Immune changes in humans during cold exposure: effects of prior heating and exercise
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Herein, we report how cold exposure might affect the immune system and provide evidence that
both anecdotal (8, 21) and experimental reports (4, 29) have suggested that cold exposure may
increase an organism's susceptibility to infection (42). Cold-induced decrements in immuno-
surveillance can be a particular problem for winter athletes or for military personnel who
must pursue physical activities in cold environments. Upper respiratory tract infections appear
to be the main cause of illness and reasons for missed practice in elite cross-country skiers (5).
Studies of sustained military operations in the Canadian Arctic, also, have reported an increased incidence and severity
of upper respiratory tract infections during patrols involving high levels of energy expenditure and exposure
to cold conditions both day and night (39, 45). Because the effective accomplishment of both athletic
everse and military operations depends on a high level of human performance, maintenance of health is
essential in situations where athletes or troops are exposed to adverse environmental conditions.

It remains unclear whether human viral susceptibility during and after cold exposure is attributable to a
cold-induced change in the function of the immune cells or to other incidental consequences of the cold
environment such as drying of the mucosal surface, a slowing of tracheal cilia (17), or a deterioration of the normal
barrier function of the skin (19). Animal studies have demonstrated that cold exposure induces changes in
both cellular and humoral aspects of immune function (42), including a reduction in natural killer (NK) cell
count and cytolytic activity (1, 48), a decrease in lymphocyte proliferation (18, 43), and (after several
days of cold exposure) an enhanced production of proinflammatory cytokines (23). However, in many of these
studies, the animals were subjected to prolonged periods of cold exposure or were placed in environments
to which they were unaccustomed (for example, cold-water immersion). This would have placed additional
stress on the animals studied, and the psychological stress rather than the cold exposure may have influ-
enced their immune status. Moreover, the results obtained in animal studies are difficult to apply to hu-
mans because of interspecies differences.

To date, there has been minimal research examining how cold exposure affects immune function in humans,
and no one has examined how many changes may be modulated by prior passive heating or moderate exer-
cise (with or without a thermal clamp, a procedure that maintains a constant core body temperature). It is
known that passive heating and exercise each recruits leukocytes (granulocytes, lymphocytes, and lympho-
cyte subsets) into the circulation and enhances NK cell function (6). Immersion of healthy young men in cold
(14°C) water induces a leukocytosis (22). Furthermore, a brief bout (30 min) of cold (4°C)-air exposure in-
creases NK cell activity (27). We thus hypothesized that exposure to cold air (5°C) for 2 h would have immuno-
stimulating effects and that pretreatment with exercise or passive heating would have algebraically additive
effects on this response.

BOTH ANECDOTAL (8, 21) and experimental reports (4, 29)
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Methods

Subjects

The subjects were seven healthy, moderately fit men aged 20–34 yr [mean age 24.0 ± 1.9 (SE) yr] recruited from a pool of military personnel in accord with a protocol approved by the Human Experimentation Committee at the US Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained before participation in the study. Exclusionary criteria included acute infection and a history of allergic conditions, prior cold injury, Raynaud’s syndrome, cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, or diabetes mellitus. Subjects were asked to refrain from consumption of alcohol, smoking, taking medication, and exercising 12 h before any testing session. Subjects were of average male height (1.76 ± 0.02 m), body mass (79.4 ± 4.7 kg), percent body fat (41.6 ± 1.6%), and aerobic power (45.7 ± 2.0 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹).

Experimental Design

Each subject visited the laboratory on five occasions. At entry, anthropometric measurements [height, body mass, and determination of percent body fat by using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (model DPX-L, Lunar, Madison, WI)] were made, and peak oxygen consumption (V_\text{O}_2\text{peak}) was determined by using a progressive cycle ergometer test. The cycle ergometer protocol consisted of a warm-up (70 W for 2 min) followed by a 35-W increase in exercise intensity every 2 min until volitional exhaustion.

Subjects then completed four separate trials with different pretreatments followed by a standardized cold-air exposure. The pretreatments took place in a water bath, whereby subjects (submerged to the shoulder) either remained seated or performed semirecumbent exercise, pedaling (38–45 rpm) on a modified Monark cycle ergometer (41). A weighted waist belt allowed subjects to maintain their position underwater.

