Human respiratory muscle actions and control during exercise
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Aliverti, A., S. J. Cala, R. Duranti, G. Ferrigno, C. M. Kenyon, A. Pedotti, G. Scano, P. Sliwinski, Peter T. Macklem, and S. Yan. Human respiratory muscle actions and control during exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 83(4): 1256–1269, 1997.—We measured pressures and power of diaphragm, rib cage, and abdominal muscles during quiet breathing (QB) and exercise at 0, 30, 50, and 70% maximum workload (Wmax) in five men. By three-dimensional tracking of 86 chest wall markers, we calculated the volumes of lung- and diaphragm-apposed rib cage compartments (Vrc,p and Vrc,a, respectively) and the abdomen (Vab). End-inspiratory lung volume increased with percentage of Wmax as a result of an increase in Vrc,p and Vrc,a. End-expiratory lung volume decreased as a result of a decrease in Vab. \( \Delta \text{Vrc,a}/\Delta \text{Vab} \) was constant and independent of Wmax. Thus we used \( \Delta \text{Vab}/\text{time} \) as an index of diaphragm velocity of shortening. From QB to 70% Wmax, diaphragmatic pressure (Pdi) increased ~2-fold, diaphragm velocity of shortening 6.5-fold, and diaphragm workload 13-fold. Abdominal muscle pressure was ~0 during QB but was equal to and 180° out of phase with rib cage muscle pressure at all percent Wmax. Rib cage muscle pressure and abdominal muscle pressure were greater than Pdi, but the ratios of these pressures were constant. There was a gradual inspiratory relaxation of abdominal muscles, causing abdominal pressure to fall, which minimized Pdi and decreased the expiratory action of the abdominal muscles on Vrc,a gradually, minimizing rib cage distortions. We conclude that from QB to 0% Wmax there is a switch in respiratory muscle control, with immediate recruitment of rib cage and abdominal muscles. Thereafter, a simple mechanism that increases drive equally to all three muscle groups, with drive to abdominal and rib cage muscles 180° out of phase, allows the diaphragm to contract quasi-isotonically and act as a flow generator, while rib cage and abdominal muscles develop the pressures to displace the rib cage and abdomen, respectively. This acts to equalize the pressures acting on both rib cage compartments, minimizing rib cage distortion.
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THE RESPIRATORY MUSCLES, like all skeletal muscles, shorten at a particular velocity and develop force. Respiratory muscle shortening is usually measured as the change in volume of a structure that the muscle displaces (rib cage, abdomen, or lungs); velocity of shortening can be measured as flow and force as pressure. From these variables, one can calculate work and power.

Noninvasive estimates of shortening and velocity require accurate measurement of chest wall kinematics. Most of the available information on chest wall kinematics is based on the two-compartment chest wall model of Konno and Mead (21) composed of rib cage and abdomen, each behaving with a single degree of freedom, so that changes in volume of each compartment can be measured by a single dimension. This may not be sufficient during exercise, when the limits established by Konno and Mead for behavior with two degrees of freedom are exceeded. Indeed, it has been shown that the chest wall moves with more than two degrees of freedom during exercise (2, 15, 16) and even during breathing at rest (30).

The two-compartment rib cage model developed by Ward et al. (30) may be more appropriate for the study of chest wall kinematics in exercise. This model takes into consideration the fact that the lung- and diaphragm-apposed parts of the rib cage (RCp and RCA, respectively) are exposed to substantially different pressures on their inner surface during inspiration (1), that the diaphragm acts directly only on RCA, and that nondiaphragmatic inspiratory muscles act largely on RCp.

Displacement of RCp is the net result of the action of nondiaphragmatic inspiratory muscles, expiratory rib cage muscles, pleural pressure (Ppl) over the surface of the lung, and rib cage distortions between RCp and RCA, which apply a restoring force (Plink) to RCp (8, 20, 30). RCA is displaced by the diaphragm, the abdominal muscles (11, 24), and Ppl in the area of apposition of diaphragm to rib cage (which can be approximated by abdominal pressure [Pab]), and the mechanical linkage between RCp and RCA. The volume of RCA (Vrc,a) and abdominal volume (Vab) are important determinants of diaphragmatic fiber length (Ldi); the volume of RCp (Vrc,p) does not influence Ldi, except to the extent that it changes Vrc,a via the mechanical linkage between the two rib cage compartments.

We measure the kinematics of the abdomen, RCp, and RCA, with a three-dimensional optical reflectance motion analysis (ELITE) system described in detail previously (5). We combine these kinematic measurements with simultaneous measurements of Ppl and Pab to calculate the pressures generated by different respiratory muscle groups during exercise. The dia-

1 We use the term "abdominal volume" loosely. The abdominal-diaphragm forms a compartment of constant volume, which is the sum of the volume of contents contained within/under the diaphragm and that contained elsewhere in the peritoneal cavity. It is the latter volume to which we refer when we use the term abdominal volume. Any change in this volume requires an equal and opposite change in the volume contained by the diaphragm.
phragm’s contribution was measured directly by trans-diaphragmatic pressure (Pdi = Pab − Ppl) (3); the pressure work and power developed by other respiratory muscles were measured by departures of dynamic pressure-volume loops from relaxation curves (6, 25, 26).

This approach to the assessment of respiratory muscle activity has been used extensively (6, 25, 26) to measure net inspiratory and expiratory muscle activity. Konno and Mead (22) applied this methodology to the abdomen and thereby measured the pressures developed by the abdominal muscles (Pabm). Similarly, the pressures developed by inspiratory and expiratory rib cage muscles (Prcm,i and Prcm,e, respectively) can be estimated as the difference between the dynamic Ppl-Vrc,p loop and the relaxation pressure-volume curve of RCp corrected for the pressure resulting when RCp and RCa are distorted away from their relaxation configuration (8, 20, 30). Integrating the area under the curves of these pressure-volume diagrams gives the work and power of various muscle groups. Because the pressure is known, power can be partitioned into the relative contributions of force and velocity of shortening. We show that the diaphragm acts primarily as a power generator, while rib cage muscles provide the pressures to displace RCp and increase end-inspiratory lung volume. The abdominal muscles provide the pressures to displace the abdomen and decrease end-expiratory lung volume, while the gradual relaxation during inspiration simultaneously acts to prevent rib cage distortion and minimize Pdi.

