Altered nutrient response of mTORC1 as a result of changes in REDD1 expression: effect of obesity vs. REDD1 deficiency
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Williamson DL, Li Z, Tuder RM, Feinstein E, Kimball SR, Dungan CM. Altered nutrient response of mTORC1 as a result of changes in REDD1 expression: effect of obesity vs. REDD1 deficiency. J Appl Physiol 117: 246–256, 2014. First published May 29, 2014; doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01350.2013.—Although aberrant mTORC1 signaling has been well established in models of obesity, little is known about its repressor, REDD1. Therefore, the initial goal of this study was to determine the role of REDD1 on mTORC1 in obese skeletal muscle. REDD1 expression (protein and message) and mTORC1 signaling (S6K1, eIF4E binding, raptor-mTOR association, Rheb GTP) were examined in lean vs. o.b and REDD1 wild-type (WT) vs. knockout (KO) mice, under conditions of altered nutrient intake [fasted and fed or diet-induced obesity (10% vs. 60% fat diet)]. Despite higher (P < 0.05) S6K1 and eIF4E-BP1 phosphorylation, two models of obesity (o.b and diet-induced) displayed elevated (P < 0.05) skeletal muscle REDD1 expression compared with lean or low-fat-fed mouse muscle under fasted conditions. The o.b mice displayed elevated REDD1 expression (P < 0.05) that coincided with aberrant mTORC1 signaling (hyperactive S6K1, low raptor-mTOR binding, elevated Rheb GTP; P < 0.05) under fasted conditions, compared with the lean, which persisted in a dysregulated fashion under fed conditions. REDD1 KO mice gained limited body mass on a high-fat diet, although S6K1 and eIF4E-BP1 phosphorylation remained elevated (P < 0.05) in both the low-fat and high-fat-fed KO vs. WT mice. Similarly, the REDD1 KO mouse muscle displayed blunted mTORC1 signaling responses (S6K1 and eIF4E-BP1, raptor-mTOR binding) and circulating insulin under fed conditions vs. the robust responses (P < 0.05) in the WT fed mouse muscle. These studies suggest that REDD1 in skeletal muscle may serve to limit hyperactive mTORC1, which promotes aberrant mTORC1 signaling responses during altered nutrient states.
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NEARLY TWO-THIRDS of the U.S. population are overweight, a third of which are obese (55). These conditions are associated with insulin insensitivity, metabolic inflexibility (51), and reduced activities of daily living. Despite nutrient excess, studies of obesity present data showing lower relative muscle mass that point toward aberrant growth signaling, satellite cell activation, and protein synthesis (6, 7, 13, 21, 34, 54, 57, 67). Since skeletal muscle is a major site for insulin action and glucose disposal, comprises a large portion of fat-free mass, and is positively associated with metabolic homeostasis (2), the maintenance of muscle mass is central to metabolic homeostasis (72, 73). The key mechanism of regulating skeletal muscle protein synthesis is by the translation of messenger RNA (mRNA) (30, 48). mRNA translation is a tightly controlled process, dictating peptide formation and protein synthesis, and is regulated by hormonal and nutrient cues, such as those that occur in a fasted or fed state, to the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) kinase (5, 38, 39). Skeletal muscle from obese rodents has an attenuated response to nutrient and growth stimuli (35, 49, 76), associated with dysregulated mTOR signaling.

mTOR comprises two separate multiprotein complexes, rapporter containing mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and raptor containing mTOR complex 2 (mTORC2) (36, 64). mTORC1 phosphorylates two downstream substrates, the eukaryotic initiation factor (eIF) 4E binding protein-1 (4E-BP1) and p70 ribosomal protein S6 kinase-1 (S6K1) (12). In its unphosphorylated state, 4E-BP1 binds to eIF4E, inhibiting translation initiation. mTORC1 phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 promotes its release from the cap-binding protein eIF4E, eIF4E is then free to bind with the initiation factor, eIF4G, increasing eIF4F complex formation (27). During the initiation phase of mRNA translation, phosphorylation of eIF3-bound S6K1 by mTORC1 results in its release from the 43S preinitiation complex, allowing it to phosphorylate substrates such as eIF4B and PDCD4 (29, 59). In addition, during the pioneering round of mRNA translation, activated S6K1 also phosphorylates the exon junction complex constituent S6K1 ALY-REF-like target (SKAR), which upregulates the process (61, 65). Moreover, it has been suggested that SKAR may act to recruit S6K1 to the newly synthesized mRNA, allowing it to phosphorylate protein(s) such as eIF4B to promote mRNA translation (44). The eIF4F complex then binds with the mRNA and 40S ribosomal subunit so that together with a 60S subunit, a functional 80S monosome is formed to begin mRNA translation.

