Blood flow does not redistribute from respiratory to leg muscles during exercise breathing heliox or oxygen in COPD
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Louvaris Z, Vogiatzis I, Aliverti A, Habazettl H, Wagner H, Wagner P, Zakynthinos S. Blood flow does not redistribute from respiratory to leg muscles during exercise breathing heliox or oxygen in COPD. J Appl Physiol 117: 267–276, 2014. First published June 5, 2014; doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00490.2014.—In patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), one of the proposed mechanisms for improving exercise tolerance, when work of breathing is experimentally reduced, is redistribution of blood flow from the respiratory to locomotor muscles. Accordingly, we investigated whether exercise capacity is improved on the basis of blood flow redistribution during exercise while subjects are breathing heliox (designed to primarily reduce the mechanical work of breathing) and during exercise with oxygen supplementation (designed to primarily enhance systemic oxygen delivery but also to reduce mechanical work of breathing). Intercostal, abdominal, and vastus lateralis muscle perfusion were simultaneously measured in 10 patients with COPD (forced expiratory volume in 1 s: 46 ± 12% predicted) by near-infrared spectroscopy using indocyanine green dye. Measurements were performed during constant-load exercise at 75% of peak capacity to exhaustion while subjects breathed room air and then at the same workload, breathed either normoxic heliox (helium 79% and oxygen 21%) or 100% oxygen, the latter two in balanced order. Times to exhaustion while breathing heliox and oxygen did not differ (659 ± 42 s with heliox and 696 ± 48 s with 100% O2), but both exceeded that on room air (406 ± 36 s, P < 0.001). At exhaustion, intercostal and abdominal muscle blood flow during heliox (9.5 ± 0.6 and 8.0 ± 0.7 ml·min⁻¹100 g⁻¹, respectively) was greater compared with room air (6.8 ± 0.5 and 6.0 ± 0.5 ml·min⁻¹100 g⁻¹, respectively; P < 0.05), whereas neither intercostal nor abdominal muscle blood flow differed between oxygen and air breathing. Quadriceps muscle blood flow was also greater with heliox compared with room air (30.2 ± 4.1 vs. 25.4 ± 2.9 ml·min⁻¹100 g⁻¹; P < 0.01) but did not differ between air and oxygen breathing. Although our findings confirm that reducing the burden on respiration by heliox or oxygen breathing prolongs time to exhaustion (at 75% of maximal capacity) in patients with COPD, they do not support the hypothesis that redistribution of blood flow from the respiratory to locomotor muscles is the explanation of enhanced quadriceps muscle blood flow and oxygen delivery in the absence of reduced intercostal muscle blood flow, thereby disputing the blood flow redistribution hypothesis as a potential mechanism of improvement in leg muscle blood flow. Nevertheless, potential blood flow redistribution from other working respiratory muscle groups, namely the abdominal wall muscles (21, 22, 30), was not investigated at that time.

The ergogenic effects of oxygen administration on exercise capacity are well documented in patients with COPD (3, 33). Oxygen supplementation primarily enhances arterial oxygen concentration but also lessens the work of breathing (2, 6, 31, 40), thereby allowing increased peak work capacity as well as improved peak leg muscle blood flow and oxygen delivery (27). However, the latter study (27) did not examine any potential redistribution of blood flow from the respiratory to the locomotor muscles. By reducing respiratory muscle work load, pure oxygen breathing might decrease the effect of the so-called respiratory muscle metabaroereflex and thus reduce sympathetic vasoconstrictor outflow to the leg muscles during exercise (2).

Accordingly, the purpose of the present study was to investigate whether the well-documented improvement in exercise capacity with heliox or oxygen administration (2, 3, 26, 27, 33, 46) occurs on the basis of blood flow redistribution from the respiratory to locomotor muscles. To accomplish our goal, we performed simultaneous measurements of quadriceps, intercostal, and abdominal wall muscle blood flow during exercise in room air and while subjects breathed heliox or oxygen. We used both mixtures that are known to enhance exercise capacity in COPD either owing primarily to increased arterial oxygen supply at the level of the working (respiratory and locomotor) muscles (47).