METHODS

In this study the chest wall was modeled as being composed of RCp, RCa, and the abdomen (30). ΔVab was defined as the volume swept by the abdominal wall, as described by Konno and Mead (21). The boundary between RCp and RCa was assumed to be at the level of the xiphoid. The boundary between RCa and the abdomen was along the lower costal margin anteriorly and at the level of the lowest point of the lower costal margin posteriorly. Chest wall volume (Vcw) is the sum of Vrc,p, Vrc,a, and Vab. End-expiratory and end-inspiratory volume of each compartment was measured at the beginning and the end of inspiratory flow (zero-flow points) relative to that during quiet breathing. The difference between the end-inspiratory and end-expiratory volume of each compartment was calculated as the tidal volume (VT) contribution by each compartment.

The subjects, procedures, protocol, and reliability of the ELITE system to measure Vcw changes during exercise have been detailed elsewhere (20). Briefly, we studied five normal men during 1) quiet breathing at rest, 2) relaxation at different lung volumes, 3) bilateral truncusaneous supramaximal phrenic nerve stimulation at functional residual capacity (FRC) with glottis closed, and 4) during exercise at 0, 30, 50, and 70% of maximum workload (Wmax). Exercise at each percent Wmax was maintained for 3 min and 20 s. Data were acquired during the last 20-s period, when changes in lung volume (Vₐ) were measured by rebreathing from a water-filled spirometer equipped with a CO₂ absorber. Esophageal (Pes) and gastric (Pga) pressures were measured with standard balloon-catheter transducer systems and were used as indexes of Ppl and Pab. Pdi was calculated as Pga − Pes. Active Pdi was taken as Pdi at end inspiration relative to its end-expiratory baseline during quiet breathing, when Pdi was assumed to be zero. Prcm was measured as the difference between the dynamic Pes-Vrc,p loops and the relaxation pressure-volume curve of RCp, with the restoring force resulting from rib cage distortion taken into account (30). Pabm was measured as the difference between the dynamic Pga-Vab loops and the relaxation pressure-volume curve of the abdomen (22). The graphical methods for measuring Prcm and Pabm are shown in Fig. 1.

Work was measured by the area contained within the pressure-volume loops and power by dividing the work by time. Values are means ± SE. Repeated-measures analysis of variance and Dunnett’s test were performed to compare each
set of data obtained during quiet breathing and exercise. P < 0.05 was considered as indicating statistical significance.

RESULTS

Kinematics

Figure 2 shows end-inspiratory and end-expiratory Vcw during exercise. The vertical distance between the end-inspiratory and end-expiratory points for a given exercise load is the Vt. There was a progressive decrease in end-expiratory and an increase in end-inspiratory Vcw during exercise (P < 0.002). The intersubject variability was large. At 70% Wmax, the changes in end-expiratory Vcw ranged from −0.38 to −1.71 liters; it averaged −0.98 liter for the group.

With increasing workload, the decrease in end-expiratory Vcw during exercise was almost entirely attributable to the decrease in end-expiratory Vab (P < 0.001; Fig. 3). End-expiratory Vrc,p was constant in three subjects, increased slightly (0.23 liter) in one subject, and decreased moderately (0.48 liter) in one subject at the highest workload. The group mean change in end-expiratory Vrc,p (−0.1 liter at 70% Wmax) was not statistically significant (P = 0.65). End-expiratory Vrc,a was constant in all subjects (P = 0.82). Despite the progressive increase in end-inspiratory Vrc,p and Vrc,a, end-inspiratory Vab did not increase significantly (P = 0.09) with increasing workload.

Because Ldi is determined by abdominal and lower rib cage displacements (23), we plotted end-expiratory and end-inspiratory Vrc,a against end-expiratory and end-inspiratory Vab to assess the relationship between Vrc,a and Vab during exercise (Fig. 4A). Figure 4A shows that at the beginning of inspiration the relationship shifted horizontally to smaller Vab at constant Vrc,a, whereas at end inspiration it shifted vertically to greater Vrc,a at nearly constant Vab. These shifts increased with increasing intensity of exercise. The slope, ΔVrc,a/ΔVab, did not change significantly from quiet breathing at any level of exercise (P = 0.205; Fig. 4B).

Pressures

Pressures developed by rib cage muscles. Figure 5 shows Vrc,p-Pes diagrams of the different subjects during the different levels of exercise. The slopes and intercepts of the Rcp relaxation line are given in Table 1. Inspiratory rib cage muscles displace the dynamic loops to the left of the relaxation line; expiratory rib cage muscles displace the dynamic loops to the right. Because the dynamic Vrc,p-Pes trace departs from its relaxation line more and more with the increasing exercise, the nondiaphragmatic inspiratory and expiratory rib cage muscles were progressively recruited as exercise level increased. During an inspiration, the curves show that Prcm increased monotonically during inspiration, reaching its maximum near end inspiration. Expiratory muscle recruitment caused the dynamic loops to cross the relaxation line. This occurred in four subjects, mostly at heavy exercise. One subject (SY) did not recruit these muscles during exercise, except at the highest level. During quiet breathing there was postinspiratory activity of the inspiratory rib cage muscles throughout expiration; the dynamic Vrc,p-Ppl loop returned to the relaxation line only at end expiration. Even at the highest workload, there was considerable postinspiratory activity of inspiratory rib cage muscles. Expiratory rib cage muscles relaxed rapidly during inspiration.

As shown previously (20), mean rib cage distortion was <0.5% at every level of exercise, resulting in mean Plink <2.5 cmH2O, usually acting in a direction to make Rcp smaller. Thus the correction of the horizontal distance between the dynamic Pes-Vrc,p curve to ob-
tain Prcm was small and essentially constant throughout the breath at all levels of exercise.