An inhibitor of mTOR, the protein regulated in development and DNA damage responses 1 [REDD1; also known as DNA-damage-inducible transcript 4 (DDIT4), dexamethasone-induced gene 2 (Dig2), and RTP801], is upregulated by various stressors, such as glucocorticoids (78), DNA damage (43), endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress (58, 79), and hypoxia (11, 69). These same stressors are also observed in the obese (1, 17, 46), and inhibit mTOR (11, 23, 78). However, REDD1 expression is reduced under growth-promoting conditions, including refeeding a fasted animal (46), or in skeletal muscle after a...
bout of resistance exercise (20). Although REDD1’s mechanism of action on mTORC1 signaling remains unclear, findings suggest that it requires release of 14–3–3 proteins from the tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2) (11, 16, 71). In the absence of REDD1, Akt phosphorylates TSC2 and inhibits its GTPase activator function toward Rheb (71). When Rheb is associated with GTP, but not GDP, Rheb activates mTORC1 (32, 74). However, little is known about mTORC1 and its regulation during obesity, as it relates to REDD1 expression. Therefore, the initial goal of this study was to determine the role of REDD1 on mTORC1 in obese skeletal muscle. To achieve this goal we tested the working hypothesis that REDD1 is a significant regulator of mTORC1 in obese skeletal muscle, contributing to aberrant mTORC1 responses to nutrient stimuli.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND METHODS

Materials. The rodent diets were purchased from Research Diets (New Brunswick, NJ). Kits for blood analysis of glucose, insulin, and nonesterified fatty acids were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO; GAH2K20), Alpco (Salem, NH; 80-INSMSU-E01), and Wako Chemical [Richmond, VA; HR Series NEFA-HR(2)], respectively. A Coomassie (Bradford) protein assay was performed using Coomassie Plus Reagent from Thermo Scientific (Rockford, IL). Western blotting was performed using a Bio-Rad Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell system. Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories ( Hercules, CA). Active Rheb kit was purchased from New East Biosciences (S1201; King of Prussia, PA). Primary antibody for anti-actin (sc-8432) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Antibodies for anti-phospho S6K1 T389 (9234), anti-phospho-Akt S473 (9202), anti-phospho 4E-BP1 T37/46 (9459), anti-4E-BP1 (9452), anti-mTOR (2972), anti-raptor (2280), and anti-GAPDH (2118) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). The antibody for REDD1 (10638-1-AP) was purchased from ProteinTech (Chicago, IL) and the HIF1α (NB100-105) was purchased from Novus Biologicals (Littleton, CO). The anti-rabbit IgG (7074) and anti-mouse IgG (7076) HRP-linked secondary antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. Chemiluminescence imaging was performed on a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP Imager. Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent was purchased from Thermo Scientific (Pierce ECL, West Pico, and West Femto) and Bio-Rad Laboratories (Clarity Western ECL).

Animal protocol. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University at Buffalo, SUNY approved all of the animal protocols and procedures. All mice were housed in an environmentally controlled room with a 12:12-h light-dark cycle on standard chow, unless otherwise specified. First, 3- to 4- month-old lean (Lepr+/+ or Lepr+/Lepr+) and ob/ob (Lepr+/Lepr+) from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME; cat. no. 000632) male mice were euthanized following a 12-h fast. Also, 3- to 4- month-old wild-type and RTP801 (REDD1) knockout C57Bl/6 male mice (Jackson Laboratories; cat. no. 000664) or 3- to 4-month-old wild-type and RTP801 (REDD1) knockout C57Bl/6 male mice (Jackson Laboratories; cat. no. 000664) or 3- to 4-month-old wild-type and RTP801 (REDD1) knockout C57Bl/6 male mice were euthanized following a 12-h fast. Then, 3- to 4-month-old wild-type and RTP801 (REDD1) knockout C57Bl/6 male mice were euthanized (per 5 ml) using a drill press homogenizer. Homogenates were incubated on ice for 5 min, and then 150 µl of 10% Triton X-100, 1.3% deoxycholate, and 100 µg/ml cycloheximide (per 5 ml) was used with concentrations ranging from 0 to 2,000 µg/ml. Samples were loaded in triplicate at dilutions of 1:10 and read using a Bio-Rad iMark Microplate Absorbance Reader at 595 nm, and then the protein concentrations were calculated.