Reducing the burden on the respiratory muscles during exercise in COPD by breathing pure oxygen or heliox (2, 3, 26, 27, 33, 46) enhances exercise tolerance. However, whether this increase in exercise capacity occurs on the basis of redistribution of blood flow from the respiratory to the locomotor muscles (1, 10, 17, 18) remains largely unknown. Specifically, reports suggest that reducing the work of breathing by heliox (2, 26, 46) should decrease respiratory muscle blood flow requirement (16, 45) and explain increases in leg muscle blood flow (7). However, in our study (46), heliox administration enhanced quadriceps muscle blood flow and oxygen delivery in the absence of reduced intercostal muscle blood flow, thereby disputing the blood flow redistribution hypothesis as a potential mechanism of improvement in leg muscle blood flow. Nevertheless, potential blood flow redistribution from other working respiratory muscle groups, namely the abdominal wall muscles (21, 22, 30), was not investigated at that time.

IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE (COPD), exercise capacity is limited by constraints on ventilation and on the mechanisms regulating metabolism and blood supply at the level of the working (respiratory and locomotor) muscles (47).
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concentration with oxygen supplementation or consequently to reduced work of breathing following heliox administration (2, 3, 26, 27, 33, 46). It was reasoned that, if, during exercise while subjects breathed heliox or pure oxygen, respiratory muscle blood flow was less than that during air breathing and quadriceps muscle blood flow was concomitantly increased, then this would point toward a potential redistribution of blood flow from the respiratory to the locomotor muscles.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study subjects.** Ten patients with clinically stable COPD participated in the study according to the following inclusion criteria: 1) a postbronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) < 60% predicted without significant reversibility (<12% change of the initial FEV₁ value or <200 ml) and 2) optimal medical therapy according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (15). Exclusion criteria included clinically manifest cor pulmonale, cardiovascular illness, musculoskeletal abnormalities, or other diseases that could contribute to exercise limitation, engagement in any pulmonary rehabilitation program in the last 6 mo, and any hospital admission or COPD exacerbation within the previous 8 wk. As the present study was originally designed with a twofold purpose in mind, the study population herein (n = 10) constitutes part of a larger patient population (n = 12) whose demographic and exercise performance characteristics have been published elsewhere (48). This disparity in subject population is due to the inconsistency of reliable abdominal muscle blood flow measurements in two subjects. The study was approved by the University Hospital Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Before participation in the study, all patients were informed of any risks and discomforts associated with the experiments and gave written, signed, informed consent.

**Experimental design.** The present study was originally designed with a twofold purpose: 1) to investigate whether oxygen or heliox administration enhances cerebral cortex oxygen delivery in patients with COPD (48) and 2) to justify whether improvement in exercise capacity documented following oxygen or heliox administration occurs on the basis of blood flow redistribution from the respiratory to the locomotor muscles. Hence, some amount of information of the capacity documented following oxygen or heliox administration enhances cerebral cortex oxygen delivery in patients with COPD exacerbation within the previous 8 wk. As the present study was connected to a data acquisition system (DI-720; Dataq, Akron, OH). Data were sampled at 100 Hz and stored on a computer for subsequent analysis. To remove the influence of dye recirculation,
ICG curves were processed in the usual way. The down-slope of the curve was plotted logarithmically, and the linear part was fit using only those data before recirculation. This linear fit was then extrapolated to estimate the recirculation-free remaining down-slope during the time of recirculation. The resulting curve was integrated over time to yield the mean arterial ICG concentration. Cardiac output was calculated as the ratio of ICG mass injected to the mean arterial ICG concentration over the time interval of the curve and expressed as liters per minute. ICG calibration curves were obtained following each experiment by measuring the raw voltage deflection from three 20-ml blood samples containing various concentrations of ICG. Calibrations at each concentration were performed two to three times to ensure linearity and consistency, as previously described (44). Arterial blood pressure was measured invasively through the right radial artery using a Nihon Kohden monitor (Life Scope i BSM-2303; Nihon, Kodhen, Japan). Systemic vascular conductance was calculated by dividing cardiac output by mean arterial blood pressure.