In the control condition, each subject sat at rest in approximately thermoneutral conditions (a 35°C water bath) for 60 min. During passive heating, each subject sat in a warm (38°C) water bath until his rise in core temperature matched that which had occurred during exercise in 35°C water (times ranging from 38 to 70.5 min, with an average of 59.8 min). During one pretreatment involving exercise, subjects performed cycle ergometer exercise for 60 min at an average of 55% of his personal V_\text{O}_2\text{peak} while immersed in cold water (18°C); this maintained core body temperature constant (the “thermal clamp” condition). For the pretreatment involving exercise without a thermal clamp, each subject performed cycle ergometer exercise for 60 min at an average of 55% of his personal V_\text{O}_2\text{peak} while immersed in water at 35°C. Immediately after each pretreatment, the subjects were dried and dressed in dry cotton shorts and cotton socks (−0.3 clo). Within 20 min, subjects were then transferred to a cold climatic chamber and exposed to cold air (5°C, 40% relative humidity, wind speed of 0.7 m/s) for 120 min. Subjects were assigned to each pretreatment according to the following order (exercise in 35°C water, sitting in warm water, exercise in cold water, sitting in thermoneutral water). This was done to match the rise in core temperature between the exercise trial (exercise in 35°C water) and the resting trial (sitting in warm water). Each experiment took place at the same time of day; individual experiments were separated by 1-wk intervals.

Physiological Measurements

Heart rate (electrocardiogram, CM-5 configuration) and rectal temperature (rectal thermistor inserted 0.1 m past the anal sphincter) were measured continuously during both water immersion and cold exposure. To avoid subject discomfort and resultant mouthpiece leakage, oxygen uptake was measured intermittently (after 15–20 min of immersion and at regular intervals during cold exposure), by using an online metabolic analysis system (model 2900, SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA).

Blood Sampling

Venous blood samples were collected from an indwelling venous catheter (Deseret Medical, Sandy, UT) that had been inserted into the medial antebrachial vein of the left arm 30 min before each pretreatment. Patency of the catheter was maintained between sampling by means of a 1.0-ml heparin-saline lock (100 U/ml). Blood samples of 18 ml volume were taken before (pre) and at the end of exercise (post), and 23 ml samples were taken before (0 min), during (60 min), and at the end of cold exposure (120 min). The first 1.0 ml of each blood sample was discarded. Hemoglobin and complete blood counts were checked at the beginning of each experiment. All values proved normal, but the study design provided for more detailed hematologic evaluation and a delaying of further experiments if a hematologic deficit had persisted as a result of blood sampling during a prior treatment.

At the specified times, aliquots of blood were drawn into vacutainers (Becton-Dickinson, Oakville, ON). Complete blood counts were determined on K_3 EDTA-treated specimens of whole blood by using a Cell Dyn Hematology System (Cell Dyn 3500, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL). Heparinized whole blood (143 USP U sodium heparin) was used for the cytolytic functional assay. A separate vacutainer containing K_3 EDTA-treated blood provided plasma samples for cytokine and cortisol analyses. Aliquots of blood for catecholamine analyses were drawn into 4.5-ml vacutainers containing K_3 EDTA and reduced glutathione (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). Catecholamine and cortisol samples were obtained only during the cold-exposure component of the experiment. The method of Dill and Costill (12) was used to make appropriate adjustments of white cell counts, cytokine, and hormonal concentrations for changes in blood and plasma volumes relative to their respective baseline values.

Immunophenotyping

Immunophenotyping was performed by using 100-µl samples of K_3 EDTA-treated whole blood. Samples were first washed with 2 ml of PBS saline containing 0.1% sodium azide (0.1% Na_3 PBS). The cell pellet was then stained with 10 µl of the selected monoclonal antibodies (MAbs; Becton-Dickinson) conjugated with FITC, phycoerythrin (PE), or peridinin chlorophyll protein in the following staining combinations: anti-CD3 MAb (FITC)/anti-CD19 MAb (PE), anti-CD4 MAb (FITC)/anti-CD8 MAb (PE), anti-CD4 MAb (FITC)/anti-CD8 MAb (PE)/anti-CD3 MAb (PE), and anti-CD3 MAb (FITC)/anti-CD16,56 MAb (PE) (Becton-Dickinson, Mississauga, ON). After 30 min of incubation on ice in the dark, 2 ml of 10% fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) lysing solution (Becton-Dickinson) was added and the sample was vortexed. The tubes were then kept in the dark at room temperature (23°C) for a further 10 min to lyse the red cells. Nonlysed cells were separated by centrifuging at 300 g for 5 min at 4°C. Tubes were then washed twice with 2 ml of a cold 0.1% Na_3 PBS solution and were centrifuged for a further 5 min at 4°C and 300 g after each wash. The resultant pellet was resuspended in 0.3 ml of cold 0.1% Na_3 PBS with 3% formaldehyde, vortexed, and later analyzed by flow cytometry.
A FACS flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson Immunosystems, Mountainview, CA) was used to examine the MAb-stained cell suspensions. First, the flow cytometer was calibrated with a mixture of monosized FITC- and PE-conjugated and unconjugated latex particles (~6.0-µm Calibrite beads, Becton-Dickinson) by using FACSComp software (Becton-Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems). An isotype-negative control was used to optimize the setting of the fluorescence detectors for each subject. Fluorescence compensation was adjusted by using a dual-stained anti-CD4 MAb (FITC)/anti-CD8 MAb (PE) sample. Gate settings for the lymphocyte population and boundaries for fluorescence intensity were determined by nonspecific staining, by using control tubes containing whole blood and mouse immunoglobulins IgG2a MAb (FITC) and IgG1 MAb (PE). The absolute count for a given lymphocyte subset was estimated by multiplying the observed percentage for that subset by the total number of lymphocytes in the peripheral blood, the latter value being adjusted for changes in blood volume.

