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OVERVIEW AND INTRODUCTION

Numerous questions in physiology and medicine have derived solutions or answers from an improved understanding of regional anatomic and functional abnormalities that were qualitatively described or quantitatively measured using medical imaging. For example, over the past four decades, improvements in cardiovascular imaging (9, 63) have paved the way for new regional imaging techniques that have resulted in targeted treatments (17) and vastly improved patient outcomes (30). Clinical applications of anatomic, morphologic, and functional pulmonary imaging have also resulted in improved diagnosis and monitoring in thoracic oncology, as well as in non-neoplastic focal and diffuse lung diseases. There have also been substantial advancements in regional imaging of pulmonary mechanics, as well as ventilation and perfusion in obstructive lung disease, although many of these research tools have not yet been translated to routine clinical use. In particular for clinical applications, static anatomic imaging has dominated, although current research in functional imaging demonstrates great potential for these tools to enhance our understanding of structure-functional relationships in the lung. In this regard, we view quantitative pulmonary functional imaging methods as innovative technologies that provide new ways to answer open clinical questions. Advances in imaging over the last decade provide the physiologist with new tools to investigate lung disease as a regionally heterogeneous system.

Driving the development of regional and quantitative lung imaging techniques are many unanswered questions in pulmonary physiology, along with the growing economic and societal burdens of lung disease with limited therapeutic options. Simultaneously, the trend toward individualized diagnosis and treatment requires sophisticated tools to phenotype diseases and to monitor the effectiveness of treatment. In this regard, pulmonary structure-function imaging tools may provide metrics and answers to unsolved pulmonary problems. In this paper, we discuss the potential for computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to provide quantitative insight into pulmonary ventilation. Our main focus is ventila-
imaging, as it is the primary function of the respiratory system. We also provide critical definitions and examples in an effort to reconcile the occasional divergent viewpoints of the physiologist and imaging scientist. We then summarize recent imaging research in that context. Underscoring and driving the development of all pulmonary imaging approaches is the understanding that global measurements such as those provided by spirometry are insensitive to early disease and that the regional sensitivity of imaging measurements can be exploited to identify ventilation or gas distribution abnormalities that cannot be detected by spirometry.

As several excellent reviews of single photon and positron emission tomography (SPECT and PET) are now available (12, 24, 34, 60), we will mainly focus on functional x-ray CT and MRI and contrast these techniques with other imaging approaches.

DEFINITIONS

An essential function of the respiratory system is the delivery of fresh gas, including oxygen, from the environment to the alveoli and the removal of CO2 from the body to the external environment. Pulmonary physiology provides clear definitions for this function and derives the term total pulmonary ventilation or minute volume (VT) as the volume of gas entering the lung per unit time:

\[
\dot{V}_T = \dot{V}_f f
\]

where total ventilation \(\dot{V}_T\) (in units of ml/min) is simply the tidal volume \(V_T\) delivered times the breathing frequency per minute \((f)\). Some of the entering tidal volume fills the conducting airways, and thus never reaches the alveoli or participates in gas exchange. Subtracting this ventilation of the anatomic dead space \((V_d)\) defines alveolar ventilation \((\dot{V}_A)\):

\[
\dot{V}_A = (V_T - V_d) f
\]

It is assumed that \(\dot{V}_A\) is defined by the delivery of fresh gas (i.e., gas that does not contain CO2) to the alveoli. Similarly at end expiration, the gas residing in the dead space has the same composition as alveolar gas, and the subsequent reentry of dead space gas to the alveoli does not constitute fresh gas delivery and thus cannot contribute to CO2 removal. \(\dot{V}_A\) can alternately be defined in terms of the ratio of steady state CO2 production and the alveolar \(P_{ACO_2}\), emphasizing the primacy of CO2 removal in alveolar ventilation:

\[
\dot{V}_A = \frac{\dot{V}_{CO_2}}{P_{ACO_2}} = \frac{\dot{V}_{CO_2}}{P_{ACO_2}}
\]

where \(K\) is a constant relating the partial pressure of CO2 to volume (68). Note that under ordinary circumstances, the more easily measured arterial \(P_{ACO_2}\) is very close to the alveolar \(P_{ACO_2}\), and can be used to estimate alveolar ventilation in normal lungs. Thus to a physiologist, alveolar ventilation is a steady-state process by which CO2 is removed from the lung. Gas transport, even of fresh gas, to an alveolar region that is not perfused (and thus does not contain CO2) does not therefore contribute to alveolar ventilation or gas exchange but rather to dead space ventilation. Particularly in the diseased lung, the delineation of the dead space from gas exchanging regions is not distinct, and the term physiological dead space is used to designate the volume of the lung that functionally does not contribute to CO2 removal.