Pressures developed by abdominal muscles. Figure 6 shows abdominal pressure-volume curves in four of the five subjects. The fifth subject (PG) is not shown, because we could not obtain satisfactory abdominal relaxation curves. Because dynamic pressure curves deviated to the right of the relaxation curve, abdominal expiratory muscles were recruited, even at 0% Wmax. In striking contrast to the Pes-Vrc,p curves, the dynamic Pga-Vab curves tended to be lines rather than loops. Thus the abdominal muscles gradually relaxed throughout inspiration and fully relaxed only at end inspiration. This resulted in a progressive fall in Pab throughout inspiration, even at 0% Wmax, in contrast to the normal inspiratory rise in Pab during quiet breathing. This change in pattern of Pab due to abdominal muscle recruitment has important implications for rib cage dynamics and diaphragm function during exercise.

Active pressure developed by the diaphragm. Active Pdi (Fig. 7) was measured at end inspiration relative to the zero Pdi baseline during expiration during quiet breathing. In all but one subject, Pdi fell from quiet breathing to 0% Wmax and only doubled from quiet breathing to 70% Wmax.

Comparison of active pressures developed by the different respiratory muscle groups. Figure 7 shows the mean values of peak pressures developed by the inspiratory rib cage muscles, the expiratory rib cage muscles,
the diaphragm, and the abdominal muscles during quiet breathing and at the different levels of exercise in each subject (means ± SE of all subjects). In contrast to quiet breathing, the inspiratory rib cage muscles and abdominal muscles developed greater mean pressures than the diaphragm at all exercise levels.

Fold changes over 0% W˙max of the same pressures are shown in Fig. 8. Figure 9 shows maximum changes in Pdi and Prcm (inspiratory + expiratory) during the respiratory cycle. In Fig. 9, ΔPdi is the difference between passive Pdi at the beginning of inspiration and active Pdi at end inspiration. Thus, during exercise, ΔPdi was less than the active Pdi in Fig. 7. At the onset of exercise, there is a sudden shift in the pattern of respiratory pressure development with strong recruitment of abdominal muscles, so that the pressures they develop are virtually equal to those developed by the rib cage muscles. In contrast, ΔPdi decreased from rest to exercise and increased little as exercise workload increased.

Figure 10 shows the time course of Prcm, Pabm, and Pdi at different levels of exercise obtained as an average among the different subjects. Positive values of Prcm are Prcmi, and negative values are Prcme. The Pdi curves contain active and passive components and show that the slope of the Pdi-time curve during most of inspiration in exercise was small, less than during quiet breathing and less than for Prcm and Pabm.

In Fig. 11 the ratios of the pressures developed by the different muscle groups during quiet breathing to different levels of exercise are shown; Prcme, Pdi, and Pabm have been referenced to Prcmi, because only the inspiratory rib cage muscles developed consistent pressures at rest and during exercise and monotonically increased from quiet breathing to the highest level of exercise. The data show that, in changing from rest to 0% Wmax, the relative pressure contributions of the four respiratory muscle groups changed abruptly. Thereafter, as exercise level increased, they did not change significantly, but their gains progressively increased.

The data in Figs. 7–10 indicate that the abdominal muscles produce the greatest pressures during exercise, increasing from 0 cmH2O during quiet breathing to ~40 cmH2O at 70% Wmax. The inspiratory rib cage muscles increased their pressure contribution from ~7 cmH2O during quiet breathing to ~30 cmH2O at 70% Wmax. Active Pdi initially decreased from rest to 0% Wmax, and at 70% Wmax it only doubled on average compared with quiet breathing. The change in Pdi from passive to active during exercise exceeded Pdi during quiet breathing only at the highest workload. Expiratory rib cage muscles contributed a modest pressure, increasing from 0 to ~10 cmH2O from quiet breathing to 70% Wmax. Thus the changes in the pressure contributions of the various muscle groups were quite variable. However, when the data are normalized to the pressures during 0% Wmax, as shown in Fig. 8, the fold increases in pressure were similar for each muscle group. This is also shown in Fig. 11, which shows that the active pressures developed by the diaphragm, abdominal muscles, and expiratory rib cage muscles expressed as a fraction of the pressures developed by the inspiratory rib cage muscles changed.

### Table 1. Slopes and intercepts of the Vrc,p-Pes relaxation lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>a, l/cmH2O</th>
<th>b, liters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>12.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>14.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.06</td>
<td>13.25 ± 2.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equation for pulmonary rib cage volume-esophageal pressure (Vrc,p-Pes) relation is as follows: \( V_{rc,p} = a + P_{es} + b \).
from rest to 0% Wmax but thereafter remained constant as exercise increased.

DISCUSSION

Physiological Significance of Chest Wall Volume Change

The average decrease in end-expiratory Vcw of 0.98 liter that we measured during heavy exercise (Fig. 2) is generally in agreement with that reported in previous studies in which end-expiratory VL decreased from 0.7 to 1.0 liter during heavy or maximal exercise (17, 19, 28). In addition, during heavy exercise, ~28% of VT was accomplished below FRC and ~40% of the increase in VT was attributable to the recruitment of expiratory reserve volume (Fig. 2). Although the reduction of end-expiratory VL as an important contribution to VT during exercise has been recognized for some time, quantitative partitioning of this change into the contribution of the rib cage and abdomen has not been measured accurately. Earlier studies (15, 16, 27) showed a consistent reduction in end-expiratory Vab during exercise; the change in end-expiratory rib cage volume (Vrc) was less consistent. These studies estimated Vcw by magnetometry or respiratory inductive plethysmography. Such methods are subject to error, because they assume that the chest wall moves with only two degrees of freedom. Konno and Mead (21) showed that this was true over only limited degrees of rib cage and abdominal displacements. The present study avoids this problem by taking into account motion at many

Fig. 7. Mean peak pressures developed by inspiratory rib cage muscles (○), expiratory rib cage muscles (●), diaphragm (▲), and abdominal muscles (▲) during quiet breathing and different levels of exercise for each subject and mean values for all subjects.

Fig. 8. Increase in pressures developed by inspiratory and expiratory rib cage muscles, diaphragm, and abdominal muscles for all subjects referred to 0% Wmax. Values are means ± SE. Symbols as in Fig. 7.