Determination of NK Cell Activity

A 51Cr-release assay assessed the total cytolytic activity of isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC). PBMC were first isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque centrifugation. Ten milliliters of heparinized venous blood were diluted with an equal volume of Dulbecco's PBS (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO). For each blood sample, three 15-ml centrifuge tubes were used to layer 7 ml of diluted blood carefully over 5 ml of Ficoll-Paque (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden). The suspension was then centrifuged for 30 min at 20°C and 450 g. The mononuclear layer was removed and washed twice, first with PBS and then with RPMI 1640 culture medium containing L-glutamine (GIBCO, Burlington, ON). The cell suspension was centrifuged for 10 min at 10°C and 275 g after each wash. The washed PBMC were resuspended in 1 ml of RPMI 1640, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (GIBCO) (10% FCS-RPMI 1640). The total PBMC count was determined by means of an electronic cell counter (Coulter Counter model ZM, Luton, Beds, UK) and was then adjusted to 2 × 10^6 cells/ml, by using 10% FCS as the diluent.

A human erythroleukemic cell line (K562, American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD), maintained in suspension culture, was used for the 51Cr-release assay. One million K562 cells, maintained in 10% FCS-RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO) were labeled by mixing with 100 µl (3.7 MBq) of sodium chromate-51 (51Cr) (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) for 60 min at 37°C and 4% CO2. The radiolabeled cells were washed 3 times with 4 ml of cold 10% FCS-RPMI 1640 medium and were then diluted with 10 ml of medium to achieve a final concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/ml. The labeled cells were kept on ice until the assay was performed. Triplicates of 100 µl of PBMC at concentrations of 2 × 10^6, 1 × 10^6, and 0.5 × 10^6 cells/ml were mixed with a 100-µl suspension of radiolabeled (51Cr) target cells at 1 × 10^5 cells/ml and were then centrifuged (1 min at 37°C and 160 g) in a 96-well round-bottom microtiter plate (Sarstedt, St. Leonard, PQ). After incubation for 4 h at 37°C, 4% CO2, the cell mixture was centrifuged for a further 5 min at 4°C and 225 g. One hundred microliters of supernatant were then withdrawn and transferred to poly styrene, round-bottom tubes (12 × 75 mm) (Falcon, Lincoln Park, NJ). The radioactivity of the supernatant was determined by a Cebra Automated Gamma Counter (model 5002, Packard Instruments, Downers Grove, IL). Spontaneous release of 51Cr was assessed by incubating 100 µl of medium with 100 µl of target cells. The maximum potential release of radioactive material was determined by incubating 100 µl of 1% Triton X-100 (a biodegradable nonionic surfactant) (Sigma Chemical) with 100 µl of target cells. The percentage of 51Cr release (cytolytic activity) was calculated by using the following formula:

\[ \text{percentage lysis} = \left( \frac{\text{test cpm} - \text{spontaneous cpm}}{\text{maximum - spontaneous cpm}} \right) \times 100\% \]

where cpm is counts per minute. The exponential curve-fitting method of Pross et al. (36) was used to determine lytic units from the values obtained for percent lysis at three different effector-to-target ratios (20:1, 10:1, and 5:1). The lytic unit was defined as the number of effector cells required to lyse 20% of 10,000 target cells. Results were expressed as the number of lytic units contained in 1 × 10^6 PBMC (lytic units/10^6 PBMC) (36). Lytic units were also calculated on a per NK cell basis, by using the following formula: single cell cytolytic activity = lytic units/(% NK cells × 10^6 PBMC – monocytes).