Western blotting. Equal quantities of protein were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and then transferred onto a PVDF membrane. After blocking in 5% milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) plus 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) for 1 h, membranes were incubated with the specified primary antibody in TBS-T overnight at 4°C. Membranes were then washed and incubated with the respective secondary antibody for 1 h in a 5% milk/TBS-T solution at room temperature. The membranes were washed in TBS-T, then visualized using ECL and then quantitated by measuring the luminescent signal using a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System with the Bio-Rad Image Lab software. The data are expressed as a percentage of the respective control group for each individual blot. As a positive control of REDD1 protein expression (see Fig. 1, inset), REDD1 and HIF1α protein expression in C2C12 myotubes were induced after 3 h of hypoxia exposure (vs. normoxic conditions) using a BBL GasPak System (Becton-Dickinson; Cockeysville, MD) using standard culturing conditions (82).

Analysis of polysome aggregation. Sucrose density gradient centrifugation was employed to analyze muscle polysome aggregation state (18, 83) following 8 wk of consuming a low- or a high-fat diet. Plantar flexor complex muscles were homogenized in 10 vol of buffer [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 75 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 250 mM sucrose, 1% Triton X-100, 1.3% deoxycholate, and 100 µg/ml cycloheximide (per 5 ml)] using a drill press homogenizer. Homogenates were incubated on ice for 5 min, and then 150 µl of 10% Triton X-100, 1.3% deoxycholate, and 18 ml sterile water) was added, and the samples were thoroughly mixed. Samples were incubated on ice for 15 min and then centrifuged at 1,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant (600 µl) was layered on a 20 – 47% linear sucrose density gradient [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 75 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2] and centrifuged in a SW41 rotor at 40,000 rpm for 4 h at 4°C. Following centrifugation, the gradient was displaced upward (2 ml/min) using Fluorinert (Isco, Lincoln, NE) through a spectrophotometer, and the optical density at 254 nm was continuously recorded (chart speed, 150 cm/h).

RNA isolation. First, a sample of the muscle homogenate was taken prior to gradient fractionation that would represent the total RNA
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Active Rheb. Per the kit manufacturer’s specifications (New East Biosciences; cat. no. 81201), configuration-specific anti-Rheb-GTP monoclonal antibody was incubated with 250 μg of muscle cytosolic lysates (CHAPS buffer described previously; 1,000 g). As a negative and a positive control in vitro, GDP and GTPyS loading of Rheb, respectively, was performed on fasted muscle lysates and included in the immunoprecipitation. The bound active Rheb was immunoprecipitated by protein A/G agarose (4°C, 1 h), then pelleted and washed three times in CHAPS buffer. After the final wash, the pellet was resuspended in 2X SDS-sample buffer and boiled. The precipitated active Rheb was detected by Western immunoblot analysis (described above) using anti-Rheb rabbit polyclonal antibody provided in the kit to measure the active Rheb-GTP levels.

