) was calibrated to the inspired volume recorded from the pneumotachograph by adjusting the gain setting of VdSum (volume) signal post hoc to correct for the difference in their amplitudes by using a multiplicative constant. The rationale to calibrate the Sum signal to Vpn during inspiration was to normalize the signal to correct tidal volume (VT) while tracking the dynamic events that represent compression or rarefaction. This permitted within- and between-subject comparisons. After calibration of the Sum signal, this signal was differentiated to obtain VdSum. Next, the two flow waveforms (i.e., VdSum – Vpn flow) were digitally subtracted to obtain a third waveform that represented the dynamic differences between these flows, which was subsequently analyzed. The following variables were derived from the final subtracted waveform (see Fig. 2): 1) peak of the subtracted waveform during exhalation (SFEmax) and during inhalation (SFI max); and 2) the integral of the subtracted waveform during the first 25% of exhaled volume (SFB 125) and first 25% of inspired volume (SFI 125). For calculation of these indexes, the beginning of inspiration and expiration was defined by the upward and downward directed zero crossings of the VdSum signals, respectively.
Part I: Comparison of test system with conventional methods for measuring airway responses to histamine (airway reactivity). Eight standardbred mares, with no clinical, lung radiographic, or airway endoscopic abnormalities, were included in part I. These horses were subjected to histamine bronchoprovocation as previously described (7, 22). Horses were sedated with xylazine (0.75 mg/kg body wt), and their heads were maintained in a horizontal position during measurements (22). Respirtrac (Ambulatory Monitoring, Saw Mill, NY) bands (4 cm wide) were placed on the horses: one at the 11th intercostal space (Rib), and the other placed directly behind the last (18th) rib (Abd). None of the horses employed for study were conditioned to the placement of inductance bands. First, baseline lung mechanisms, including pulmonary resistance (Rl), dynamic compliance (Cdyn), maximum change in transpulmonary pressure (ΔPtnmax), breathing rate (f), and Vt, were recorded, in addition to the test variables (SFEmax, SFImax, SFEint, SFIint). Next, increasing concentrations of histamine diphosphate in saline aerosol (saline plus 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and up to 32 mg/dl histamine diphosphate; Sigma Chemical) were administered for 2 min each, through a nebulizer (Pari LC Plus, Pari Respiratory Equipment, Monterey, CA) powered by a high-flow (10 l/min) compressor (ProNeb Turbo, Pari Respiratory Equipment). The test was terminated when the Cdyn for the horse dropped to <50% of the baseline, respiratory rate doubled, or a horse was visibly dyspneic or coughing. A dose-response curve was generated for Cdyn and for each test variable. To compare the measurements of airway reactivity using a test with conventional measurements, we compared the log of the provocative concentration that induced a 35% decrease in Cdyn, as is standard in the horse, with the log of the histamine concentration associated with a 35% increase in SFEmax or SFEint. These indexes were compared using Spearman’s correlation coefficient.

Part II: Changes observed in the test and conventional method during bronchodilation. Seven horses that presented to Tufts University School of Veterinary Medicine with spontaneous recurrent airway obstruction (“heaves”) were employed for part II. These horses exhibited severe clinical signs of lower airway obstruction, with baseline ΔPtnmax >30 cmH2O. First, a baseline recording of classical lung mechanics (Rl, Cdyn, ΔPtnmax, f, Vt) and test variables was made for at least 5 min. Next, the horses were administered albuterol (450 μg; ProVentil, Schering-Plough) through a commercial drug delivery device (Equine Aeromask, Trudell Medical International, London, Ontario). This caused marked improvement in all horses. A second 5- to 10-min recording was made at least 10 min after the administration of the bronchodilator. We analyzed the percent change in Rl, Cdyn, and ΔPtnmax and compared this change to the percent change in SFEmax and SFEint. The correlations between the test and conventional variables were made using Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

Part III: Changes observed in the test and conventional methods during experimental hyperpnea. Six healthy horses were administered lobeline-HCl (0.2 mg/kg iv; Lobeline, Boehringer-Ingelheim) as a bolus over 5 s to stimulate hyperpnea. Recordings were made for 5 min during normal tidal breathing and throughout the period of hyperpnea (30–90 s). The reaction included a stable period of hyperpnea with high frequency and Vt (30–45 s) followed by a decline in Vt but maintenance of high frequency for several breaths. The changes in test variables among baseline, hyperpneic, and tachypneic periods were analyzed using ANOVA.