Muscle blood flow by NIRS. To measure intercostal, abdominal, and quadriceps muscle blood flow, three sets of NIRS optodes were placed on the skin over the left seventh intercostal space at the midaxillary line, over the upper rectus abdominus, and over the left vastus lateralis muscle 10–12 cm above the knee, respectively, and secured using double-sided adhesive tape. The left intercostal space was used to avoid potential blood flow contributions from the liver on the right side of the body. The optode separation distance was 4 cm, corresponding to a penetration depth of ~2 cm. Optodes were connected to NIRO 200 spectrophotometers (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan) to measure ICG concentration following the same 5-mg bolus injection of ICG used for cardiac output assessment. Tissue microcirculatory ICG was detected transcutaneously by measuring light attenuation in the tissue is influenced by ICG and oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin, the independent contribution of ICG to the light absorption signal was isolated using a matrix operation (MATLAB). The matrix operation incorporates path length-specific extinction coefficients for each of the light-absorbing chromophores [hemoglobin + myoglobin (Hb + Mb) and ICG] at each wavelength employed by the NIRS machine (Hamamatsu Photonics).

Blood flow under each optode was calculated from the rate of tissue ICG accumulation over time measured by NIRS according to the Sapirstein principle (38), as previously described by Boushel et al. (5). Accordingly, after a rapid intravenous bolus injection of ICG, the rate of appearance of ICG in a region of muscle depends on blood flow. We therefore measured the appearance rate of ICG under each of the three optodes by NIRS after a bolus injection and calculated the regional blood flow using the following equation: Blood flow [ml/(100 g·min)] = (k·[ICG]_m·t)/(ḟ IC[G]_dl), where k includes the molecular weight of ICG for the conversion of ICG in moles to grams per liter and the estimated muscle density for conversion of tissue volume to tissue weight; [ICG]_m is the accumulation of ICG in tissue over time t expressed in micromoles per liter; and ḟ IC[G]_dl is the time integral of the arterial ICG concentration expressed in milligrams per liter (5). The ICG calibration procedure, as described for cardiac output, was also used to quantify the input function for calculation of the regional tissue blood flow with NIRS.

Subject characteristics. Subject characteristics are shown in Table 1. As anticipated from the inclusion criteria, patients had moderate to severe airway obstruction with increased static lung volumes, moderate to severe reduction in carbon monoxide diffusion capacity, and mildly reduced arterial oxygen tension (Table 1). In addition, patients had normal body mass index without occurrence of muscle wasting as defined by FFM index. Five, three, and two patients had GOLD spirometric level 2, 3, and 4, respectively; three, two, three, and two patients were GOLD category A, B, C, and D, respectively (15).

Maximal exercise and functional capacity. Patients exhibited reduced maximal exercise capacity during incremental exercise test with moderate hemoglobin desaturation and impaired functional capacity as identified by covered distance of 6-min walking and quadriceps muscle strength (Table 2). During constant-load exercise at 75% WRpeak, time to exhaustion while subjects breathed room air was less than for heliox or oxygen (Table 3).

Central hemodynamic responses. Cardiac output, stroke volume, and heart rate were similar at rest during room air, oxygen, and heliox breathing but during exercise increased from rest in all three conditions (P < 0.01) (Fig. 1, A–C). At isotime while subjects breathed heliox, cardiac output tended to be greater compared with room air or oxygen breathing (9.2 ± 0.5 vs. 8.5 ± 0.6 and 8.2 ± 0.7 s, respectively; P = 0.089) (Fig. 1A). At exhaustion, cardiac output was not different between the three conditions (Fig. 1A). Stroke volume was greater while subjects breathed heliox compared with room air at isotime (P = 0.031), whereas at exhaustion it was greater when they breathed pure oxygen compared with room air (P = 0.045) (Fig. 1B). Heart rate did not differ between the three conditions (Fig. 1C). Systemic vascular conductance was similar at rest and increased during exercise in all conditions (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1D). Interestingly, at isotime, systemic vascular conductance was greater on heliox breathing compared with
At isotime and exhaustion, intercostal and abdominal muscle blood flow during heliox breathing was greater compared with both room air and oxygen breathing (P < 0.05 for all) (Fig. 2, B and C). No significant differences in intercostal or abdominal muscle blood flow between air and oxygen breathing were detected at isotime or exhaustion (Fig. 2, B and C). Intercostal and abdominal muscle oxygen delivery was comparable at rest across the three conditions and increased during exercise compared with rest only during heliox breathing (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2, E and F). At isotime and exhaustion, intercostal and abdominal muscle oxygen delivery during heliox and oxygen breathing was greater than during room air breathing (P < 0.01 for both) (Fig. 2, E and F). Intercostal muscle oxygen delivery was also greater during heliox compared with oxygen breathing at isotime and exhaustion (P < 0.05 for both) (Fig. 2E).