Statistical analysis. Statistics were performed using IBM SPSS v. 22.0.0 software. The results are expressed as means ± SE. Comparisons were made for each variable using a t-test (2-tailed) or an ANOVA (1-way or 2-way) with a Tukey HSD post hoc test, to establish significant differences between groups, only after the F statistic indicated an overall significance in the data. The significance levels was set a priori at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Our first observation of increased RedD1 expression in obese skeletal muscle stemmed from a proteomics screen, which was verified with Western blot analysis as shown in Fig. 1. As a positive control for RedD1 and HIF1α protein expression, myotube cultures were subjected to normoxic and hypoxic conditions (see Fig. 1, inset). Compared with fasted lean skeletal muscle that had low RedD1 and HIF1α protein expression levels, fasted leptin-resistant, ob/ob mouse muscle expression was significantly higher (P < 0.05 vs. lean control). In these same mice, we have previously reported a dysregulation of mTORC1 signaling (hyperactive S6K1) and translational capacity in fasted ob/ob skeletal muscle (18), as corroborated by the high 4E-BP1 phosphorylation (Fig. 1; P < 0.05) in muscle from fasted obese mice. While the aforementioned results were conducted in fasted conditions, McGhee et al. (46) have shown that RedD1 expression was differentially regulated by food deprivation and feeding in both non diabetic and type I diabetic rats. Thus, we followed up the aforementioned observations by examining skeletal muscle RedD1 expression in fasted and fed obese mice. Fasted blood fatty acids, glucose, insulin concentrations were higher (P < 0.05 vs. fasted lean) in the ob/ob mice (Table 1). Expectedly, nutrient (fasted vs. fed) status contributed to significant increases (P < 0.05) in circulating glucose and insulin, and a reciprocal decrease in fatty acids regardless of group (Table 1), although more so in the ob/ob fed group (P < 0.05 vs. fed lean). Fasted obese mouse muscle S6K1 and Akt phosphorylation were higher (Fig. 2, A and B; P < 0.05) than lean fasted controls, and fed mice (lean and obese) had significantly higher (Fig. 2, A and B; P < 0.05) S6K1 and Akt phosphorylation vs. the fasted groups. This resulted in a fasted-to-fed percent change of 107.3 ± 6.5 vs. 29.9 ± 4.4 (P = 0.001) for S6K1 and 247.4 ± 64.8 vs. 168.2 ± 26.6 (P = 0.37) for Akt phosphorylation in the lean and ob/ob groups, respectively. Fasted obese mouse muscle had higher RedD1 expression (Fig. 2C; P < 0.05) compared with the lean fasted group. Despite an overall reduction (P < 0.05) of RedD1 expression in the fed mice (vs. the fasted groups), REDD1 remained higher (Fig. 2C; P < 0.05) in the ob/ob mice vs. the lean, which translated into a trending fasted-to-fed percent change of −68.3 ± 8.3 vs. −42.3 ± 4.8.
Table 1. Characteristics of fasted and fed, lean and ob/ob mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lean Fasted</th>
<th>ob/ob Fasted</th>
<th>Lean Fed</th>
<th>ob/ob Fed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, g</td>
<td>26.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>46.2 ± 2.0*</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>50.2 ± 2.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantar flexor complex, g</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver, g</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eWAT, g</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dl</td>
<td>72.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>225.2 ± 16.2*</td>
<td>223.1 ± 21.2*</td>
<td>414.1 ± 34.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, μIU/ml</td>
<td>2.69 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.37 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.50*</td>
<td>13.31 ± 1.94*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.14*</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.39*</td>
<td>12.97 ± 2.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA, mmol/l</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.24*</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03**</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. eWAT, epididymal white adipose tissue; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; NEFA, nonesterified fatty acid. *Statistically different from lean fasted (P < 0.05). †Significantly different from ob/ob fasted (P < 0.05).

(P = 0.06) in the lean and ob/ob groups, respectively. The direct regulator of mTOR, active Rheb (Rheb-GTP), was trending higher (P = 0.12) in the fasted obese mouse muscle vs. the lean fasted (Fig. 2D), while the lean fed muscle had significantly higher (P < 0.05) Rheb-GTP vs. the lean fasted group. Rheb-GTP was minimally higher in the obese fed group vs. fasted lean, with no difference from the ob/ob fasted and lower (P < 0.05) than the lean fed, resulting in an increased fasted-to-fed percent change of 98.6 ± 22.9 vs. 17.15 ± 17.8 (P = 0.02) in the lean and ob/ob groups, respectively. Similar to our previous observations (18, 19), raptor associated with mTOR and AMPK phosphorylation were lower (Fig. 2, E and F; P < 0.05) in fasted ob/ob mouse muscle compared with the lean. The raptor association with mTOR (P < 0.05) and AMPK phosphorylation (trending P = 0.13) were lower (Fig. 2, E and F) in the lean fed mice vs. the lean fasted mice, despite a lack of change in the fed ob/ob mice (vs. the lean fasted). These findings exhibited a fasted-to-fed percent change of

Fig. 2. Lean and obese mouse muscle mTOR signaling responses to fasting and feeding. Equal protein from fasted and fed, lean (L) and ob/ob (Ob) male mice plantar flexor complex muscle homogenates were analyzed by Western blot analysis. A: phospho-S6K1 T389 and actin, then normalized to actin. B: phospho-Akt S473 and actin, then normalized to actin. C: REDD1 and actin, then normalized to actin. D: Rheb-GTP from active-Rheb immunoprecipitates. Inset: negative and positive controls from Rheb-GTP. E: raptor and mTOR from mTOR coimmunoprecipitations. F: phospho-AMPK T172. Representative Western blots are shown. Means marked with an * are significantly different, P < 0.05 vs. lean fasted; † are significantly different, P < 0.05 vs. ob/ob fasted; and †† are significantly different, P < 0.05 vs. lean fed (n = 5–8/group).
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−25.4 ± 7.4 vs. 10.0 ± 15.6 (P = 0.03) for raptor:mTOR association and −15.1 ± 11.3 vs. 67.2 ± 22.7 (P = 0.04) for AMPK phosphorylation in the lean and ob/ob groups, respectively.