Although these relationships are usually described for the entire lung, they also hold true at the regional level, with local alveolar \(P_{ACO_2}\) related to the balance of local CO2 delivery to those alveoli via regional perfusion and the local rate of CO2 removal via regional ventilation. Note that this is a particularly restrictive definition of alveolar ventilation with respect to effecting respiratory gas exchange; in a broader, more mechanical sense alveolar ventilation could be defined as the delivery of fresh gas to the alveolar zone, independent of CO2 presence. Most imaging techniques for measuring regional ventilation in fact depend on quantifying the rate of accumulation and/or elimination of a nonabsorbed, inhaled tracer gas in the lung periphery. This approach differs from traditional compartmental modeling of alveolar ventilation and CO2 removal and thus cannot distinguish alveolar from dead space ventilation. If the tracer gas is either taken up by the pulmonary circulation or delivered to the alveoli from the circulation, similar to the path of the respiratory gases, then it is possible to separate dead space from alveolar ventilation as well as estimate ventilation:perfusion \((V/Q)\) ratios, either on a whole lung basis, as with the multiple inert gas elimination technique (62), or regionally, with imaging approaches (34, 43). Thus we urge investigators imaging ventilation to consider whether the distinction between gas transport within the lung and gas exchange with the circulation is important in interpreting their results.

Specific ventilation \((s\dot{V}_r)\) is defined as the regional alveolar ventilation normalized by the regional gas volume \((V_r)\), usually at end expiration:

\[
s\dot{V}_r = \frac{\dot{V}_{A,r}}{V_r}
\]

This parameter arises directly from indicator-dilution techniques that assume an exponential rise of a tracer gas (41). Because ventilation is normalized to a region’s gas volume, specific ventilation is a convenient parameter to compare ventilation in different arbitrarily defined pulmonary regions of interest (ROI), because absolute ventilation will increase with the region size.

A similar parameter introduced in the MR literature is the fractional ventilation per breath \(r\), defined as \(r = \frac{\dot{V}_{f}(V_T + V_o)}{V_T}\), where \(V_f\) is the volume of fresh gas entering a region during a breath and \(V_o\) the volume of old gas still present in the region at the end of the breath, including the dead space gas (21). Whereas \(s\dot{V}_r\) describes the fresh gas entering a lung region (per unit time) normalized to the region’s volume at the time of imaging (end-expiratory, mean lung volume, or end-inspiratory), the fractional ventilation \(r\) describes the fresh gas (per breath) entering a region normalized to end-inspiratory volume. Thus, if \(s\dot{V}_r\) is normalized to regional end-inspiratory volume, \(r\) and \(s\dot{V}_r\) are equivalent; if \(s\dot{V}_r\) is normalized to end-expiratory volume then they are related by \(1/r = 1 + 1/s\dot{V}_r\). End-inspiratory imaging of a tracer gas tends to overestimate the regional ventilation because the conducting airways are filled with the inspired tracer concentration, which increases the apparent rate of rise of the tracer in the alveolar gas by partial volume averaging. For the same reason, end-inspiratory imaging may introduce regional artifacts because of the vary-
ing presence of conducting airways between the inner and outer lung.

Factors affecting the regional distribution of ventilation include local parenchymal compliance, airways resistance and obstruction, regional intrapleural pressure, interdependence effects, tidal volume, gas properties, and respiratory frequency and inspiratory flow rate. Important for the interpretation of pulmonary images, the regional distribution of steady-state, alveolar ventilation is related to (but not identical to) the distribution of an inhaled contrast agent or tracer gas during a deep inspiration, nor is it equivalent to the distribution of directly measured changes in regional lung volume. First, the distribution of inspired gas may differ from steady-state breathing for a breath that has different mechanical parameters (flow rate, volume) or gas properties (density, viscosity); second, gas flowing to a lung region without blood flow, for example attributable to a pulmonary embolus, contributes to dead space but not alveolar ventilation. As discussed below, these factors must be considered in formulating questions to address with ventilation imaging as well as for interpreting functional images.