Arterial blood gas responses. At rest, arterial oxygen content (CaO2) was lower in room air compared with oxygen (P < 0.0001) and heliox (P = 0.033), and it was also lower during heliox compared with oxygen breathing (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3A). During exercise, CaO2 did not change from rest in either condition. At isotime and exhaustion, CaO2 during pure oxygen breathing was greater compared with both room air and heliox breathing (P < 0.0001 for all) (Fig. 3A). Systemic oxygen delivery was similar at rest and during exercise increased in all three conditions (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3B). Systemic oxygen delivery did not differ across the three conditions at isotime, but, at exhaustion, it was greater on oxygen compared with both air and heliox breathing (P = 0.0001) (Fig. 3B). PaO2 (Fig. 3C), PaCO2 (Fig. 3D), and SaO2 (Table 3) were greater, whereas pH (Fig. 3E) was lower in oxygen compared with air and heliox breathing at both isotime and exhaustion (P < 0.01 for all). In addition, PaCO2 at exhaustion was lower and SaO2 at both isotime and exhaustion was greater during heliox compared with room air breathing (P < 0.05 for both).

Table 2. Peak exercise data and functional capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Data for 10 Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRpeak, W</td>
<td>65 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2peak, l/min</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2peak, ml/kg per min</td>
<td>17.2 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2peak, % predicted</td>
<td>67 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT, % of VO2peak</td>
<td>52 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRpeak, beats/min</td>
<td>116 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRpeak, % predicted</td>
<td>75 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vpeak, l/min</td>
<td>44 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vpeak/MVV</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vpeak/VCO2peak</td>
<td>39 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tfpeak, l</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpeak, l</td>
<td>32.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔIC, liters</td>
<td>0.236 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, %</td>
<td>87 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borg dyspnea scores</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borg leg effort scores</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Min walking test, m</td>
<td>395 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Min walking test, % predicted</td>
<td>68 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps muscle force, kg</td>
<td>28 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps muscle force, % predicted</td>
<td>77 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means ± SD for 10 subjects. WRpeak, peak work rate; VO2peak, peak oxygen uptake; VCO2peak, peak carbon dioxide output; AT, anaerobic threshold; HRpeak, peak heart rate; Vpeakpeak, peak minute ventilation; Vpeakpeak, peak tidal volume; MVV, maximal voluntary ventilation; Tfpeak, peak breathing frequency; ΔIC, decrease in peak inspiratory capacity compared with baseline; SpO2, arterial oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry.

Table 1. Demographic, anthropometric, and baseline pulmonary function data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Data for 10 Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>65 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, m/f</td>
<td>8/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>172 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>74 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>25.0 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat free mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>18.1 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means ± SD for 10 subjects. FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; IC, inspiratory capacity; RV, residual volume; FRC, functional residual capacity; TLC, total lung capacity; TLCO, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; MIP, maximal inspiratory pressure; MEP, maximal expiratory pressure; PaO2, partial pressure of arterial oxygen; PaCO2, partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide; SaO2, arterial oxygen saturation.

both air and oxygen (P < 0.01), whereas, at exhaustion, it did not differ across the three conditions (Fig. 1D).

Quadriceps muscle hemodynamic responses. Quadriceps muscle blood flow was similar at rest during room air, oxygen, and heliox breathing and significantly increased during exercise compared with rest across all three conditions (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). At both isotime and exhaustion, quadriceps muscle blood flow during heliox breathing was greater compared with room air and oxygen breathing (P < 0.01 for all) (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, no difference in quadriceps muscle blood flow between air and oxygen breathing was present either at isotime or exhaustion (Fig. 2A). Quadriceps muscle oxygen delivery was similar at rest during air, oxygen, and heliox breathing and significantly increased during exercise from rest in all three conditions (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2D). At isotime and exhaustion, quadriceps muscle oxygen delivery during heliox and oxygen breathing was greater compared with room air breathing (P < 0.01 for both) (Fig. 2D).