Biochemical Analysis

K3-EDTA- and EGTA/reduced glutathione-treated vacuiners were mixed by gentle inversion, placed in an ice-water bath for no more than 30 min, and then centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C and 2,250 g. The plasma samples were separated from the packed red cells, transferred to Eppendorf tubes, and immediately frozen and stored at −70°C. Plasma concentrations of interleukin (IL)-6 were determined by using an enzyme immunoassay kit (R & D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Total plasma concentrations of cortisol were determined by radioimmunoassay, with use of standard commercially available kits (Diagnostic Products, Los Angeles, CA). Plasma catecholamine concentrations were measured by using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry system (49); values are reported as unbound norepinephrine and epinephrine concentrations.

Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed throughout as means ± SE. The statistical significance of changes in physiological, immunological, and hormonal parameters was analyzed by using one- and two-way (trial by time) repeated-measures analyses of variance. Comparison between the control condition and 38°C water immersion provided information on the effects of heat and subsequent response to cold exposure. Comparisons between the control condition vs. exercise in cold water and passive heating vs. exercise in 35°C water provided information on the effects of heat and subsequent response to cold exposure. Comparisons between exercise pretreatments allowed for the analysis of the influence of an exercise-induced rise in core temperature. Specific post hoc contrasts were used to explore significant main effects and interactions. Bonferroni adjustments were made for multiple comparisons, a probability P value of < 0.001 being accepted as statistically significant.

From the data obtained during cold exposure, correlations between cell counts, heart rate, rectal temperature, and hormone levels were determined by using forward, stepwise multiple-regression analysis. Each cell type was analyzed as the dependent variable with the other variables (heart rate, rectal temperature, and hormonal levels) set as independent variables. In a separate analysis, IL-6 was analyzed as the dependent variable with four independent variables (rectal temperature, cortisol, norepinephrine, and epinephrine). The forward stepwise multiple-regression analysis sequentially determines nonsignificant variables that should be excluded in a predictive equation. Variables are progressively eliminated from the analysis, and a reduced equation is recalcul-
lated by using the remaining variables (44). These analyses were completed to gain an understanding of the mechanisms that may be involved in some of the changes observed. For each regression analysis, a P value of < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant for individual terms in the equation. All statistical calculations were performed by using StatView and SuperANOVA microcomputer software packages (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA).

RESULTS

Physiological Responses

A slight, although statistically significant, 0.3°C reduction in rectal temperature occurred when subjects sat in water at 35°C (Fig. 1). In contrast, rectal temperatures were significantly increased 0.7°C within 45 min of passive heating. Rectal temperatures were also significantly increased 0.6°C within 30 min of exercise in 35°C water and were not significantly altered when subjects exercised in 18°C water (hereafter described as exercise with a thermal clamp). For each of the pretreatment exercise conditions, subjects exercised on a modified underwater cycle ergometer at an average of 55% of their personal V\textsuperscript{O}_2 peak.

Before entry into the cold chamber, rectal temperatures returned to pretreatment baseline levels after control condition (sitting in 35°C water) as well as after exercise with a thermal clamp. Rectal temperature was some 1°C higher after the pretreatments of passive heating or exercise in 35°C water (Fig. 1). After pretreatment with exercise with a thermal clamp, rectal temperatures were significantly reduced within 15 min of cold air exposure. Seventy-five minutes of cold-air exposure significantly reduced rectal temperatures not only in the control condition but also when subjects had previously exercised in water at 35°C. After 1 h and 45 min of cold exposure, rectal temperatures were significantly reduced irrespective of the pretreatment protocol. Rectal temperature reached its lowest value when subjects had exercised with a thermal clamp before the cold-air exposure.

Heart rates rose during passive heating (pre = 76 ± 2 beats/min; post = 100 ± 4 beats/min) and were significantly elevated in response to exercise with and without a thermal clamp (exercise in water at 18°C: pre = 77 ± 5 beats/min vs. post = 123 ± 5 beats/min; exercise in water at 35°C: pre = 84 ± 3 beats/min vs. post = 150 ± 6 beats/min). Heart rates returned to baseline values immediately before cold exposure, irrespective of pretreatment.

Total Leukocytes and Differentials

Before each treatment, the average leukocyte count (Fig. 2) was within the expected normal range of 4.5–11.0 \times 10^9 cells/l (38). Cell counts were not significantly altered when the subjects remained seated in the 35 and 38°C water baths. In contrast, exercise with or without a thermal clamp induced a significant rise in leukocyte, granulocyte, and lymphocyte counts. At entry into the cold chamber, total leukocyte counts were significantly higher when subjects had previously exercised with a thermal clamp. After 1 h of cold exposure, total white cell counts had increased significantly in all four pretreatment conditions, and values remained elevated at 2 h of cold exposure. Significantly more leukocytes were recruited into the circulation after 1 h of cold exposure, if the pretreatment was exercise with a thermal clamp rather than the control condition.