RESULTS

Bench-top models. In the step test, the time delay between VdSum and Vpn to reach a flow of 40 l/s in three successive runs was 11, 12, and 14 ms. In the oscillatory model, there was a slight phase delay between the Vpn and VdSum that varied nonlinearly with frequency (Fig. 1). There was a decay in peak amplitude of the VdSum signal with frequency, with the greatest changes occurring at frequencies of 3 and 4 Hz.

Part I in vivo: Effects of histamine-induced bronchoconstriction of horses. None of the horses reacted adversely to placement of the inductance bands, either before or after sedation with xylazine. Histamine aerosols altered the waveforms of VdSum in relation to Vpn, in that the peak VdSum increased in relation to Vpn during the early portion of expiration, resulting in large increases in the subtracted (VdSum – Vpn) waveform (Fig. 2). As a result, the test variables (SFEmax, SFImax, SFEint, SFIint) were altered in relation to histamine dose. Seven out of eight horses given histamine aerosol responded with a decrease in Cdyn and increases in Rl and ΔPtnmax. In horse 8, there was a minimal response to histamine other than tachypnea and coughing; therefore, this horse was not included in the comparison between test and conventional variables. In all of the seven remaining horses, SFEmax increased with increased histamine dose (Fig. 3). In six of seven horses, there was an increase in SFEint but, in one horse with a tachypneic response, SFEint decreased at the highest histamine dose, after initially increasing as in the other horses. There were highly inconsistent changes observed for SFImax and SFIint, with increases and decreases as a result of histamine exposure. There was a significant correlation (r = 0.929, P < 0.001).
between the log dose of histamine that decreased Cdyn by 35% and the log dose of histamine that increased SFEmax by 35% (Fig. 4).

**Part II in vivo: Bronchodilation of horses with heaves.** All horses in this category presented with RL, Cdyn, and \( \delta P_{\text{tp max}} \) values compatible with severe, recurrent airway obstruction (22) (Fig. 5). The administration of albuterol aerosol caused significant decreases in RL and \( \delta P_{\text{tp max}} \) and an increase in Cdyn (Fig. 5) within 5 min. Before bronchodilation, horses with heaves showed marked differences between \( V_{\text{dsum}} \) and \( V_{\text{pn}} \), particularly in the early portion of expiration. The subtracted waveform was characterized by large, positive expiratory spikes and smaller inspiratory spikes in the negative direction (Fig. 6). Bronchodilation reversed these qualitative changes, accompanied by significant (\( P < 0.005 \)) decreases in SFEmax and SFEmint. There were highly significant (\( P < 0.005 \)) correlations between the test variables and RL or \( \delta P_{\text{tp max}} \) when pre- and postbronchodilator values were pooled (Fig. 7). However, there was only a trend for the correlation between Cdyn and SFEmint (\( r = -0.49, P = 0.054 \)) and no significant correlation between Cdyn with SFEmax (\( r = -0.38, P = 0.14 \)). Furthermore, there were no significant correlations between the test variables and VT (vs. SFEmax: \( r = -0.3, P = 0.25 \); vs. SFEmint: \( r = 0.1, P = 0.71 \)) or frequency (vs. SFEmax: \( r = 0.26, P = 0.32 \); vs. SFEmint: \( r = 0.02, P = 0.95 \)). Bronchodilation reversed these qualitative changes, accompanied by significant (\( P < 0.005 \)) decreases in SFEmax and SFEmint. There were highly significant (\( P < 0.005 \)) correlations between the test variables and RL or \( \delta P_{\text{tp max}} \) when pre- and postbronchodilator values were pooled (Fig. 7). However, there was only a trend for the correlation between Cdyn and SFEmint (\( r = -0.49, P = 0.054 \)) and no significant correlation between Cdyn with SFEmax (\( r = -0.38, P = 0.14 \)). Furthermore, there were no significant correlations between the test variables and VT (vs. SFEmax: \( r = -0.3, P = 0.25 \); vs. SFEmint: \( r = 0.1, P = 0.71 \)) or frequency (vs. SFEmax: \( r = 0.26, P = 0.32 \); vs. SFEmint: \( r = 0.02, P = 0.95 \)). Bronchodilation reversed these qualitative changes, accompanied by significant (\( P < 0.005 \)) decreases in SFEmax and SFEmint. There were highly significant (\( P < 0.005 \)) correlations between the test variables and RL or \( \delta P_{\text{tp max}} \) when pre- and postbronchodilator values were pooled (Fig. 7). However, there was only a trend for the correlation between Cdyn and SFEmint (\( r = -0.49, P = 0.054 \)) and no significant correlation between Cdyn with SFEmax (\( r = -0.38, P = 0.14 \)). Furthermore, there were no significant correlations between the test variables and VT (vs. SFEmax: \( r = -0.3, P = 0.25 \); vs. SFEmint: \( r = 0.1, P = 0.71 \)) or frequency (vs. SFEmax: \( r = 0.26, P = 0.32 \); vs. SFEmint: \( r = 0.02, P = 0.95 \)).
variables SFEmax and SFI max were found to increase by a period of tachypnea in all horses (Fig. 8). The Lobeline infusion caused marked hyperpnea followed by a trend toward correlation with δPtpmax (r = 0.47, P = 0.067) and RL (r = 0.46, P = 0.074).

