Increased vasoconstrictor sensitivity in obstructive sleep apnea
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Kraiczi, Holger, Jan Hedner, Yüksel Peker, and Jan Carlson. Increased vasoconstrictor sensitivity in obstructive sleep apnea. J Appl Physiol 89: 493–498, 2000.—We studied vasoconstrictor sensitivity and cholinergic responsiveness of the forearm vasculature in 10 male patients with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and 10 healthy controls. Subjects with regular medication, known arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or dyslipidemia were not included in this study. Age, body mass index, blood pressure, blood glucose, serum lipids, and baseline forearm vascular conductance (derived from venous occlusion plethysmography and intra-arterial blood pressure measurement) did not differ significantly between these two groups. With use of three dosage steps each, angiotensin II and acetylcholine were successively infused into the brachial artery. During infusion of angiotensin II, mean conductance was 39.6% lower (P = 0.002) in the OSA patients compared with that in the control subjects. Vascular responsiveness to increasing dosages of acetylcholine was not significantly altered in the OSA group. These findings suggest an enhanced vasoconstrictor sensitivity in the forearm vasculature in OSA. The hypothesis that endothelial function in OSA is impaired independently of other cardiovascular risk factors is not supported by the present results.

Methods

Study population. Ten male patients with OSA and 10 male control subjects (announcement in the local newspaper) roughly matched for age (±5 yr) and BMI (±5 kg/m2) were included in the study (Table 1). Their number of apneas plus hypopneas per self-reported hour of sleep (apnea-hypopnea index) was measured in a previous overnight recording using an ambulatory device for ventilatory monitoring (Edentec, Infiniti Medical, Taby, Sweden) or in a laboratory setting with at least a thermistor (in-house), static charge-sensitive bed (Bio-matt, Biorec, Raisio, Finland), and pulse oximeter (BIOX 3700, Ohmeda, Louisville, CO). An apnea or hypopnea event was scored when saturation of hemoglobin with O2 in arterial blood declined by at least 4% during or immediately after a cessation of oronasal airflow or a reduction of the thermistor amplitude by at least 50% during 10 s or longer. The minimal apnea-hypopnea index for OSA patients and the maximal apnea-hypopnea index for control subjects were set at 10/h and 5/h, respectively (Table 1). One patient with OSA, who was screened outside our hospital, was included on the basis of an oxygen desaturation index of 29/h. Control subjects had to be free of excessive daytime sleepiness.

Exclusion criteria for both groups were regular intake of any medication, known arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or dyslipidemia as well as screening blood pressures >160 mmHg (systolic) or >95 mmHg (diastolic). In addition, sensitivity is increased in OSA, we performed the present study and compared forearm vascular conductance during intra-arterial infusion of incremental doses of the vasoconstrictor angiotensin II between OSA patients and healthy control subjects.

Previous results from our group suggest that OSA is associated with a reduced cholinergic responsiveness of forearm resistance arteries (4) and, therefore, impaired endothelial function (15). In the second part of the present study, we attempted to reproduce these results and compared the forearm arteriolar dilation during intra-arterial infusion of acetylcholine between OSA patients and control subjects. In contrast to the previous study sample, only subjects without known hypertension or regular medication were included. Moreover, variables identified as potential confounders, particularly age, body mass index (BMI), and blood pressure, were controlled more restrictively.
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Table 1. Clinical characteristics of control subjects and OSA patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>OSA Patients</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>52.8 ± 12.3</td>
<td>52.5 ± 10.6</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>28.4 ± 3.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apnea-hypopnea index, h⁻¹</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>39.8 ± 22.6*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum cholesterol, mmol/l</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.5*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum triglycerides, mmol/l</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum LDL cholesterol, mmol/l</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.4*</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum HDL cholesterol, mmol/l</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose, mmol/l</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glycosylated hemoglobin A, %</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg, intraarterial</td>
<td>128.7 ± 16.3</td>
<td>128.3 ± 16.0</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg, intraarterial</td>
<td>65.5 ± 7.0</td>
<td>73.6 ± 11.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal forearm vascular conductance, ml·min⁻¹·dl⁻¹·mmHg⁻¹</td>
<td>0.0264 ± 0.0085</td>
<td>0.0348 ± 0.0165</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; BMI, body mass index; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure. P values determined by Student’s t-test; *n = 9.