Respiratory muscle hemodynamic responses. Intercostal and abdominal wall muscle blood flow was similar at rest across all three conditions and increased during exercise compared with rest only during heliox breathing (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2, B and C).
Table 3. Constant load exercise data at 75% WRpeak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Normoxic Heliox</th>
<th>100% Oxygen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endurance time, s</td>
<td>406 ± 36</td>
<td>659 ± 42†</td>
<td>696 ± 48†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V̇E, l/min</td>
<td>35.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>39.8 ± 3.1†</td>
<td>33.6 ± 2.5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V̇e, 1</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.14†</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.11†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f, breaths/min</td>
<td>29.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>29.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>30.0 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti, s</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.06†</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.05†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti/Tot, %</td>
<td>35.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>43.1 ± 2.1†</td>
<td>42.6 ± 2.6†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔIC, l</td>
<td>-0.196 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.081 ± 0.02†</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaO2, %</td>
<td>88 ± 2</td>
<td>92 ± 2†</td>
<td>91 ± 1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borg dyspnoea scores</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.8†</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borg leg effort scores</td>
<td>5.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means ± SE for 10 subjects. WRpeak, peak work rate at the preliminary incremental test in room air. V̇E, minute ventilation; V̇e, tidal volume; f, breathing frequency; Ti, time of inspiration; Ti/Tot, duty cycle of inspiration; ΔIC, change in inspiratory capacity compared with baseline; *Isotime data are those obtained on normoxic heliox and 100% oxygen at the same time as at exhaustion on room air. †P < 0.05 vs. normoxic heliox at the same time point of exercise.

At isotime and exhaustion, lactate concentration during heliox and oxygen breathing was lower than during room air breathing (P < 0.05 for both) (Fig. 3F). No significant differences in lactate concentration between heliox and oxygen breathing were detected at isotime or exhaustion (Fig. 3F).

Symptoms and ventilatory responses. Constant-load exercise data are shown in Table 3. At isotime, minute ventilation while subjects breathed heliox was greater compared with room air and oxygen (P < 0.01). This was due to higher tidal volume during heliox compared with air breathing and lower breathing frequency during oxygen compared with heliox breathing despite a comparably increased tidal volume on oxygen. At exhaustion, minute ventilation was higher while subjects breathed heliox and oxygen compared with room air (P < 0.01), and this response was mostly due to greater tidal volume, as breathing frequency was almost identical. At isotime and exhaustion, inspiratory time and duty cycle of inspiration were greater while subjects breathed heliox and oxygen compared with room air (P < 0.01 for all). At isotime and exhaustion, while subjects breathed heliox, IC compared with baseline was greater than breathing room or oxygen (P < 0.005 for all). Dyspnoea sensations at isotime were lower during exercise on heliox and oxygen compared with room air, whereas leg discomfort during oxygen breathing was lower compared with heliox and room air breathing at isotime.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to investigate the effects of both heliox and oxygen administration on respiratory muscle blood flow. The major finding of the present study was that, during exercise with oxygen (designed to primarily enhance arterial O₂ concentration but also to reduce respiratory muscle load) or heliox (designed to chiefly reduce the mechanical work of breathing but not directly also increase arterial O₂ concentration), there was no decrease in respiratory (abdominal or intercostal) muscle blood flow compared with exercise in room air. In fact, on heliox, respiratory muscle blood flow was greater than on air. These findings dispute the theory of blood flow redistribution from the respiratory muscles to the legs as...
the basis for improved endurance capacity under these conditions (10, 17, 18). However, on both heliox and 100% O₂, constant-load endurance times were similar and considerably greater than on air (Table 3). Figure 2 shows that quadriceps O₂ delivery was similar on heliox and 100% O₂, albeit for different reasons (greater blood flow with heliox, higher arterial O₂ concentration with O₂ breathing) with values under both conditions significantly greater than on air. This suggests that a common basis for enhanced exercise performance may be improved O₂ availability afforded by these interventions.