Fig. 9. Pressure changes (ΔP) in inspiratory + expiratory rib cage muscles and diaphragm from beginning to end inspiration and in abdominal muscles from end inspiration to end expiration during quiet breathing and at different levels of exercise for all subjects. ΔPdi is difference between passive Pdi at beginning of inspiration and active Pdi at end inspiration. Values are means ± SE. ○, Inspiratory + expiratory rib cage muscles; ▲, diaphragm; ▲, abdominal muscles.
First, the behavior of the chest wall during exercise can be explained on the basis of the mechanical advantage of the inspiratory muscles. From residual volume (RV) to total lung capacity (TLC), the human diaphragm shortens by 30–35% during relaxation (4, 14) and presumably even more when contracted, reducing its ability to generate force and velocity of shortening. In addition to VL, Ld is also critically dependent on chest wall configuration. During an isovolume maneuver, for example, there is considerable change in Ld going from the belly-in to the belly-out configuration. Decreasing end-expiratory Vab at constant Vrc will increase Ld, whereas failure to increase end-inspiratory Vab will prevent excessive shortening. This tends to optimize diaphragm performance (4). On the other hand, the optimal length of the parasternal intercostals is shorter than its FRC length. Thus one may expect that the parasternal intercostals progressively approach their optimal length, improving their performance with increasing Vrc (9, 18). If Vrc decreased, the rib cage muscles would lengthen further from their optimal length, which would put them at a mechanical disadvantage. Therefore, our present results, which demonstrate a significant decrease in end-expiratory and a constant end-inspiratory Vab with a constant end-expiratory and increasing end-inspiratory Vrc during exercise, are consistent with optimization of the diaphragm by increasing its preinspiratory length and preventing excessive shortening during inspiration; similarly, inspiratory rib cage muscle performance is optimized by enhancing shortening during inspiration and preventing excessive preinspiratory lengthening.

Second, the different behavior of the rib cage and abdomen during exercise may also be explained by the
mechanical characteristics of the rib cage and abdo-
men. Konno and Mead (22) showed that although rib
cage compliance changes little with increasing volume,
adimal compliance markedly decreases as its vol-
ume increases. In addition, the inspiratory reserve
volume is proportionately greater in the rib cage, and
the expiratory reserve volume is proportionately greater
in the abdominal compartments (12, 22). Therefore,
during exercise with increasing demand for ventilation,
decreasing end-expiratory $V_l$ primarily by decreasing
end-expiratory $V_{ab}$ may be viewed as a means to utilize
the most compliant compartments to minimize the
elastic work of moving the chest wall. Whereas this
would involve substantial distortion away from the
minimal energy configuration that would increase work,
it has been shown that the mechanical linkage between
the rib cage and abdomen is small (10).

Diaphragm Length, Velocity of Shortening, and Power

$L_{di}$ is determined by $V_l$ and chest wall configuration
(14, 23). We have modeled $\Delta V_{cw}$ as the sum of $\Delta V_{rcp}$,
$\Delta V_{rc,a}$, and $\Delta V_{ab}$ and measured the volume changes in
these compartments by the ELITE system at rest,
during quiet breathing, and at different levels of exer-
cise, so we knew the chest wall configuration at any
instant during breathing. This enables us to assess
changes in $L_{di}$ in our subjects. The

abdomen-diaphragm forms a single compart-
ment, the peritoneal cavity, which contains the abdomi-
nal contents. It is essentially incompressible, so the
sum of the volume under the diaphragm and the
volume elsewhere in the peritoneal cavity is a constant.
Therefore, any volume change in the contents of the
diaphragm must be equal and opposite to the volume
swept by the abdominal wall or $\Delta V_{ab}$. However, if the
diaphragm changed shape as it shortened and
displaced its contents into the abdominal wall, then $L_{di}$
would be determined by two factors: $\Delta V_{ab}$ and the
changing surface-to-volume ratio of the diaphragm.
Mead and Loring (23) showed that $L_{di}$ was not uniquely
determined by $\Delta V_{ab}$ and that $\Delta V_{rc,a}$ also played a
significant role. If this is so, $\Delta V_{rc,a}$ must reflect a
change in the surface-to-volume ratio of the dia-
phragm. Inasmuch as a sphere is the shape with the
smallest surface-to-volume ratio, this might occur by
shortening of diaphragmatic fibers in the area of appo-
sition, so that less of the diaphragmatic contents are
contained in a cylinder-like structure and more in a
dome-like structure. It can easily be shown that a
change in shape from a cylinder to a sphere at constant
volume and with equal radius of curvature decreases
area by $\sim 16.5\%$. Gautier et al. (14) showed that all
diaphragmatic shortening occurred in the area of appo-
sition, whereas the fibers in the dome increased in
length. Thus a shape change from more cylindrical to
more spherical probably occurs.

On the basis of the above considerations, we can now
interpret exercise-induced changes in $L_{di}$ according to
our compartmental $V_{cw}$ measurements. With increas-
ing intensity of exercise, preinspiratory changes in $L_{di}$
are uniquely determined by $V_{ab}$, since end-expiratory

$V_{cw}$ decreases solely because of a decrease in end-expiratory $V_{ab}$ at constant end-expiratory $V_{rc,a}$. End-
inspiratory changes in $L_{di}$ are largely determined by
end-inspiratory $V_{rc,a}$, since end-inspiratory $V_{cw}$ in-
creases because of an increase in end-inspiratory $V_{rc}$ at
a nearly constant end-inspiratory $V_{ab}$. $V_{rc,p}$ has little
direct effect on $L_{di}$ because, except for a small slip of the
costal diaphragm originating from the bottom of the
sternum, the diaphragm is not attached to $V_{rc,p}$. Any
effect of $\Delta V_{rc,p}$ on $L_{di}$ must be indirect through the
mechanical linkage between $V_{rc,p}$ and $V_{rc,a}$ (30).