In an attempt to limit possible genetic influence of the ob/ob model on REDD1, we employed a high-fat diet approach to induce obesity. Similar to our previous report (80), increases in body weight [+26.3% (+10.0 g) vs. low fat] confirmed that consumption of the high-fat diet induced obesity in the mice after 8 wk. Under fasted conditions, diet-induced obesity promoted higher phosphorylation of S6K1 (Fig. 3A; P < 0.05), compared with the low-fat-fed mice. Similar to our findings in ob/ob mice, REDD1 protein expression was higher (Fig. 3B; P < 0.05) in the fasted mouse muscle from the high-fat-fed group vs. the low-fat group. In line with the protein data, high-fat-fed mouse muscle had higher total REDD1 mRNA expression (Fig. 3C; P < 0.05) vs. the low-fat-fed group. Muscle REDD1 mRNA expression was also higher in the polysomal fraction from fasted mice fed a high-fat diet (Fig. 3D; P < 0.05) compared with the low-fat-fed mice.

To directly address the role of REDD1 in obese skeletal muscle, wild-type (WT) and knockout (KO) RTP801 (REDD1) mice were fed either a low- or a high-fat diet for 8 wk. Similar to the abovementioned diet-induced obesity findings, WT-HF mice gained significantly more weight [+30.8% (+10.4 g); P < 0.05] than WT-LF group (Table 2 and Fig. 4; P < 0.05), whereas the KO-HF group only gained +11.2% (4.7 g) vs. the KO-LF group (Table 2 and Fig. 4). Further support for the diet model of obesity is provided by the observation that the high-fat-fed groups had larger livers and more epididymal white adipose tissue (eWAT) (P < 0.05), with no differences in muscle mass. When the eWAT were normalized to body weight, there was a diet effect (P < 0.05), regardless of group, and normalized muscle mass was lower (P < 0.05) in the WT-HF, KO-LF and -HF vs. the WT-LF. Normalized liver-to-body weight mass showed no differences between groups.

Fig. 3. Skeletal muscle from high-fat-fed mice has elevated REDD1 protein and mRNA expression. A and B: equal protein from fasted low (10%)-fat- and high (60%)-fat-fed male mice plantar flexor complex muscle homogenates was analyzed by Western blot analysis for phospho-S6K1 T389, REDD1, and actin, then normalized to actin. Representative Western blots are shown. C and D: skeletal muscle REDD1 mRNA expression from total and polysome fractions. A sample of the muscle homogenate was taken prior to gradient fractionation that would represent the total RNA fraction, and a sample was collected from the polysome-containing fraction for RNA isolation and subjected to quantitative real-time PCR. Polysome aggregation from sucrose density gradient of plantar flexor complex muscle from fasted low-fat and high-fat-fed male mice. A representative profile from each condition is presented; dashed line is low-fat-fed group, and solid line is high-fat-fed group. Peaks corresponding to 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits, 80S monomers, and polysomes are shown. Means marked with an * are significantly different, P < 0.05 vs. low fat (n = 8/group).
Interestingly, although the quantity of food consumed by the KO-HF mice was less (P < 0.05) than the other groups, when expressed as kilocalories per day (LF = 3.8 kcal/g food and HF = 5.2 kcal/g food) or total kilocalories consumed (59 days), both the WT and KO groups fed a high-fat diet consumed more kilocalories (Table 2; P < 0.05) vs. the low-fat-fed groups. Blood glucose, insulin, HOMA index, and fatty acids were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the high-fat-fed groups, independent of genotype (Table 2). There was a significantly higher fasting blood glucose concentration noted in the WT-HF compared with the KO-HF. Fasting S6K1 and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation in the WT and KO groups, respectively. Consistent with a recent report (15) in serum-starved REDD1−/− MEFs (mouse embryonic fibroblasts), Akt S473 phosphorylation was significantly lower in the fasted KO mouse muscle vs. the fasted WT mice, no other differences were observed between groups. This resulted in a fasted-to-fed percent difference of −47.3 ± 14.6 for the WT group. Fasted S6K1 and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation was higher (Fig. 6, B and C; P < 0.05) in fasted KO vs. WT mice. In the fed state, the WT mice displayed higher S6K1 and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation vs. the fasted (Fig. 6, B and C; P < 0.05), although the effect appeared to be blunted in the KO mice. This resulted in a fasted-to-fed percent difference of 322.1 ± 150.4 vs. −24.7 ± 20.3 (P < 0.05) for S6K1 and 137.0 ± 27.7 vs. 9.76 ± 8.21 (P < 0.05) for 4E-BP1 phosphorylation in the WT and KO groups, respectively. Other than lower (Fig. 6D; P < 0.05) raptor:mTOR association in muscle from the fed vs. WT mouse muscle vs. fasted WT mice, no other differences were observed between groups.