Part III in vivo: Effect of lobeline-induced hyperpnea. Lobeline infusion caused marked hyperpnea followed by a period of tachypnea in all horses (Fig. 8). The variables SFEmax and SFI max were found to increase significantly with hyperpnea but not tachypnea (P < 0.001) (Fig. 9). The VdSum, Vpn, and subtracted (VdSum – Vpn) waveforms showed a distinct pattern during hyperpnea. During expiration, for instance, there was a very early positive spike, followed rapidly by a negative deflection during much of expiration (Fig. 9). This had the effect of increasing the absolute value of SFEmax but decreasing the absolute value of SFI int or causing SFI int to be a negative quantity. As a group, the area measurements SFI int and SFI int were not significantly altered by hyperpnea or tachypnea. The variables SFI max and SFI int were altered by hyperpnea in the opposite direction but to the same extent as SFEmax and SFI int. During tachypnea, when VT returned to baseline, but f remained, on average, double that at baseline, there was no significant change in any test or conventional variable. Hence, hyperpnea, not tachypnea, produced changes in the test variables, specifically in the peak values (SFEmax, SFI max).

**DISCUSSION**

*Critique of the materials and methods.* One potential confounding issue was the necessity for electronic smoothing or filtering (16). These processes introduce potential sampling and analysis errors, which may consequently attenuate the peaks and nadirs in the waveforms and/or slow the frequency response. To avoid these pitfalls, we smoothed the pneumotachographic and RIP signals in an identical fashion before our post hoc analyses, but potential errors may remain. Therefore, one should view our measurements as approximations of the difference between VdSum and Vpn.

There would be a clear advantage to employ a band system that achieved measurements of external flow without the need for differentiation or smoothing, such as the piezoelectric system (25).

Frequency effects on phase and amplitude must also be considered as sources of experimental error. The phase shift that was observed in our positive pressure model indicated that the pneumotach sensor had a slightly better frequency response than Respitrace. It was not possible to increase frequency to supraphysiological levels (>1 Hz) without overall stiffening of the bag; therefore, this may have contributed to the phase shift because of gas compression or hysteresivity of the bag itself. The delay in VdSum caused by differences in the frequency response of the sensors would serve only to attenuate the phase delays (VdSum vs. Vpn) observed in the horses; therefore, we can assume that our test system underestimates rarefaction and compression occurring in vivo. The decay in VdSum amplitude observed in the physical model at higher frequencies would further amplify this error, although the quantitative contribution of each was not determined. In contrast to our system, Jaeger and Otis (17) did not observe phase delays between the volumetric displacement of a piston and a spirometer driven by that piston when there was no resistance between them. The phase shift in the oscillatory test was qualitatively in the same direction as the step test, supporting the concept that frequency responses differed slightly between the pneumotachograph and RIP.

Another technical problem with our study is the use of an arbitrary method for calibrating the RIP waveforms. We were compelled to do so, as it was not possible to assume that a standard calibration, using pneumotachography as a gold standard, would be valid...