In addition, we found that, during resting breathing
and at increasing levels of exercise, $\Delta V_{rc,a}/\Delta V_{ab}$ during
inspiration remained constant (Fig. 4). On the basis of
the above analysis that changes in $L_{di}$ are determined
by $\Delta V_{rc,a}$ and $\Delta V_{ab}$, we assume

$$
\Delta L_{di} = \Delta L_{di(RC)} + \Delta L_{di(AB)}
$$

$$
\Delta L_{di(RC)} = k_1 \Delta V_{rc,a}
$$

$$
\Delta L_{di(AB)} = k_2 \Delta V_{ab}
$$

where $\Delta L_{di}$ is a diaphragmatic length change, $\Delta L_{di(RC)}$
and $\Delta L_{di(AB)}$ are fractions of diaphragmatic length change contributed by $\Delta V_{rc,a}$ and $\Delta V_{ab}$, respectively,
and $k_1$ and $k_2$ are constants. Then

$$
\Delta L_{di} = k_1 \Delta V_{rc,a} + k_2 \Delta V_{ab}
$$

Because $\Delta V_{rc,a}/\Delta V_{ab}$ is also constant

$$
\Delta V_{rc,a} = k_3 \Delta V_{ab}
$$

where $k_3$ is another constant. Substituting

$$
\Delta L_{di} = (k_1 k_3 + k_2) \Delta V_{ab}
$$

or

$$
\Delta L_{di} = \text{constant} \cdot \Delta V_{ab}
$$

(1)

During inspiration, although $\Delta L_{di}$ is determined by
$\Delta V_{ab}$ and $\Delta V_{rc,a}$, it is proportional to $\Delta V_{ab}$, because
$\Delta V_{rc,a}/\Delta V_{ab}$ is constant. Thus our fortuitous finding of
a constant $\Delta V_{ab}/\Delta V_{rc,a}$ during exercise allows us to
infer not only the length change but also the velocity of
shortening of the diaphragm during exercise.

Dividing Eq. 1 by the inspiratory time ($T_i$), we obtain

$$
\Delta L_{di}/T_i = \text{constant} \cdot \Delta V_{ab}/T_i
$$

(2)

The left-hand side of Eq. 2 is an expression of diaphragm
mean velocity of shortening ($V_d$), which is propor-
tional to $\Delta V_{ab}/T_i$. Accordingly, the product of $\Delta P_{di}$
and $\Delta V_{ab}/T_i$ can be used as an index of diaphragmatic
power output ($W_{di}$) during exercise. Although one
cannot obtain absolute values of $L_{di}$, $V_{di}$, and $W_{di}$,
inasmuch as the values of the constant in Eq. 2 are
unknown, important information can be obtained with
regard to how these variables change during quiet
breathing and at different levels of exercise. In Fig. 12,
$\Delta P_{di}$, $V_{di}$, and $W_{di}$ thus calculated and normalized as a
fraction of that during quiet breathing are plotted
against workload. Our results suggest that, with in-
creasing intensity of exercise, $W_{di}$ increased $\sim 13$-fold
largely due to a >6-fold increase in $V_d$, despite a less than doubling of $\Delta P_{di}$ during breathing. In other words, the diaphragm during exercise behaves essentially as a flow generator rather than a pressure generator.

That the diaphragm largely works as a flow generator during exercise would be consistent with its in vivo shortening ability being greater than that of inspiratory rib cage muscles (4, 9, 14), but to act this way, $\Delta P_{di}$ must be minimized. During exercise, abdominal muscle recruitment during expiration accounts for the reduction in FRC, whereas its gradual relaxation causes Pab to fall throughout inspiration, in striking contrast to the rise in Pab during quiet breathing (Fig. 6). The inspiratory fall in Pab parallels the inspiratory fall in Ppl. Thus it is the gradual inspiratory abdominal muscle relaxation that minimizes $\Delta P_{di}$, unloading the diaphragm and making it able to generate high flows to meet ventilatory demands. We conclude that the diaphragm's main role during exercise is to generate flow, rather than pressure, and that its 13-fold increase in power from quiet breathing to 70% $W_{\text{max}}$ (Fig. 12) is due mainly to an increase in $V_d$.

If, however, the diaphragm primarily generates flow while only doubling Pdi, the pressure required to displace the abdomen and rib cage must be produced by other muscles. Evidently, the abdominal muscles are used to displace the abdomen, and the rib cage muscles are used to displace the rib cage.

Velocity and Power of Rib Cage and Abdominal Muscles

From the pressure-volume curves of RCp and the abdomen in Figs. 5 and 6 and their time relationships, we obtained data for velocity of shortening and power for rib cage muscles from $\text{dV}_{\text{rc,p}}/\text{dt}$ and $\int P_{\text{rcm}} \cdot \text{dV}_{\text{rc}}/\text{dt}$ and for abdominal muscles from $\text{dV}_{\text{ab}}/\text{dt}$ and $\int P_{\text{abm}} \cdot \text{dV}_{\text{ab}}/\text{dt}$ (Fig. 13). Figure 13, top, shows fold increases in power from 0% $W_{\text{max}}$. The data for the diaphragm were calculated by using mean $\Delta P_{di}$ between zero-flow points (top trace) or mean active Pdi (bottom trace), assuming a linear increase in Pdi throughout inspiration. The power of the different muscles increased monotonically from 0 to 70% $W_{\text{max}}$, with $W_{di}$ increasing 9- to 13-fold and inspiratory rib...
cage muscle power (Wr cm,i) and abdominal muscle power (Wabm) increasing 12-fold.

Figure 13, middle and bottom, shows the pressure-to-velocity ratio of the different muscles to identify the degree to which the power developed by muscle groups was expressed as velocity of shortening or as force. Figure 13, middle, shows data for the diaphragm and inspiratory rib cage muscles. Whereas the pressure-to-velocity ratio of inspiratory rib cage muscles doubled with exercise, that of the diaphragm decreased to ~20% of its value over quiet breathing. Although most of Wdi is expressed as velocity of shortening during exercise, most of Wr cm,i and Wabm (data not shown) was expressed as force. Figure 13, bottom, shows pressure-to-velocity ratios normalized to 0% Wmax for the muscle groups. These ratios remained almost independent of exercise workload, once exercise started. We will argue that a simple central control mechanism accounts for all these findings and minimizes rib cage distortion (20). Before doing so, various assumptions and possible sources of error need to be considered.