**Study originality.** The novelty of the present study derives from the fact that we examined the hypothesis of blood flow redistribution from respiratory to leg muscles during exercise in patients with COPD (10, 17, 18) by applying two methods that are known to reduce the work of breathing and increase leg muscle oxygen delivery (26, 27, 46), namely heliox and oxygen supplementation (2, 26, 46), while concomitantly performing direct and simultaneous measurements of both respiratory and leg muscle blood flow. We argued that, if there was blood flow redistribution between the respiratory and the locomotor muscles, then we should have observed a reduction in respiratory muscle blood flow and a concomitant increase in locomotor muscle blood flow. Importantly, the design of the study allowed us to perform for the first time simultaneous measurements of inspiratory and expiratory muscle blood flow measurements in patients with COPD by utilizing NIRS in association with the light-absorbing tracer ICG (5). Because we were unable to directly measure blood flow in the diaphragm with the NIRS technique (16, 45), we focused our efforts on measuring blood flow on other respiratory muscles, namely the intercostal and abdominal muscles. In patients with COPD, as the degree of dynamic lung hyperinflation gradually increases during exercise, the pressure generated by the diaphragm decreases, and the act of inspiration is more dependent on the rib cage inspiratory muscles such as the intercostal muscles (8). In addition, the abdominal muscles are the major muscles of expiration and recruited by patients with COPD even at rest (29), whereas their efficiency is not affected as much by the occurrence of lung hyperinflation during exercise compared with the diaphragm (9).

**The concept of redistribution.** The concept of blood flow redistribution from the respiratory to the locomotor muscles during exercise came from studies in healthy subjects showing reductions in leg muscle blood flow with respiratory muscle loading (17, 18). The reduction in leg muscle blood flow was attributed to increased sympathetic vasoconstrictor outflow to the limb muscles upon activation of a metaboreflex secondary to respiratory muscle fatigue (10). Furthermore, studies indirectly supporting the notion of redistribution of blood flow in favor of the respiratory muscles are those showing that patients with severe COPD and increased ventilatory energy requirement during exercise typically exhibit a plateau in leg muscle blood flow despite the increase in workload and whole body oxygen uptake (27, 39). This plateau in leg muscle blood flow has been attributed to increased requirement for blood flow by the respiratory muscles although no actual respiratory muscle blood flow measurements were carried out in those studies (27, 39). In addition, in patients with COPD, there could be additional blood flow requirements to support the enhanced metabolic activity caused by stress (i.e., increased catecholamines) and the increased activity of the arm and trunk muscles that primarily stabilize the chest wall. Indeed, enhanced arm and trunk muscle activity contributes to increased ventilation, especially in patients with COPD who have to overcome very

---

Fig. 2. Quadriceps, intercostal, and abdominal muscle hemodynamic responses. A: quadriceps muscle blood flow. B: intercostal muscle blood flow. C: abdominal muscle blood flow. D: quadriceps muscle oxygen delivery. E: intercostal muscle oxygen delivery. F: abdominal muscle oxygen delivery recorded at rest, at the time of exhaustion in room air (iso-time), and at the limit of exercise tolerance (exhaustion) while subjects breathed normoxic heliox (●), 100% oxygen (○), or room air (▲). Values are means ± SE for 10 subjects. Iso-time data are those obtained on normoxic heliox or 100% oxygen at the same time as at exhaustion on room air. *P < 0.05 compared with room air at the same time point of exercise. †P < 0.05 compared with normoxic heliox at the same time point of exercise. ‡P < 0.05 compared with exhaustion in room air. Significant differences between data at iso-time or exhaustion and rest are not indicated on the figure.
high levels of respiratory muscle load. Nevertheless, the potentially greater metabolic activity required by the respiratory and stabilizing muscles of the chest wall would be expected to simultaneously increase the demand for muscle perfusion and could therefore partially limit blood flow availability to the leg muscles.