Subjects with BMI ≥35 kg/m², serum cholesterol ≥7.5 mmol/l or blood glucose ≥6.8 mmol/l at the day before the experiment were replaced. The study had been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of Göteborg University, and all subjects gave informed consent before participating.

Measurement of forearm blood pressure and flow velocity. Blood pressure in the brachial artery of the non dominant arm was recorded with an 18-gauge polyethylene catheter (Viggo-Spectramed, Swindon, UK). The catheter was inserted under local anesthesia (lidocaine 1%) and connected to a Sirecust 403–2p monitor (Siemens, Karlsruhe, Germany) via a DPT-6000 pressure transducer (Peter von Berg GmbH). Five-second averages of systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP and DBP, respectively) were obtained from the paper printout of the monitor and used to calculate mean blood pressure (MBP) according to the conventional approximation MBP = DBP + [1/3(SBP − DBP)]. Before each reading, the local blood pressure curve was checked visually for artifacts.

Forearm blood flow was measured in the same arm, using the venous occlusion plethysmographic technique originally described by Whitney (44). A mercury-in-silastic strain gauge was placed on the widest part of the forearm, which was resting comfortably on a support slightly above the level of the heart. The strain gauge was connected to an electronically calibrated plethysmograph (Elektromedicin, Kungsbacka, Sweden) linked to a filter pen recorder (BD 101–6, Kipp & Zonen, Delft, The Netherlands). For flow measurements, arm veins were occluded by automated rapid inflation (50 mmHg within ±1 s) of a cuff applied proximal to the elbow. Circulation in the hand was restrained from 1 min before until the end of the flow measurements by inflating a pediatric blood pressure cuff placed around the wrist to 50 mmHg above systolic pressure. By this procedure, the unpredictable influence of arteriovenous shunts in the hand was eliminated. Forearm blood flow at baseline and during all dosage steps of the experimental protocol (see below) was determined by geometric analysis and averaging of three consecutive plethysmographic recordings (41, 42).

Study procedure. One day before the experiment, fasting venous blood samples were obtained for determination of blood glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin A, and total, low-density, and high-density lipoprotein-borne cholesterol as well as triglycerides in serum. The samples were immediately sent to the hospital laboratory for routine analysis. One day later, on the day of experiments, subjects had a light, low-fat breakfast at home before arriving at the hospital at ~07:30 AM. Intake of drugs or beverages containing caffeine or alcohol was not allowed within 12 h before the start of the investigation. Experiments took place in a quiet room with a temperature of ~22°C (72°F) with the subjects in a supine position. After instrumentation and subsequent 30- to 60-min rest, forearm flow and intra-arterial blood pressure at baseline were determined (3–6 measurements). Study drugs were then infused intra-arterially with stepwise increments of dosage at contiguous 4-min intervals. First, human angiotensin II (Clinalfa, Läufelfingen, Switzerland) was infused at dose steps of 10, 30, and 100 pmol/min. After 1 h of rest, acetylcholine was given (Miochol, Ciba Vision, Ashdod, Israel) at doses of 82.5, 165, and 330 nmol/min (15, 30, and 60 μg/min).

Data handling. Forearm blood flow was determined during the last 30 s of each 4-min dosage interval of the experimental protocol. The corresponding interval MBP was calculated by averaging MBP values obtained before and after the respective dose interval. Dividing flow velocity by the corresponding interval MBP yielded vascular conductance for a given dose interval.