Critique of Methods

In addition to the limitations and assumptions of the model of Ward et al. (30), which they discussed, we must account for the errors inherent in our estimates of the pressures developed by the different respiratory muscles. The estimation of Prcm and Pabm is sensitive to the accuracy of the relaxation lines. It is conceivable that the elevated arm position we used during exercise might have inflated and stiffened the rib cage. We believe that this is unlikely, inasmuch as Konno and Mead (22) found that rib cage compliance was little affected by increasing volume, whereas abdominal compliance was markedly stiffened. In any event, strictly speaking, our results are applicable only to the exercise and its posture. We have assumed that the relaxation curve of the RCp (Pes vs. Vrc,p) was linear. This may and its posture. We have assumed that the relaxation compliance was markedly stiffened. In any event, strictly speaking, our results are applicable only to the exercise workload, once exercise started. We believe that the errors due to extrapolation of relaxation curves are not sufficient to affect our results qualitatively, although results from nonextrapolated curves might be different in detail. To the extent that the model represents reality, dVrc,p/dt and dVab/dt should be reasonable indexes of the velocity of shortening of rib cage and abdominal muscles. As shown above, the velocity of diaphragmatic shortening is directly proportional to ΔVab/TI. Thus we can estimate average fold changes in diaphragmatic power or velocity of shortening but not absolute or instantaneous values.

The model provides only for a mechanical interaction between RCp and RCA when these two compartments are distorted from their relaxation configuration. In reality, axial strain of either compartment in the absence of distortion would produce stress on the other. This may be the reason that the dynamic Vrc, a Pga relationships during exercise were frequently to the left of the relaxation line (data not shown), whereas during quiet breathing they were to the right (20).

Dynamics and Control of Respiratory Muscles During Quiet Breathing and Exercise

Our analysis clearly shows that two completely different patterns of muscular recruitment occurred at rest and during exercise. In quiet breathing, we assume that abdominal muscles were phasically inactive, so that only the inspiratory muscles contracted, with the diaphragm generating more pressure than the inspiratory rib cage muscles; at the lowest level of exercise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Coefficients of abdominal relaxation lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD 0.067 ± 0.028 8.31 ± 2.90 -2.0e-3 ± 2.2e-3 0.089 ± 0.051 9.24 ± 2.85 0.037 ± 0.010 9.62 ± 3.17 5.04 ± 1.70 11.58 ± 4.11

Relaxation curve of abdomen was obtained from end-expiratory abdominal volume to end-inspiratory abdominal volume during quiet breathing by a 2nd-order polynomial regression of data of gastric pressure (Pga, an index of abdominal pressure (Pab)) and abdominal volume (Vab) and then extrapolated linearly for higher and lower values of Vab, imposing continuity of 1st derivative. x₁, Mean value of Pga at end expiration during quiet breathing; x₂, mean value of Pga at end inspiration during quiet breathing. For Pga < x₁, abdominal relaxation line is straight line Vab = c-Pga + d; for x₁ < Pga < x₂, it is described by nonlinear equation Vab = ePga² + fPga + g; for Pga > x₂, abdominal relaxation line is straight line Vab = h-Pga + i.
however, the inspiratory rib cage muscles developed more pressure than the diaphragm (Figs. 7, 9, and 11). The abdominal muscles were significantly recruited even at the lowest level of exercise, with Pabm of greater magnitude than Prcm,i (Fig. 11). △Pabm was equal and opposite in magnitude to the sum of △Prcm,i and △Prcm,e (Figs. 9 and 10).

The relative values of the pressures developed by the different muscles changed abruptly from rest to 0% Wmax but thereafter remained constant (Fig. 11), and the fold increase over 0% Wmax with increasing exercise of Prcm,i, Prcm,e, Pdi, and Pabm was similar (Fig. 8). The fold increases of Wdi, Wrcm,i, and Wabm over 0% Wmax were also similar (Fig. 13). The pressure-to-velocity ratios during exercise of inspiratory rib cage muscles, the diaphragm, and the abdomen changed little relative to 0% Wmax up to 70% Wmax, although for the diaphragm and inspiratory rib cage muscles they were strikingly different from rest (Fig. 13). Thus the behavior of the different muscle groups, once established, at 0% Wmax did not change throughout exercise, although it was very different from the behavior at rest. Clearly, there is an abrupt change in the control of the respiratory muscles at the onset of exercise, which thereafter remains constant but with increasing gain as exercise increases.

This suggests that the motor command from the brain stem respiratory centers increases similarly for all respiratory muscle groups as exercise level increases. However, whether that command is transduced into force or velocity of shortening depends on the load against which the muscles act once they are activated. Because of the gradual relaxation of abdominal muscles and the resulting fall in Pab throughout inspiration (Figs. 6 and 10) in parallel with the fall in Ppl, the load on the diaphragm is minimized, allowing most of the diaphragmatic command to be transduced to velocity of shortening (Figs. 12 and 13). The abdominal muscles must therefore drive the abdomen, and they are responsible for all the decrease in end-expiratory lung volume. Because of their attachments at the costal margin, they exert a deflationary action on RCa during expiration, counteracting the effect of the increase in Pab, whereas during inspiration their relaxation allows RCa to expand. Because of the load they must displace, their command is primarily converted to force. Similarly, the drive to rib cage muscles is also transduced primarily to force, inasmuch as they must develop the pressures required to overcome the load posed by the rib cage to increase end-inspiratory lung volume.

How the Diaphragm Acts as a Flow Generator

An ideal flow-generating muscle would contract isotonically, which for the diaphragm would minimize dPdi/dt during inspiration. Figures 9 and 10 show that this condition was largely met during exercise. At all levels of exercise, dPdi/dt was small during most of inspiration, less than during quiet breathing, and less than dP/dt of rib cage and abdominal muscles.