**Effect of heliox breathing.** In this context, previous studies from our group (45, 46) challenged the concept of blood flow redistribution in favor of the respiratory muscles in patients with COPD. We found that, during high-intensity exercise in room air, intercostal muscle blood flow was limited while quadriceps muscle perfusion was preserved (45). In addition, following heliox supplementation causing reduction in respiratory muscle load, intercostal muscle blood flow was not decreased while locomotor muscle blood flow was concomitantly increased compared with exercise in room air (46). In the present study, we also measured blood flow in other respiratory muscles during exercise, namely the abdominal muscles, to identify potential blood flow redistribution secondary to their unloading. Indeed, during high-intensity exercise in patients with COPD, inspiratory muscle work reaches a plateau, whereas expiratory muscle recruitment and pressure-time product continue to increase (21). Furthermore, a recent study revealed that fatigue of the abdominal muscles can develop in patients with COPD exercising at high intensity (19). This is of importance in blood flow regulation, as development of fatigue of the abdominal muscles has been shown to increase sympathetic vasoconstrictor outflow to peripheral muscles, which could promote a reduction in locomotor muscle blood flow (11). Thus, by decreasing the work of the abdominal muscles by heliox administration (46), a reduction in abdominal muscle energy requirement and hence blood flow is expected, which could potentially increase blood flow to the locomotor muscles. The results of the present study do not support such a mechanism because we found that abdominal muscle blood flow was in fact increased following heliox administration (Fig. 2C).

Furthermore, intercostal muscle blood flow may be reduced by local compressive factors associated with increased intramuscular tension (8, 9). Accordingly, changes in intercostal muscle fiber orientation following heliox breathing and reduction in dynamic hyperinflation (Table 3) may have diminished intramuscular tension and changed rib cage geometry, thereby decreasing intercostal muscle vascular compression and increasing intercostal blood flow (Fig. 2B). In addition, it has been well documented (8, 9) that mitigation of dynamic hyperinflation is associated with lengthening of the diaphragm, resulting in improved generation of a negative pleural pressure (better position of the length-tension relationship). This as well may have contributed to the observed increase in abdominal muscle blood flow during heliox compared with air breathing (Fig. 2C).
tory abdominal muscles (46) may have collectively decreased the strain imposed on the right heart and thus improved central, peripheral, and respiratory muscle hemodynamic responses. Although the numerical increase in cardiac output by ~8% at isotime during heliox compared with air breathing (Fig. 1A) failed to reach statistical significance in our relatively small patient group, systemic vascular conductance was greater compared with room air (Fig. 1F). Thus our findings corroborate previous findings obtained during constant-load submaximal or near-maximal exercise in COPD (2, 7, 24, 25, 26, 46) in that unloading the respiratory muscles by heliox administration alleviates disturbances in central hemodynamics imposed by the mechanics of breathing in patients with COPD.

Furthermore, direct mechanical factors could have allowed better perfusion of the intercostal (46) and abdominal muscles during heliox breathing. Indeed, decreasing the respiratory effort by heliox breathing may have mitigated local compressive factors associated with increased intramuscular tension and changes in muscle fiber orientation, thus decreasing respiratory muscle vascular compression and increasing respiratory muscle blood flow. Alleviation of these direct mechanical factors during heliox breathing might solely be responsible for increased respiratory muscle perfusion at exhaustion compared with room air breathing (Fig. 2, B and C) because any advantage in central hemodynamics during heliox breathing was not present at exhaustion (Fig. 1, A and D).

Effect of oxygen breathing. Previous findings have shown that the metabolic capacity of the lower limb muscles to consume oxygen is not reached at peak exercise during room air breathing (37). Maltais and colleagues (27) found that, in patients with COPD, acute oxygen supplementation during incremental exercise improved peak blood flow to leg muscles and enabled them to perform 20% more peak external work. However, in that study (27), respiratory muscle blood flow was not measured. In our study, we examined whether a potential improvement in leg muscle blood flow by oxygen supplementation would occur concomitantly to a decrease in respiratory muscle blood flow owing to lower respiratory muscle loading (2). However, we demonstrated that, during oxygen breathing, neither quadriceps nor respiratory muscle blood flow differed compared with room air breathing (Fig. 2, A–C), but both respiratory (Fig. 2, E and F) and leg muscle oxygen delivery (Fig. 2D) increased compared with room air breathing due to increased CaO2 (Fig. 3A). Indeed, lack of increase in leg muscle blood flow with oxygen breathing could be attributed to the increase in CaO2 and thus in oxygen delivery to the working muscles (3, 33), without necessitating any increase in leg muscle blood flow and redistribution of blood flow from respiratory to locomotor muscles.