Granulocyte counts followed a similar pattern of response to the total leukocyte counts, increasing significantly within 1 h of cold-air exposure (Fig. 2). Compared with the control condition, a significantly greater number of granulocytes were recruited into the peripheral circulation when subjects had previously exercised with a thermal clamp for 1 h. Similarly, compared with
the passive heating pretreatment condition, granulocyte counts tended to be higher if subjects had previously exercised in 35°C water, but this was not statistically significant.

Lymphocyte counts were significantly increased by cold-air exposure if this was preceded by the control pretreatment. Lymphocyte counts also tended to be elevated in response to cold exposure in the other three pretreatment conditions, but the changes were no longer statistically significant. After pretreatment with exercise (with or without a thermal clamp), lymphocyte counts reached peak levels within 1 h of cold air exposure. Monocyte counts were not significantly altered by any of the pretreatment conditions. Within 1 h of cold air exposure, the monocyte count was significantly increased when subjects had previously sat for 1 h in a warm water bath. Recruitment of monocytes during cold exposure was also significantly greater
with pretreatment by exercise with a thermal clamp than with the control pretreatment.

Forward stepwise multiple-regression analyses were performed to gain an understanding of the possible mechanisms contributing to the cellular changes observed during cold exposure. The variables initially included in the model were heart rate, rectal temperature, cortisol, norepinephrine, and epinephrine; the analyses indicated that both leukocyte and granulocyte counts were most closely related to heart rate and norepinephrine concentrations (Table 1). Lymphocyte counts were most closely related to norepinephrine. With the exception of monocytes, rectal temperature had no influence on circulating lymphocyte subsets.

### Lymphocyte Subsets

None of the four pretreatments had any effect on the circulating pan T (CD3+)-, T-helper (CD4+)-, and B (CD19+)-cell counts (data not shown). In contrast, exercise with and without a thermal clamp recruited significant numbers of cytotoxic/suppressor T cells (CD8+) (exercise in water at 18°C: pre = 0.475 ± 0.062 cells x 10^9/l cells vs. post = 0.711 ± 0.038 cells x 10^9/l; exercise in water at 35°C: pre = 0.460 ± 0.070 cells x 10^9/l vs. post = 0.765 ± 0.082 cells x 10^9/l) and NK cells (CD3+/CD16+/56+) into the circulation (Fig. 3).

After the control pretreatment, 2 h of cold exposure significantly increased levels of circulating T (CD3+) and B (CD19+) cells (pre-cold exposure CD3+ cell counts, time 0 = 1.18 ± 0.10 cells x 10^9/l blood vs. post-cold exposure CD3+ cell counts, time 120 = 1.36 ± 0.08 cells x 10^9/l; pre-cold exposure CD19+ cell counts, time 0 = 0.17 ± 0.03 cells x 10^9/l vs. post-cold exposure CD19+ cell counts, time 120 = 0.22 ± 0.03 cells x 10^9/l). NK (CD3+/CD16+/56+) cell counts increased significantly in response to cold exposure after both the control pretreatment and exercise in 35°C water. Pretreatment with either passive heating or exercise with a thermal clamp resulted in significantly higher levels of total NK cell activity when subjects entered the climatic chamber. However, there were no longer any significant differences between pretreatment conditions in response to cold exposure.

### Plasma Hormone Levels

The responses of the different stress hormones (free norepinephrine, free epinephrine, and cortisol) to the various treatments are presented in Fig. 4. Cold exposure preceded by either the control pretreatment or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Heart Rate</th>
<th>Rectal Temperature</th>
<th>Cortisol</th>
<th>Norepinephrine</th>
<th>Epinephrine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total leukocytes</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulocytes</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0348</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8+</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.0483</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+/CD16+/56+</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown in terms of individual probability. NS, not significant.
passive heating induced a significant rise in plasma norepinephrine concentration within 60 min (Fig. 4). When subjects exercised with a thermal clamp before cold exposure, plasma norepinephrine levels increased significantly only after 120 min. A similar pattern of response occurred when subjects exercised in 35°C water before entering the cold chamber; however, in part because of greater variability in the data, the response was no longer statistically significant. Cold exposure after the control pretreatment did not significantly alter levels of circulating epinephrine. When preceded by passive heating, plasma epinephrine concentration was significantly higher after 2 h of cold exposure.

With the exception of the condition involving pretreatment with passive heating, cold exposure had no significant effect on plasma cortisol levels. For this condition only, 1 h of cold exposure led to significant reductions in plasma cortisol levels.

IL-6 Levels

Passive heating and exercise with a thermal clamp did not significantly alter plasma levels of IL-6 (Fig. 5). However, exercise without a thermal clamp induced a significant elevation in IL-6. Before entry into the cold chamber, levels of IL-6 were significantly higher compared with baseline in each of the exercise pretreatments.