The low value of dPdi/dt was achieved by the action of the abdominal muscles. By displacing the abdomen inward during expiration, the diaphragm was stretched, so that at the onset of inspiration at all levels of exercise there was a passive Pdi that increased as exercise level increased (Fig. 10). During inspiration the passive Pdi was replaced by an active Pdi. Yet Pdi changed little, despite large falls in Ppl because of the gradual relaxation of abdominal muscles during inspiration. This action of abdominal muscles in lowering Pab throughout inspiration and creating a passive Pdi at the onset of inspiration would appear to be essential in allowing the conversion of the diaphragm's central drive to flow. This is presumably the reason why the dynamic Vab-Pga curves, in contrast to Vrc,p-Pes curves, are lines rather than loops (Figs. 1 and 6). If the abdominal muscles were to relax at the onset of inspiration, there would be an immediate fall in Pab and the Vab-Pga relationship would return to its relaxation curve. Subsequently, Pab would have to increase while Ppl decreased during most of diaphragmatic contraction; as a result, the diaphragm would generate more pressure and less velocity for any given level of diaphragmatic activation.

Minimization of Rib Cage Distortion and Transdiaphragmatic Pressure

In addition to minimizing dPdi/dt, we believe that we have identified another important consideration in respiratory muscle control during exercise: the minimization of rib cage distortion. This must be done to maximize rib cage compliance, which during the distortion produced by an isolated diaphragmatic contraction is only 10% of its undistorted value (8, 20). How does the control system meet this constraint? The answer is astonishingly simple.

The zero rib cage distortion condition is met when there is no difference in the pressures acting on RCp and RCa (8, 20), i.e.

\[
Prca = Prca
\]

where Prca is the elastic recoil pressure of RCa. The model described previously (20) indicates that, in the absence of distortion, there is no restoring force, so under equilibrium conditions the elastic recoil of RCp balances the combined effects of Ppl and Prcm

\[
Prca = Ppl + Prcm
\]

From consideration of the model and as shown by Eq. 5 in Ref. 20, we can write an equivalent expression for Prca

\[
Prca = xPdi + Pab - yPabm
\]

where xPdi is the insertional component of Pdi and 0 ≤ x ≤ 1; yPabm is the insertional component of the abdominal muscles, where 0 ≤ y ≤ 1, or that fraction of Pabm that acts directly on RCa to deflate it.

According to Eq. 4, the pressures acting on RCa are the insertional components of the diaphragm and abdominal muscles and Pab, acting in the area of apposi-
tion. The actions of the diaphragm and Pab are inspiratory, whereas those of the abdominal muscles are expiratory (11, 24).

The pressure balance between RCp and RCA necessary for zero distortion is obtained by equating Eqs. 3 and 4

\[ \text{Ppl} + \text{Prcm} = x\text{Pdi} + \text{Pab} - y\text{Pabm} \]

\[ \text{Prcm} = (x + 1)\text{Pdi} - y\text{Pabm} \]  

(5)

Therefore, an important part of the control strategy necessary for the respiratory muscles to avoid distortion is to maintain a fixed ratio between the pressures developed by the different muscle groups at any instant. Figure 11 shows that this was achieved. This helps maintain an equality between the pressures on RCA and RCp, preventing distortion (20). Distortion would arise from a difference in pressure, whichever muscle group generated it.

If we add to Eq. 5 the additional constraint that \( \Delta\text{Pdi} \rightarrow 0 \) and express it in terms of variations

\[ \Delta\text{Prcm} = (x + 1)\Delta\text{Pdi} - y\Delta\text{Pabm} \]

\[ \Delta\text{Prcm} = -y\Delta\text{Pabm} \]  

(6)

Equation 6 states that to minimize Pdi and rib cage distortion all that is necessary is for the pressures developed by the rib cage muscles to be directly proportional to the pressures developed by the abdominal muscles and \( 180^\circ \) out of phase. We therefore plotted \( \Delta\text{Prcm} \) (inspiratory + expiratory) against \( \Delta\text{Pabm} \). The results are shown in Fig. 14, and the slopes, intercepts, and \( r^2 \) values of the regression line are given in Table 3. Figure 14 shows that the combined pressure swings of the two rib cage compartments, and \( \Delta\text{Prcm} \) led \( \Delta\text{Pabm} \) by only slightly \( >180^\circ \). This remarkable finding is unlikely to be a coincidence. Indeed, we now show that the gradual relaxation of abdominal muscles is crucial in minimizing rib cage distortion and \( \Delta\text{Pdi} \).

Table 3. Slopes, intercepts, and \( r^2 \) values of regression lines of Pabm-Prcm loops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise Level</th>
<th>( m, \text{cm} H_2O )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QB</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0% Wmax</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% Wmax</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Wmax</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Wmax</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>26.59</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pabm = \( m\text{Prcm} + n \), where Pabm and Prcm represent abdominal and rib cage muscle pressure, respectively (see Fig. 10). QB, quiet breathing; Wmax, maximal workload.

Role of Abdominal Muscles in Exercise

Equation 6 describes Fig. 14 for all levels of exercise. It states that as Prcm increases during exercise, inflating RCp, Pabm decreases, allowing RCA to inflate. Because the data in Fig. 14 suggest that \( y = 1 \), Eq. 6 predicts that when \( \Delta\text{Pdi} = 0 \), all the pressure developed by abdominal muscles must act on RCA.

Figure 10 shows that \( \Delta\text{Pdi} \) was not actually zero but increased two- to threefold over its value at the onset of inspiration. Therefore, because the increase in Pdi has an inflationary action on RCA, the effect of abdominal muscle relaxation must be less. Because the transversus abdominis muscle has little or no effect on the rib cage (11), it is physiologically unlikely that the insertional component of Pabm is equal to Pabm and that \( y = 1 \). Apparently, there must be a compromise between the conflicting constraints that \( d\text{Pdi}/dt = 0 \) and that \( y < 1 \). The compromise is to allow \( d\text{Pdi}/dt \) to increase to the point where it just compensates for \( y < 1 \).

To maintain the diaphragm as a flow-generating muscle while minimizing rib cage distortions (which were not zero (20)), a two- to threefold rise in Pdi occurred during inspiration, giving values for \( d\text{Pdi}/dT \) in Figs. 9 and 10. At 30, 50, and 70% Wmax (Fig. 10), \( d\text{Pdi}/dT \) (where \( T \) is respiratory cycle duration) was considerably larger early in inspiration, when flow rates were smaller. Thereafter \( d\text{Pdi}/dT \) decreased, so that, from 0.2 to 1.0 Ti, \( d\text{Pdi}/dT \) was nearly independent of exercise workload.