Although indicative that REDD1 contributes to body weight homeostasis, the results of the high-fat feeding study also suggested an altered response to nutrients. Thus WT and KO mice were subjected to the same fasted and fed paradigm performed in the lean and ob/ob mice (see Table 1 and Fig. 2) to examine responses in mTOR signaling. As expected, KO mouse muscle had extremely low REDD1 protein expression vs. the WT mice (Fig. 6A; P < 0.05), and there was a trend (P = 0.07) for REDD1 expression to be lower in fed compared with fasted WT mice (Fig. 6A; P < 0.05 vs. fasted WT), resulting in an increased fasted-to-fed percent difference of −47.3 ± 14.6 for the WT group. Fasted S6K1 and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation was higher (Fig. 6, B and C; P < 0.05) in fasted KO vs. WT mice. In the fed state, the WT mice displayed higher S6K1 and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation vs. the fasted (Fig. 6, B and C; P < 0.05), although the effect appeared to be blunted in the KO mice. This resulted in a fasted-to-fed percent difference of 322.1 ± 150.4 vs. −24.7 ± 20.3 (P < 0.05) for S6K1 and 137.0 ± 27.7 vs. 9.76 ± 8.21 (P < 0.05) for 4E-BP1 phosphorylation in the WT and KO groups, respectively. Other than lower (Fig. 6D; P < 0.05) raptor:mTOR association in muscle from the fed vs. WT mouse muscle vs. fasted WT mice, no other differences were observed between groups. This resulted in a fasted-to-fed percent difference of −20.6 ± 2.9 vs. −11.7 ± 10.6 (P = 0.47) in the WT and KO groups, respectively. Consistent with a recent report (15) in serum-starved REDD1−/− MEFs (mouse embryonic fibroblasts), Akt S473 phosphorylation was significantly lower in the fasted KO mouse muscle vs. the fasted WT (Fig. 6E; P < 0.05). Yet, Akt phosphorylation remained low in the fed KO mouse muscle compared with the WT fed (Fig. 6C; P < 0.05). The resultant fasted-to-fed percent difference was 67.4 ± 35.7 vs. 53.8 ± 25.1 (P = 0.75) for Akt phosphorylation in the WT and KO groups, respectively. Correspondingly, circulating insulin concentrations were significantly lower in the fasted KO mouse muscle vs. the fasted WT (Table 3; P < 0.05). Although higher in the fed state (P < 0.05 vs. KO fasted), insulin remained lower in the fed KO mouse muscle compared with the WT fed (Table 3; P < 0.05). Notably, despite the lower insulin concentrations, no difference in blood glucose levels were observed between WT and KO mice in either the fed or fasted state (Table 3).

**Table 2. Characteristics of REDD1 WT and KO mice fed a low- or high-fat diet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT-LF</th>
<th>WT-HF</th>
<th>KO-LF</th>
<th>KO-HF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, g</td>
<td>33.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>44.1 ± 1.8*</td>
<td>41.7 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>46.4 ± 1.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantar flexor complex, g</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver, g</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eWAT, g</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g/day</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kcal/day</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total kcal</td>
<td>790.8 ± 20.9</td>
<td>989.2 ± 29.7%</td>
<td>740.3 ± 25.7</td>
<td>902.7 ± 29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dl</td>
<td>12.8 ± 33</td>
<td>26.5 ± 16.9%</td>
<td>112.8 ± 32.0</td>
<td>229.2 ± 29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, µU/ml</td>
<td>2.71 ± 02</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.69*</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.10</td>
<td>5.27 ± 1.47*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.63*</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.25</td>
<td>3.59 ± 0.66*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA, mmol/l</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.16*</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.08*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. WT and KO are REDD1 wild type and knockout, respectively; LF, low-fat diet; HF, high-fat diet. *Statistically different from WT-LF (P < 0.05). ‡Statistically different from the LF group (P < 0.05). †Significantly different from WT-HF (P < 0.05).

**Fig. 4.** Consumption of a high-fat diet promotes more relative body weight gain in wild-type but not REDD1 knockout mice. The percent change in body weight from the initiation of the study (day 1) to the end of the study (day 59). Body weights were taken every 7–10 days and expressed in g. REDD1 wild-type (WT) and knockout (KO), low (10%)-fat (LF)-, and high (60%)-fat (HF)-fed male mice; (n = 8/group).
DISCUSSION

The inhibitory role of REDD1 in inhibiting mTOR activity in skeletal muscle was first demonstrated in dexamethasone-treated rodents (78). Similar findings in skeletal muscle were reported during models of muscle atrophy (33, 41, 46, 52). Likewise, exogenously increased expression of REDD1 in skeletal muscle promotes smaller soleus fibers vs. control muscle under normoxic conditions (24). In contrast, a handful of studies have reported that anabolic stimuli (20, 46) reduced REDD1 expression in skeletal muscle. Although aberrant mTORC1 signaling has been well established in models of obesity, and REDD1 upregulation has a negative impact on regulators of skeletal muscle growth, little is known about the possible role REDD1 might play in the augmentation of the aberration. The findings from the present study show that in skeletal muscle displaying either abnormally high or a loss of REDD1 protein expression promotes aberrant mTORC1 signaling that has negative consequences during altered nutrient states.