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to test the significance of factors OSA (patients vs. controls) and dosage (repeated measurements representing three incremental dosages) in determining forearm vascular conductance for each substance studied (STATISTICA 5.0, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK). Baseline conductance was used as covariate (27). To rule out an important influence of baseline MBP distribution on statistical inferences, analyses of covariance were repeated with baseline MBP as an additional covariate. Baseline differences in blood pressure, forearm flow conductance, and clinical-chemical results between controls and OSA patients as well as MBP changes over consecutive infusions were compared with independent samples and paired t-tests, respectively. Differences with P values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Age, BMI, metabolic variables, and baseline forearm vascular conductance did not differ significantly between control subjects and OSA patients (Table 1). An insignificant between-group difference in MBP at baseline (P = 0.311) remained unchanged throughout the experiment, i.e., MBP did not differ significantly between OSA patients and control subjects at any measurement point (Table 2). In both groups, we observed a significant increase in MBP from baseline to the highest dosage of angiotensin II (controls: 4.7 mmHg, P = 0.012; OSA patients: 6.6 mmHg, P = 0.018), whereas all other changes from angiotensin II or acetylcholine baselines remained within random limits.
Intra-arterial infusion of angiotensin II (Fig. 1) resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in forearm vascular conductance in both groups ($P < 0.001$). The extent of vasoconstriction, however, was significantly enhanced in the OSA group, the average conductance of which over all three dosage steps was 39.6% lower than that of the control group ($P = 0.002$; $P = 0.667$ for interaction between factors OSA and dosage), despite slightly increased baseline values. Further correction of ANCOVA results for baseline MBP did not importantly influence the comparison between control subjects and OSA patients ($P = 0.004$ after correction). Because MBP increased during infusion of the last angiotensin II dose (by 6.4 mmHg in the control subjects and 5.0 mmHg in the OSA patients), ANCOVA was repeated with conductance for the highest dosage period calculated from MBP obtained immediately after flow measurements instead of the corresponding interval MBP. However, essentially the same results were obtained (data not shown).

During infusion of acetylcholine (Fig. 2), forearm vascular conductance increased in both groups ($P < 0.001$). Averaged over all three dosage steps, conductance in the OSA subjects was 20.3% lower than in the control subjects, but this difference was not significant ($P = 0.631$ for the group comparison; $P = 0.669$ for the interaction term).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study suggest that the forearm vasoconstrictor response to angiotensin II is increased in normotensive patients with OSA. Because sensitivity to vasoconstrictors is determined by a variety of mechanical and biochemical factors, several mechanisms may have contributed to this finding.

First, increased vasoconstrictor responsiveness in the OSA patients may be a result of early structural alterations of the arteriolar wall. Increased wall-to-lumen ratio, in particular, generates greater force and thus enhanced resistance increase at a given concentration of vasoconstrictor (14). A conceivable physiological basis for such vascular remodeling in OSA may be provided by nocturnal blood pressure surges (28), which are an inherent characteristic of disordered breathing during sleep (40). Moreover, increased permanent or nocturnal release of norepinephrine (13), thromboxane A2 (26), and/or endothelin (36) in OSA may have promoted long-term vascular growth resulting in greater vasoconstrictor sensitivity in our sample of OSA patients (18, 30, 37, 45). Recent findings from animal studies that show increased activity of the renin-angiotensin system as a consequence of increased sympathetic tone during intermittent hypoxia (12) lend additional support for an increased growth-promoting influence on the vessel wall in OSA (8). However, histological evidence may be required to reveal whether OSA is associated with structural vascular remodeling, as suggested by the present physiological findings.

Second, greater reduction of vascular conductance during angiotensin II infusion may reflect increased sensitivity of the vessel wall to vasoconstrictors at the

---

**Table 2. Brachial artery MBP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>OSA Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>$86.6 \pm 9.4$</td>
<td>$91.8 \pm 13.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 pmol/min</td>
<td>$87.7 \pm 10.6$</td>
<td>$94.4 \pm 12.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 pmol/min</td>
<td>$88.0 \pm 10.6$</td>
<td>$95.4 \pm 12.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 pmol/min</td>
<td>$91.3 \pm 9.6*$</td>
<td>$98.4 \pm 12.2*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylcholine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>$89.8 \pm 13.2$</td>
<td>$95.6 \pm 10.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.5 mmol/min</td>
<td>$90.9 \pm 12.6$</td>
<td>$95.2 \pm 10.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165 mmol/min</td>
<td>$92.3 \pm 13.3$</td>
<td>$95.5 \pm 10.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330 mmol/min</td>
<td>$91.6 \pm 13.2$</td>
<td>$97.5 \pm 9.9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD. MBP, mean blood pressure (mmHg).