---

*Fig. 5. Effect of bronchodilator [albuterol, pressurized metered dose inhaler (pMDI), 450 μg] on conventional [Cdyn, pulmonary resistance (RL), δPtp] and selected test [SFEmax, integral of subtracted final waveform during first 25% of exhaled volume (SFE int)] variables in horses (n = 8) with naturally occurring recurrent airway obstruction ("heaves"). δPtpmax, maximum δPtp. Values are means ± SE.*

*Fig. 6. Waveforms derived from RIP and pneumotachography in a horse with naturally occurring recurrent airway obstruction (heaves) before (A) and after (B) treatment with bronchodilator (albuterol treated: pMDI, 450 μg). Flow traces were derived from RIP (VdSum), pneumotach (Vpn), or their difference (VdSum – Vpn).*

---
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during the various obstructions employed in the experiment. By correcting the $V_{dSum}$ waveform during inspiration, we removed as much of the differences in $V_T$ as possible. This pragmatic approach presumably contributed to an “overcorrection,” as our animals during obstructions clearly exhibited alterations in their inspiratory waveforms as well, and there was a trend in the correlation between conventional and inspiratory test variables in the horses with heaves. Despite this process of calibration, marked dynamic compressive and rarefactive events were observed early during inspiration and expiration in obstructed horses that caused the test variables to change significantly. Hence, the calibration could not have altered the test variables to the extent that the whole breath was corrected.

Phase and magnitude differences between plethysmographic and pneumotachographic measurement of flow and volume were previously observed (17, 24). These discrepancies are a function of resistance, lung volume, $f$, breathing pattern, and barometric pressure, as previously modeled by Jaeger and Otis (17), according to the following equation for harmonic motion: $\phi = \tan^{-1} 2\pi f R C$, where $R$ is resistance and $C$ is compressibility of gas. Intrapulmonary gas compression is a normal phenomenon (14, 19, 20) during exhalation that is accentuated by hyperpnea and exercise. Differences in plethysmographic and pneumotachographic measures of flow, due to gas compression, worsen in humans with asthma, chronic bronchitis, or emphysema, especially if compounded by hyperpnea (17, 21). We employed these concepts to generate a hypothesis that gas compression as a result, principally of changes in resistance, would be quantifiable using our methods. However, we did not model our system using the approach of Jaeger and Otis (17). The equation of motion assumes sinusoidal harmonic motion, which, in our slow, irregularly breathing subjects, was not evident.

Despite the plethora of studies that have examined the differences between plethysmographic and pneumotachographic volume and flow measurements, there were no studies that we are aware of that have dynam-
ically compared RIP and pneumotachography (i.e., flows). Jackson and coworkers (16) indirectly approached this problem by comparing the kinetics of RIP and pneumotachographic flows (comparing time to reach peak expiratory flow to total expiratory time). They found significant differences in this variable, particularly in infants who wheeze and older neonates with thoracoabdominal asynchrony, and suggested caution in interpretation of uncalibrated RIP. Pennock et al. (26) later employed piezoelectric bands coupled with spirometry and demonstrated a qualitative difference in the magnitude and phase between these signals in normal humans, which he attributed to gas compression. We have taken these observations one step forward by quantifying gas compression in our horse model using boxless plethysmography.

In our horses, there was clear evidence of gas compression by phase delay and magnitude differences in $V_{dSum}$ and $V_{pe}$ that were associated with changes in resistance and $C_{dyn}$. As expected, the horses with severe, natural, lower airway obstruction had markedly elevated values for conventional and the test variables. Examination of the waveforms demonstrated that the expiratory portion of the new test waveforms was altered to a much greater extent than the inspiratory portion. In support, a large discrepancy between RIP and pneumotachographic flows persisted during airway obstruction, even after normalization. During bronchodilation, the changes in test variables during expiration were comparable to parallel changes in $\delta P_{tp,max}$, $R_t$, and $C_{dyn}$, both qualitatively and quantitatively, with a statistically significant correlation observed between absolute values for $SFE_{max}$ and $SFE_{int}$ and conventional variables. This would suggest that the system used here could be employed to measure bronchodilator effects, again with the distinct advantage of noninvasiveness (no need for an esophageal balloon catheter). This would greatly facilitate serial examinations, particularly in pharmacological studies that require daily or more frequent measurements, and for studies involving untrained horses. Whether our system provides more sensitive or reproducible data than a clinical scoring technique would require further validation.