In the control condition, 1 h of cold exposure induced a significant rise in plasma IL-6 concentration. When cold exposure was preceded by either passive heating or exercise with a thermal clamp, plasma IL-6 levels were significantly elevated after 2 h. Prior exercise
without a thermal clamp also tended to induce an elevation in response to cold exposure, but these results did not reach levels of significance. A stepwise multiple regression analysis began by correlating IL-6 with rectal temperature, cortisol, norepinephrine, and epinephrine concentrations. Two variables (cortisol and norepinephrine) were retained in the equation with a multiple $R^2$ of 0.191 and $P = 0.0015$ for the equation as a whole.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study examined the impact of cold exposure on selected aspects of immune function, together with the possible modulating effects of prior passive heating and moderate exercise (with or without a thermal clamp). During cold exposure, the body attempts to maintain a normal body temperature by increasing heat production and minimizing heat loss (37). This is accomplished through involuntary tonic muscular activity, rhythmic muscular activity (shivering), peripheral vasoconstriction, and the suppression of sweat secretion. Although primarily thermoregulatory, these mechanisms can also induce metabolic and hormonal changes, which in turn affect immune status.

Anecdotal and experimental reports have suggested that cold exposure may be associated with an increased risk of infection (42). Laboratory animal research involving prolonged cold exposure or cold-water immersion demonstrated a reduction in the function of certain immune parameters (i.e., lymphocyte proliferation, NK cell activity) (1, 18, 43, 48). Such extreme physiological conditions do not represent the typical conditions that humans may encounter during normal daily athletic activities or military operations. Therefore, this study
was designed to examine the acute effects of a brief cold exposure. The parameters evaluated were those components of the immune system that we hypothesized would be most responsive to such a challenge. NK cell activity was included because NK cells represent one of the first lines of defense against virally infected cells, tumor cells, and certain microorganisms. Our findings that the selected aspects of immune function measured in this study were not adversely affected by a moderate exposure to cold air are reassuring. Indeed, we have demonstrated that exposing subjects to a cold environmental chamber (5°C) for 2 h can be immunoenhancing and that moderate exercise (with a thermal clamp) can further augment the response of certain parameters to subsequent cold exposure.

Effects of Passive Heating and Moderate Exercise (With and Without a Thermal Clamp)

Cellular responses. In confirmation of our previous work (40), we did not observe any increase in either total or differential leukocyte cell counts when our subjects were passively heated. Passive heating increased core body temperature by only 1°C, and a greater rise in body temperature (at least 2°C) seems necessary to induce significant changes in circulating cell counts. Both Kappel et al. (25) and Downing et al. (13) immersed their subjects in a hot-water bath (39.5–40°C) for at least 2 h to raise core temperature (2.0–2.5°C); significant increases in total and differential leukocyte counts were then observed.

Our observation that total leukocyte, granulocyte, and lymphocyte counts rise in response to underwater cycle ergometer exercise is consistent with the findings reported by other investigators in our laboratory (9, 37a). Similarly, thermal clamping attenuated this leukocyte and granulocyte response. A combination of a relatively small sample size, intersubject variability, a moderate exercise intensity (55% Vo2peak) and a mild 1°C rise in rectal temperature may explain why we did not observe any differences in lymphocyte and monocyte responses between the different exercise conditions. Increases in cardiac output (via shear stress) and/or changes in levels of circulating hormones (leading to alterations in adhesion molecules and recruitment of cells from reservoirs) account for the exercise-induced changes in cell counts (31). Thermal clamping by cold water immersion not only attenuates the hormonal responses but also blocks the additional increase in cardiac output that would otherwise have been induced by cutaneous dilatation. Thus we had expected that the leukocyte response to exercise would be attenuated by the thermal clamp.

In the present study, none of the four water pretreatments significantly altered CD3+, CD4+ or CD19+ cell counts during subsequent exercise. In contrast, NK cells and cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CD8+) were significantly increased in response to exercise (with or without a thermal clamp). Cytotoxic cells (primarily NK cells) have the greatest number of β2-adrenergic receptors (26, 30) and tend to be more responsive to the release of catecholamines than are other lymphocyte subsets (16). In general, the rise in circulating NK cell count was adequate to explain the parallel rise in NK cell activity observed in response to exercise. However, NK cell activity per cell was significantly increased in response to exercise in cold water, indicating that other humoral factors may have contributed to the enhanced activity observed in this condition.