* $P < 0.05$ for the difference from baseline (paired $t$-test).
downregulates AT2 expression in cardiac myocytes of which is permanently increased in OSA (3, 7, 13), observation. Interestingly, norepinephrine, the release therefore a further possible mechanism behind our turbid AT2 postreceptor signal transduction is dilatation (1). Downregulation of AT2 receptors or disturbance NO release (39), cGMP production (16), and vasodilators may also be the consequence of a diminished net effect of endothelial-dependent NO production or increased NO degradation accompanying activation of NO synthesis. Such diminished net effect of endothelium-dependent NO production is in accordance with previous data showing reduced acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in OSA (4). In addition to this presumed dysfunction at the level of NO synthesis, however, reduced recruitment of counterregulatory vasodilators may also be the consequence of a diminished effect of angiotensin II at the angiotensin II type 2 (AT2) receptor (21), which has been suggested to mediate NO release (39), cGMP production (16), and vasodilation (1). Downregulation of AT2 receptors or disturbed AT2 postreceptor signal transduction is therefore a further possible mechanism behind our observation. Interestingly, norepinephrine, the release of which is permanently increased in OSA (3, 7, 13), downregulates AT2 expression in cardiac myocytes (23), providing some support for this concept.

Finally, the net effect of endogenous vasodilators, e.g., NO, on the extent of pharmacologically induced vasconstriction may be nullified by a prevailing neurohumoral and/or paracrine vasoconstrictor overweight. Therefore, increased sensitivity to angiotensin II in OSA as found in the present study may be the result of a shifted balance between endogenous vasoconstrictors (3, 7, 36) and vasodilators to a higher level of activity, exhausting the capability of vasodilator mechanisms to counteract additional vasoconstrictive challenges (10).

The question remains whether the systemic MBP increase observed during infusion of the third angiotensin II dose in the present experiment may have confounded the comparison of vasconstrictive responses between control subjects and OSA patients. Several reasons, however, may argue against such possibility. First, the blood pressure increase was of the same magnitude in both groups, thus inducing merely a small risk of interference with the between-group comparison. Second, statistical control of the differences in conductance between controls and OSA patients for MBP changes from baseline did not importantly change the results. Third, between-group differences in vasoconstrictor sensitivity were obvious at the first two doses of angiotensin II, when MBP was not different from baseline values. Thus blood pressure changes due to infusion of the highest dose of angiotensin II may not have affected our results.

Increased sensitivity of the vascular wall to vasoconstrictive challenges, as suggested by the present results, provides an explanation for the exaggerated blood pressure response to short-term hypoxia in OSA, a phenomenon that is independent of baseline blood pressure (20). Simultaneously, enhanced hypoxic pressor response and increased vasoconstrictor sensitivity in OSA suggest that the hemodynamic consequences of apneas during sleep, such as repetitive activation of the sympathetic nervous system (19) and blood pressure surges (40), may be aggravated during the natural course of OSA. Moreover, because the blood pressure (but not heart rate) response to physical workload predicts long-term cardiovascular mortality (11), our findings might reflect one mechanism behind the predisposition to cardiovascular disease suggested by epidemiological studies in OSA patients (22, 35).

In contrast to previous results from our group (4), responsiveness of the forearm vasculature to acetylcholine was not significantly reduced in the OSA patients. One explanation for this discrepancy may be the stricter control for potential confounding factors in the present study. In particular, because endothelial function is reduced in hypertension (34), subjects with known hypertension or with previous or concomitant antihypertensive medication were not included in the present study. Moreover, potential confounding effects of age and BMI (4, 32) were minimized by stricter matching for these factors in the present study. Another difference between the present and the previous study was the use of flow conductance rather than resistance as a measure of vascular tone. Because of their reciprocal, i.e., nonlinear, relation, substitution of one index for the other may importantly influence conclusions about vasomotor responses (33). In experiments of the present type, with primary changes in flow rather than in pressure, use of conductance in contrast to resistance reduces the risk of spurious statistical conclusions (29) and was therefore considered more appropriate for the present study. Another reason for the selection of conductance was that the hemodynamic effects of changes in conductance but not resistance are independent of baseline values and, thus, are more likely to adequately reflect the relevance of vascular responses for blood pressure regulation (33). Nevertheless, the discrepancy between the previous and the present results may illustrate that...
the detection of endothelial dysfunction in OSA is sensitive to the experimental setting. More powerful studies specifically focusing on endothelium-dependent vascular responsiveness will be required to solve this problem.

In conclusion, our findings support the hypothesis that sensitivity to vasoconstrictors is increased in patients with OSA. The functional state of the endothelium-dependent vasodilator reserve in OSA, however, remains controversial.
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