Our laboratory (18, 19) and others (54, 62, 75, 76) have demonstrated the dysregulation of mTORC1 signaling in obese skeletal muscle. While hyperactive mTOR under fasted conditions is associated with limited responses to insulin (35, 62, 76), S6K1−/− mice are more insulin sensitive and resistant to a high-fat diet (76). Similarly, treating obese mice with AICAR, an inhibitor of mTOR (10), normalizes metabolic and growth processes (18). Thus the prevailing paradigm would suggest that expression of REDD1 would be low in skeletal muscle from the obese. The current findings show the converse in two different models of obesity (ob/ob and diet-induced), despite hyperactive S6K1 and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation in fasted obese skeletal muscle. While fed, lean mice displayed a robust activation of mTORC1 (increases in S6K1 phosphorylation and Rheb-GTP, and less raptor bound to mTOR), fed obese mice displayed modest increases in mTORC1 pathway activation. Rheb-GTP was slightly elevated under fasted conditions in the ob/ob mouse muscle vs. the lean, which corroborates findings in diet-induced obese heart tissue (66). Consistent with our previous findings (18, 19), raptor associated with mTOR and AMPK phosphorylation state were lower in muscle in fasted obese vs. the lean fasted mice that appeared to increase with feeding. In line with this, activated AMPK can promote TSC complex formation (directly) and a higher affinity of raptor for mTOR (28, 81, 83), thus inhibiting its function. AMPK phosphorylation was reduced with feeding in the lean, but actually increased in the obese, which may partially explain the aberrant raptor-mTOR response to feeding, possibly independent of REDD1 (71). The current data coupled with our previous findings suggest that elevated REDD1 expression in fasted muscle from the ob/ob mice is associated with reduced TSC2 complex formation (18) and can promote Rheb GTP loading and mTORC1 signaling (low raptor-mTOR association). Although it is not currently known, the Rag proteins may play a role in obesity. Given the high circulating concentrations of amino acids in the obese (8, 53), the Rag pathway (and mTOR) may be constitutively activated. Although unknown, an upregulation of the Rag pathway could help explain the relative resistance of mTORC1 to REDD1 expression in obese muscle, since REDD1 and growth factors signal to mTORC1 through TSC/Rheb rather than Rag GTPases (14, 15, 63).

Given the limited data on the mechanism involved in the regulation of REDD1 expression in skeletal muscle and/or obesity, we turn to regulators of REDD1, such as HIF1α. A lack of, or reductions in, HIF1α promotes higher oxidative stress and may help account for the observed high AMPK phosphorylation in the fed obese muscle. Therefore, while HIF1α may play a role in muscle resistance to feeding, AMPK activity is likely driven by obesity-related factors and not an independent response to feeding. Although our findings indicate that AMPK activity is not elevated in the fed obese muscle, it is possible that AMPK phosphorylation is elevated but not detected in the experimental setup used in these studies. Alternatively, AMPK activity may be lower in the fed obese muscle when AMPK signaling is not measured by Western blot analysis.

Fig. 5. Skeletal muscle mTORC1 signaling from high-fat-fed REDD1 knockout mice. Equal protein from fasted REDD1 WT and KO, LF- and HF-fed male mice plantar flexor complex muscle homogenates were analyzed by Western blot analysis. A: phospho-S6K1 T389 and GAPDH, then normalized to GAPDH. B: 4E-BP1 activation by examining gamma:total 4E-BP1. C: REDD1 and GAPDH, then normalized to GAPDH. Representative Western blots are shown. Means marked with an * are significantly different, P < 0.05 vs. WT-LF; and † are significantly different, P < 0.05 vs. WT-HF (n = 8/group).
capacity and an increased capillary density (45) and mitigates the negative effects of diet-induced obesity (68), whereas obese skeletal muscle has reduced capillary density, muscle perfusion, and ability to perform work (25). Moreover, mTOR-mediated phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 has been shown to promote translation of HIF1α mRNA, leading to increased expression of the REDD1 mRNA (22). More evidence for support from simultaneous elevation of REDD1 and mTOR signaling comes from findings in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC), where the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) gene is frequently inactivated, leading to an upregulation of HIF-1 and REDD1 (40), coinciding with hyperactive mTOR signaling. Interestingly, VHL gene expression and protein alterations have been reported in human skeletal muscle (3), and obese individuals present VHL gene defects (47).