IL-6 response. Of the various proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1, tumor necrosis factor, and IL-6), we chose to analyze plasma IL-6. IL-6 is one of the few cytokines that is consistently responsive to exercise. The response of other proinflammatory cytokines is less consistent and indeed these cytokines are difficult to detect in plasma by currently available techniques. The finding that plasma IL-6 concentration was significantly increased in response to exercise without a thermal clamp is consistent with other studies of prolonged and strenuous exercise (2, 14, 15, 32).

Immune Changes During Cold Exposure: Effects of Prior Heating and Exercise

Cellular responses. In accord with the results of both animal (46) and human (22) studies, acute cold stress increased circulating leukocyte and neutrophil counts. Jansky et al. (22) reported increases in both red cell and leukocyte counts when their subjects were initially immersed in cold (14°C) water for 1 h. However, this response seems only transient, because chronic cold-water immersion (3 times a week for 6 wk) did not alter the resting leukocyte or granulocyte counts. The cold-induced leukocytosis was significantly augmented by prior exercise with a thermal clamp. Perhaps this is because increased leukocyte counts tend to persist after exercise, giving an additive cellular response to cold plus prior exercise.

When preceded by the control condition, cold exposure significantly increased levels of circulating T (CD3+) and B (CD19+) cells. This cellular response was no longer significant in the face of pretreatment with passive heating or exercise (with or without a thermal clamp). These results may partly be explained by the increase in subject variability that occurred in response to pretreatment. However, a lymphocytopenia has also been reported after exercise (35), and this may have attenuated the rise in T and B cells that would otherwise have occurred in the cold. Without assessment of the proliferative response of these cell subtypes to mitogens, the biological significance of such minor changes remains questionable.

T-helper (CD4+) and T-cytotoxic/suppressor (CD8+) cell counts were not significantly altered by 2 h of cold exposure (5°C). Similarly, 1 h of cold (14°C)-water immersion did not significantly alter circulating concentrations of these cells (22). In contrast, Hennig and associates (20) reported a decrease in peripheral CD4+ counts when body temperature was reduced (0.25°C) by cold exposure (5°C for 20 min) as well as by administration of a serotonin-receptor (5-HT1A) agonist. For the group receiving the 5-HT1A agonist, cortisol was demon-
trated to be the mediator of changes in CD4$^+$ cells. However, for the group exposed to cold air, the reduction of CD4$^+$ cells showed no relationship to changes in cortisol concentration. The discrepant results may be due to the timing of samples. Because our first data were collected 1 h after cold exposure, there could have been an undetected reduction in cell counts during the first 20 min of cold exposure, associated with an early “stress” response, with a return to baseline levels after 1 h of cold exposure. Nevertheless, this is not very likely, because the stress of cold exposure tends to be cumulative and related to the fall in core temperature.

Animal studies have demonstrated either a decrease (1, 23, 48) or no change (43) in NK cell number and activity in response to cold exposure. In these studies, mice and/or rats were subjected to repeated bouts (2–5 min) of very-cold-water (4°C) stress over several days (4–5 days) (1, 23, 43) as well as to prolonged cold-air exposure (4°C) for up to 16 days (48). Such conditions can be stressful for the animal. It remains unclear how much of this response is attributable to a generalized stress response rather than to cold exposure and how far reactions are affected by acclimatization to cold. Most of these studies have postulated that a stress-induced release of corticosteroids accounts for the reduction in NK cell number and activity that occurs with cold exposure.

In contrast, studies involving human subjects have demonstrated an increase in NK cell activity in response to local or generalized cold exposure (11, 27). Delahanty et al. (11) examined the effects of a cold-pressor task on NK cell function. In their study, 10 male subjects (age 20–45 yr) were required to place their hands intermittently in very cold (3°C) water for a total submersion time of 3 min (the durations of immersion were 30, 20, 45, 15, 40, and 30 s with a 30-s rest period in between each submersion). Here, there was a local stressful stimulus, with little change of core temperature. They demonstrated a trend toward an increase in NK cell activity 2 min into the cold-pressor task. Lackovic et al. (27) exposed 8 naked male volunteers (age 20–26 yr) to a cold (4°C) room for 30 min. Body temperatures showed only a moderate decrease (an average of 0.45°C), but NK cell activity was significantly increased. Similarly, our study has demonstrated that NK cell counts and activity increased in response to cold exposure that caused quite modest reductions in core temperatures (ranging between 0.6 and 1.6°C).

IL-6 response. Our finding that plasma concentrations of IL-6 rose in response to cold exposure is in contrast to the work of Jansky et al. (22). They reported a slight and nonsignificant trend to a reduction in the concentration of IL-6 when subjects were immersed for 1 h in cold (14°C) water. They also showed that repeated cold-water immersions over a period of 3–6 wk had no significant effect on resting levels of circulating IL-6. It is possible that their cold stimulus was not sufficiently prolonged or severe to induce any significant changes. We observed a significant rise in plasma levels of IL-6 when cold exposure was preceded by the control condition, passive heating, or exercise with a thermal clamp but not in the condition when initial core body temperature was highest (exercise in water at 35°C).