The findings that, during exercise, blood flow of intercostal and rectus abdominis muscles does not change (in fact, numerically but not statistically, goes down) from rest while subjects breathe room air support the findings of a previous study by our group (45), which demonstrated restriction of intercostal muscles perfusion but increase of quadriceps muscle blood flow during intense exercise in COPD. Mechanical factors may play a significant role because, in COPD, prolonged expiration with high-expiratory muscle contractile force limits perfusion, just as in cycle exercise where, in the legs, blood flow falls during active contraction and then increases during relaxation each cycle stroke. As far as pure oxygen breathing is concerned, the lack of change in blood flow of both respiratory muscles during exercise might be attributed to the increase in arterial oxygen content and thus in oxygen delivery to the respiratory muscles without necessitating any increase in respiratory muscle blood flow.

Effect on dyspnea sensations. Heliox and pure oxygen breathing reduced dyspnea perception at isotime and prolonged time to exhaustion (Table 3). The most likely known explanation is reduction of the work of breathing, which diminishes dyspnea, thus contributing to the improvement of exercise performance (2, 31, 34, 40, 46). Indeed, heliox by reducing turbulent airway resistances and respiratory impedance decreases the total work of breathing because of unloading of inspiratory and mainly expiratory muscles (26, 32, 34, 46). Oxygen administration in turn decreases minute ventilation through a decrease in respiratory drive via inhibition of carotid bodies and/or improved metabolic conditions of the skeletal muscles (14, 31, 41), thus decreasing dynamic lung hyperinflation and work of breathing. The results of the present study reveal a novel potential mechanism of dyspnea relief during heliox or oxygen breathing by demonstrating increased respiratory muscle oxygen delivery compared with air breathing (Fig. 2, E and F). In fact, this increase in inspiratory and expiratory muscle oxygen delivery by heliox and oxygen might eliminate or postpone exercise-induced respiratory muscle fatigue (11, 19, 35, 36), relieve dyspnea, and increase exercise capacity.

Study limitations. A potential limitation of the present study was that we did not perform blood flow measurements of the diaphragm to provide a more complete picture of perfusion redistribution of the main respiratory muscle during exercise. The reason was that NIRS optodes cannot be used for measuring blood flow in the diaphragm due to the substantial distance between the sampling point of NIRS on the skin and the diaphragmatic appositional area (16, 45) compared with the shorter distance for the intercostals and abdominal muscles. Nonetheless, given that blood flow measurements of the diaphragm in conscious humans at rest and during exercise have never been performed, any assumptions of blood flow redistribution within respiratory muscles during exercise are very speculative. Conclusively, the magnitude of blood flow through the respiratory muscles that we were able to access suggests that redistribution of blood flow from the respiratory to locomotor muscles is not the explanation for better tolerance during heliox or O2 breathing. Secondly, measurements of respiratory muscle loading were not conducted in the present study because we wished to avoid further patient discomfort from esophageal and gastric balloon placement for assessment of esophageal and gastric pressures and because the unloading effects of heliox and oxygen on respiratory muscles during exercise have already been clearly demonstrated by us (26, 46) and others (2). Finally, we did not measure pulmonary artery pressures at rest or during exercise in this study and thus cannot evaluate its presence or severity or functional consequence for our results.

Significance. The present study constitutes the first experimental demonstration that the well-documented improvement in exercise capacity following alleviation of respiratory muscle loading by heliox or oxygen administration (2, 3, 26, 27, 33, 46) does not occur on the basis of blood flow redistribution from the respiratory to locomotor muscles. Instead, exercise
capacity was increased by a similar time magnitude consequently to the similarly improved locomotor muscle oxygen delivery, albeit for different reasons, namely greater blood flow with heliox and higher arterial \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration with oxygen breathing.

In conclusion, the findings of the present study confirm that reducing the burden on respiration by heliox or oxygen breathing prolongs time to exhaustion during constant-load exercise in patients with COPD, but they do not support the hypothesis that redistribution of blood flow from the respiratory to locomotor muscles is the explanation.
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