These considerations allot a triple role to the abdominal muscles during exercise: 1) their contraction during expiration accounts for all the decrease in end-expiratory volume, 2) their gradual relaxation during inspiration allows RCa to expand synchronously with RCp and minimizes the difference in pressure acting on the two rib cage compartments, and 3) the resulting fall in Pab throughout inspiration permits the diaphragm to act as a flow generator.

Estimation of \( x \) and \( y \)

Estimates of \( y \) can be obtained from the data. From Eq. 4

\[ \text{Prcm} = x\text{Pdi} + \text{Pab} - y\text{Pabm} \]

If at end expiration we assume that \( x\text{Pdi} \rightarrow 0 \) (from Fig. 8) we see that passive Pdi at end expiration was 2.5–6.0 \( \text{cm} H_2O \), depending on exercise level, a value much
smaller than Pabm and calculated yPabm), yPabm can be measured as Pab – Prcm at end expiration. These values can be expressed as a fraction of Pabm to obtain y. As reported in Table 4, the inserional component of the abdominal muscle was 21–43% of Pabm (mean 30.5%). This is presumably an independent variable.

Estimates of x during exercise can also be obtained from the data. From Eq. 5, if it is assumed that at end inspiration Pabm → 0 (Fig. 8), x + 1 can be computed as Prcm/Pdi at end inspiration. The results shown in Table 4 suggest that the diaphragmatic inserional component is ~40% Pdi.

An alternative method to estimate x and y values is to compute the multiple linear regression among Prcm, Pdi, and Pabm data, described by Eq. 5. Table 4 reports the results of the multiple regressions between Pdi and Pab values (independent variables) and Prcm values (dependent variable) at all levels of exercise (0–70% Wmax) on the averaged data. The squared regression coefficient (r² = 0.93) indicates that the fitting between the experimental data and the model was good. The intercept of the regression plane (k) should ideally equal 0; in two subjects (SC and SY) it has been estimated to be relatively high (10 cmH₂O), whereas in the other two subjects and in the averaged data it was low (0–3.5 cmH₂O). The constant k can be used to assess the accuracy of the estimation of Pabm; in fact, the positive intercept k tends to counterbalance an overestimate of the negative term yPabm: the higher the value of k, the higher the overestimation of Pabm. This is confirmed by the estimated abdominal relaxation lines of SC and SY, which are very similar to a straight line (Table 2) and tend to overestimate Pabm, mainly at the lowest abdominal volumes. The estimated values of x, the fraction of the total Pdi that comprised inspiratory Pdi (mean 0.23 ± 0.05), are essentially in agreement with those reported by Ward et al. (30), even if our measurements are more accurate.

### Conclusions

We conclude that a simple control mechanism in which ∆Pcmd = −(Pabm + ∆Pdi) accomplishes two remarkable feats simultaneously. It minimizes rib cage distortions and ∆Pdi. Given the constraint that y < 1, ∆Pdi must rise to minimize distortions, but even at the highest levels of exercise that we studied it was not greater than during quiet breathing. This small increase in Pdi allowed some rib cage distortions, but they remained small.

This analysis assigns two previously unrecognized roles to the abdominal muscles during exercise: they allow the diaphragm to contract quasi-isotonically, and they prevent rib cage distortion. The former role is played by allowing Pab to decrease throughout inspiration, in parallel with Ppl, so that ∆Pdi is minimized. The latter role we ascribe to the deflationary action of abdominal muscles on RCa. End-expiratory Vrc,a changed little during exercise, yet end-expiratory Pab and Pdi increased substantially (Figs. 6 and 10). According to the model in Ref. 20, if there were no rib cage deflationary action of abdominal muscles, end expiration RCa would be greatly expanded, whereas RCp would be contracted; distortions would be large. During inspiration the decline in Pab of ~13 cmH₂O at 70% Wmax with only a small increase in Pdi would cause RCa to paradoxically deflate while RCp expanded. We suggest that the gradual relaxation of abdominal muscles during inspiration allowed RCa to expand and Pdi to decrease. Indeed, according to the model, this is the only way RCa could have expanded. During expiration the strong abdominal muscle contraction counterbalanced the inflating action of Pab and passive Pdi on RCa, causing it to deflate along with RCp.

The model, however, ignores axial interactions between RCp and RCa in the absence of distortion and a direct action of inspiratory rib cage muscles on RCa. The fact that during exercise the inspiratory Vrc,a-Pab relationships were to the left of the relaxation line (data not shown) suggests axial stress from RCp or a direct action of inspiratory rib cage muscles on RCa. Furthermore, we have ignored any action of expiratory rib cage muscles on RCa. Prcm,e on RCp were relatively small. These would include pressures developed by the triangularis sterni, which acts directly only on RCp and is an important rib cage expiratory muscle. Thus, although we believe that axial stresses from RCp and inspiratory and expiratory rib cage muscles may act directly on RCa, particularly at high exercise workloads, we also believe that the direct action of abdominal muscles on RCa counterbalances the effect of the increase in Pab and passive Pdi on RCa during expiration. Because this effect of abdominal muscles should be a direct function of the tension within abdominal muscles regardless of whether it is active or passive, the reason the Vrc,a-Pab relationship is to the right of the relaxation line during quiet breathing is presumably due to passive stretching of the abdominal muscles during inspiration (20).

We further conclude that the central drive to the various respiratory muscle groups changes during the transition from quiet breathing to exercise. The drive is 180° out of phase between rib cage and abdominal muscles and increases proportionately to all muscle groups as exercise workload increases, but whether the drive is translated into velocity of shortening or force depends on the load against which the muscles act. Because of abdominal muscle action, the load on the diaphragm is small, and most of the drive is converted...
to shortening velocity. In contrast, the abdominal and rib cage muscles act primarily as force generators and develop the pressures required to displace the rib cage and abdomen.
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