Several physical stressors increase circulating levels of IL-6 (33, 35, 50); however, the mechanisms involved have not been clearly identified. Pedersen et al. (35) have proposed that muscle damage associated with eccentric muscular activity induces the release of proinflammatory cytokines. Zhu et al. (51) provided experimental data showing that the secretion of IL-6 from mouse peritoneal macrophages after cold-water stress (5-min swim tests in 10°C water) may be related to increased tissue levels of immunoreactive substance P. In our study, regression analyses indicated that the changes in levels of IL-6 were most closely related to plasma levels of norepinephrine and cortisol. Animal studies have shown that catecholamines stimulate endogenous IL-6 secretion, whereas glucocorticoids inhibit it (34). It is possible that norepinephrine exerts its effects by a cAMP-dependent mechanism, because cAMP can modulate cytokine production. Szabo et al. (47) have demonstrated that pretreatment with isoproterenol increased the lipopolysaccharide-induced production of IL-6 in rats.

Hormonal responses. Catecholamines and cortisol play a major role in the physiological responses to cold exposure. Norepinephrine mediates “futile” metabolic cycling in white and brown adipose tissue, together with the acute, general cutaneous vasoconstriction that occurs in the extremities (28). Epinephrine and cortisol facilitate the metabolism of glucose and triglycerides, also contributing to increased heat production.

Levels of plasma norepinephrine were significantly increased within 1 h of cold-air exposure in the control condition as well as after passive heating and exercise with a thermal clamp. Presumably because of higher initial core temperatures, changes were not statistically significant after pretreatment with exercise at 35°C. Peripheral vasoconstriction, induced by sympathetic release of norepinephrine, is one of the first responses to cold-air exposure (28). Thus it is not surprising that a significant rise in plasma norepinephrine concentration was observed. In contrast [as reported by uránková et al. (24)], cold exposure did little to alter levels of circulating epinephrine and cortisol. Although cortisol and the catecholamines (epinephrine and norepinephrine) have typically been referred to as stress hormones, the release of cortisol tends to lag behind that of catecholamines. This may explain why we did not observe a cortisol response to cold exposure. A 24-h urine sample would have provided a more complete picture of cortisol responses.

Norepinephrine can mobilize cells through adrenergic receptor stimulation (16) as well as by its action on sympathetic nerve terminals within the lymph nodes and spleen (3, 7). Cortisol stimulates the release of granulocytes from the bone marrow and reduces lymphocyte counts by inhibiting their entry into, and facilitating their egress from, the circulation (10). It is unlikely that changes in the levels of circulating corti-
sol contributed to a delayed neutrophilia or lymphopenia in this particular design, because circulating cortisol levels tended to remain the same during cold exposure. Our multiple regression analyses suggest rather that the rise in circulating leukocytes during cold exposure may be attributed to a norepinephrine-mediated mobilization of demargined cells. These results should be interpreted with caution, because correlation coefficients were relatively low. Nevertheless, our results support the hypothesis that the observed changes in cell counts may be due to a change in levels of circulating hormones induced by a combination of exercise and changes in core body temperature.

Further work should examine whether other components of the innate immune system (neutrophil oxidative burst) and components of the adaptive immune system (lymphocyte proliferative responses and immunoglobulin levels) respond in a similar manner. Research should also be completed to examine the influence of more prolonged and repeated bouts of cold exposure on immune function. Adverse effects might possibly be observed if the combination of cold exposure and prior exercise were sufficient to deplete key nutrients important to cellular immune responses. Finally, investigators should explore whether the rate of any adaptations to a cold environment is modified when bouts of physical activity are performed immediately before or during cold exposure.

Conclusions

This study suggests that, despite popular beliefs that cold exposure can precipitate a viral infection, the innate component of the immune system is not adversely affected by a brief period of cold exposure. Indeed, the opposite seems the case. The fall in core body temperature resulting from cold exposure led to a consistent and statistically significant mobilization of circulating cells, an increase in NK cell activity, and elevations in circulating IL-6 concentrations. Moreover, in agreement with one of our hypotheses, prior exercise with a thermal clamp significantly augmented the leukocyte, granulocyte, and monocyte response to cold exposure. Prior passive heating and exercise without a thermal clamp contributed to a delayed neutrophilia or lymphopenia in mice. Stress causes reduced natural killer cell activity in mice. Scand. J. Immunol. 18: 461–464, 1983.
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