This study further demonstrates that the change in $SFE_{max}$ and $SFE_{int}$ during airway obstruction can be used to generate dose-response curves and interpolate those curves to obtain valid indexes of airway reactivity. Further studies are required to determine the reproducibility and feasibility of this system for field measurements. The semilog dose-response curve for the test variables was different in shape from the conventional semilog dose-response (i.e., histamine-$C_{dyn}$) curve, with the latter appearing more linear. The dose-related changes in $SFE_{max}$ and $SFE_{int}$ resembled more what is seen in barometricwhole body plethysmography, whereby changes occur only one or two doses before the clinical reaction (5, 9, 11). To make a comparison with conventional methods, we chose to examine a change in the test variables (35% increase) that matched the magnitude of change in the conventional method (35% decrease in $C_{dyn}$) by linear interpolation. This may not be the optimal endpoint to evaluate the airway reactivity in horses or to analyze these curves. However, we did not want to confound the comparison by using different methods of interpolation between our test and conventional methods. The excellent correlation in a small number of horses suggests that the system constructed for this experiment could be applied to horses noninvasively to obtain similar information on airway reactivity.

The role of changing lung volume was not revealed by this study, as we did not measure functional residual capacity (FRC). One would expect that histamine-

---

Fig. 9. Effect of lobeline·HCl (0.2 mg/kg iv) to induce hyperpnea, followed by tachypnea in horses ($n = 6$). There was a significant effect (*$P < 0.005$) of hyperpnea (but not tachypnea) on $SFE_{max}$ and peak of subtracted final inhalation waveform ($SFI_{max}$) but no significant effect of hyperpnea or tachypnea on area measurements ($SFE_{int}$, integral of subtracted final waveform during first 25% of inspired volume ($SFI_{int}$)).
induced bronchoconstriction and spontaneous lower airway disease, such as heaves, studied here, would be associated with dynamic hyperinflation, adding to the volume of compressed gas measured with our system. Similar effects were observed in humans with emphysema (17, 21). Further evidence that FRC is important to our measurements is suggested by the work of Johnson and Pierce (18) and later Dorsch et al. (8), who showed that gas compression closely correlated with changes in specific airway conductance (which accounts for changes in FRC). We speculate that \( SFE_{\text{max}} \) and \( SFE_{\text{int}} \) are also variables that are sensitive to changes in lung volume, as a component of gas compression, and this was supported by the effects of hyperpnea during lobeline challenges. The use of a volumetric correction factor may be appropriate to decrease the confounding effects of changes in lung volume and body size on absolute values.

In \textit{part III}, we attempted to answer whether hyperpnea was associated with discrepancies in \( V_{\text{dSum}} \) and \( V_{\text{pn}} \). During hyperventilation in horses, this phenomenon was visualized as a transient difference between the peak \( V_{\text{dSum}} \) and \( V_{\text{pn}} \) (\( SFE_{\text{max}}, SFI_{\text{max}} \)) at the beginning of inspiration and expiration. The appearance differed remarkably from the waveforms during bronchoconstriction (natural and histamine induced), where differences occurred asymmetrically, i.e., predominantly during expiration. Hyperpnea effects also deviated from the effects of increased frequency alone (tachypnea) on our physical model, whereby \( V_{\text{dSum}} \) amplitude decreased less to \( V_{\text{pn}} \). Tachypnea did not have that effect in the horses. The effect of hyperpnea, therefore, is physiological, rather than artifactual, and may result from compression of airways during expiration, inhomogeneities in time constants of emptying in small airways, or increased lung volume, providing a greater compressed mass of air. Jaeger and Otis (17) noted gas compression in some hyperventilating subjects who maintained a sinusoidal breathing pattern. The sinusoidal pattern, they reasoned, increased the compression of tissues. The breathing pattern during lobeline challenge was also more sinusoidal, as seen during exercise in horses (2).

Based on our observations, one could potentially construct flowmetric variables that discriminate obstruction from hyperpnea. Our use of area differences (\( SFE_{\text{int}}, SFI_{\text{int}} \)) was one such attempt. These variables indeed were more “refractory” to the effects of hyperpnea and tachypnea.

In conclusion, a method that directly compares plethysmographic and pneumotachographic flow was found to be both feasible and valid in the horse for measurement of relative changes in lung mechanics because of experimental bronchoconstriction or during bronchodilation of horses with severe, recurrent airway obstruction. The effects of lung volume, barometric pressure, and \( f \) (when combined) require further observation in a physical model of the measurement system and in the horse. The advantages of this system for testing airway reactivity and bronchodilator effects include its noninvasive platform and the lack of requirement for energy input (pressure, loudspeakers) to drive the system, making the system potentially portable.
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