In inducing obesity in REDD1 KO mice by feeding a high-fat diet, we unexpectedly observed that they did not gain significant amounts of weight compared with the WT-HF mice, despite a hyperactive mTORC1 pathway under fasted conditions. To follow up on this, REDD1 WT and KO mice that underwent the fasted/fed paradigm displayed comparable responses similar to the lean and ob/ob mice, respectively. Fasted KO mouse muscle displayed higher S6K1 and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation vs. the WT mice, although the response of these kinases was blunted in the fed KO mice vs. a robust increase in the fed WT mice. Similarly, when REDD1−/− MEFs are deprived of serum, mTORC1 signaling was higher vs. REDD1+/+ MEFs (15). Interestingly, the circulating insulin concentrations were lower in the KO mice regardless of nutrient status compared with the WT mice, suggesting that insulin secretion and/or production is low in the REDD1 KO mice, which was supported by lower Akt phosphorylation on S473 in muscle. Unlike the KO mice that are unable to increase REDD1 expression to limit mTORC1 signaling, as a defense mechanism under conditions of nutrient insufficiency.

Table 3. Characteristics of fasted and fed, REDD1 WT and KO mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT Fasted</th>
<th>KO Fasted</th>
<th>WT Fed</th>
<th>KO Fed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, µIU/ml</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.62</td>
<td>2.83 ± 0.71*</td>
<td>8.72 ± 0.71†</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.23‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dl</td>
<td>87.5 ± 8.3</td>
<td>155.5 ± 52.7</td>
<td>268.2 ± 11.7*</td>
<td>281.1 ± 36.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA, mmol/l</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.03*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. *Statistically different from WT fasted (P < 0.05). †Significantly different from KO groups (P < 0.05). ‡Significantly different from KO fasted (P < 0.05).
(i.e., fasting) and/or reduced substrate mobilization. Thus the combination of higher circulating insulin and a large increase in Akt phosphorylation may have provided for a more positive mTORC1 fasted-to-fed response in the ob/ob vs. the REDD KO mice.

There are two models that may describe a limited response in the fed vs. the fasted animals exhibiting aberrant mTORC1 signaling. Consistent with the current data’s models displaying hyperactive mTORC1 (e.g., ob/ob, high-fat diet, REDD KO), the previous work by Um et al. (76) and others since (56, 62) shows that hyperactive mTORC1, specifically through S6K1, negatively feeds back to IRS-1, downregulating insulin signaling. Elevated insulin concentrations are associated with increased REDD1 expression (26, 60) although the data of McGhee et al. suggest that insulin is not a factor in type 1 diabetes (46). Notwithstanding differences in mTORC1 signaling under fasted conditions, the obese mice in the current study display elevated REDD1 expression in muscle comparable to those observed in type 1 diabetic mice (31, 46). Despite the larger increase in circulating insulin observed in the ob/ob fed group (vs. lean fed), both models show similar relative reductions in REDD1 protein when comparing the fasted vs. fed state that was still higher than the lean controls (46). Similar to previous observations in high-fat-fed rodents in the fasted state (9, 70, 77), we observed an increase in Akt phosphorylation compared with the lean, although the fed response was similar between both groups. While not measured, glucocorticoids may also be elevated in the obese under both fasted and fed conditions (4, 50, 84), contributing to the irregular and blunted mTORC1 responses by the obese (46). The findings of Frost et al. (26) support the potential for high circulating insulin concentrations regulating REDD1 expression in muscle through a PI3-kinase-dependent mechanism. However, akin to the REDD1 KO model, hyperactive mTOR might play a role in β-cell loss in type 2 diabetes, as suggested during raptor knockdown (i.e., mTOR inactivation) of INS-1 cells increased insulin production and secretion (42). Thus the hyperactivation of mTORC1 due to a loss of REDD1 may reduce insulin production and secretion, negatively affecting insulin-sensitive tissues, although this remains to be determined.

In conclusion, the collective findings from the studies reported herein show that skeletal muscle displaying either significantly high (ob/ob and diet-induced obesity) or a complete loss of REDD1 protein expression (REDD1 KO) promotes aberrant mTORC1 signaling responses to altered nutrient states. The role of REDD1 in skeletal muscle is not as clear as previously thought, and high or extremely low expression of REDD1 exhibits a more complex model of nutrient and hormonal regulation of mTORC1.
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