### Posture and Breathing Maneuvers

Another important consideration for physiologists and imaging scientists is that lung volumes, ventilation, and other mechanical measurements are often made with the subject seated upright, with the most gravitationally dependent regions against the diaphragm. In the upright posture, ventilation in these inferior regions is typically increased relative to superior regions, reflecting variations in regional lung and chest wall mechanics. Physiological insights regarding global ventilation heterogeneity (i.e., the variance of ventilation distribution) have been obtained using indirect measurements in upright subjects, such as single- or multiple-breath nitrogen washouts (62, 66) and computational modeling (15). By contrast, most imaging is performed with patients in the supine or prone position, and thus measures regional ventilation distribution under very different conditions compared with upright measurements. Such differences are important to keep in mind as we review key findings relating imaging to ventilation. Although it is true that many physiological tests may be performed supine to better compare results with imaging (i.e., spirometry), most of human physiology (except for sleeping) is formed supine to better compare results with imaging (i.e., CT, computed tomography; UTE, ultrashort echo time; FD, Fourier decomposition).

Another important consideration is the inhalation and breath-hold maneuvers undertaken when acquiring CT and MRI and how these compare to standard pulmonary function tests. In Table 1, we summarize some of these inhalation and breath-hold approaches (already established in the literature) for the static and dynamic MR and CT imaging techniques discussed in this review.

### Table 1. Summary of inhalation and breath-hold approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CT</th>
<th>Breath Hold</th>
<th>Free Breathing/Gated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspiration/expiration</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe CT</td>
<td>Fixed volume inhalation for single breath method</td>
<td>Gated to controlled or trained breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual energy Xe CT</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Gated to controlled or trained breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic (4DCT)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tidal breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperpolarized Xe/H2</td>
<td>Fixed volume dose from FRC or RV</td>
<td>Inhalation and exhalation dynamic possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1H-UTE</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D (FD) 1H</td>
<td>Fixed volume inhalation from FRC or RV</td>
<td>Tidal breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tidal breathing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT, computed tomography; UTE, ultrashort echo time; FD, Fourier decomposition.

Because ventilation is the primary function of the lung, it stands to reason that pulmonary disease is associated with abnormalities in ventilation. However, the lung has considerable reserve capacity, and as such may harbor significant abnormalities not easily detected from clinical symptoms or indices of global lung function. For example in chronic obstructive lung disease (COPD), abnormalities of distal or small airways may not be apparent because of their relatively small contribution to flow measured at the mouth, resulting in minimal alterations in FEV₁ or FVC. Nevertheless, global physiological measurements made at the airway opening have been used to infer ventilation and its heterogeneity throughout the lung. These well-established methods, based on flow, volume, and detection of inert gas wash-in and wash-out profiles, were developed to address mechanistic questions regarding normal and abnormal lung function (18, 25, 67). The critical need for spatial or regional estimates of lung function has motivated the application of imaging methods to these physiological questions.

For patients with chronic diseases such as asthma or COPD, abnormalities of the airways and parenchyma may go undetected by spirometry and the patient themselves because the lung is relatively overengineered for day-to-day living. This chronicity of unresolved inflammation results in progressive worsening of pathology (16). In asthma, some symptoms can be managed with β-agonists, corticosteroids, or leukotriene inhibitors. However, in COPD, deterioration in lung function is usually irreversible, and the thoracic cavity becomes larger as an adaptive response to air trapping, increased compliance, and decreased expiratory flows (20, 23). All of these important physiological changes may be detectable on a regional basis prior to apparent clinical symptomatology and thus less amenable to treatment. Importantly, many therapies for asthma and COPD follow the inhaled route, and the spatial heterogeneity of disease may also result in heterogeneous therapy delivery. Hence regional imaging methods and measurements may be used to help guide therapy (for regional therapy such as...
thermoablation or lung reduction strategies) or spatially resolve the effects of therapy. As another example, in syndromes such as acute lung injury, regional abnormalities of ventilation are very common, and mechanical ventilation can accelerate disease progression. Current approaches to ventilator management attempt to restore collapsed or flooded lung regions of ventilation lung while simultaneously avoiding overdistension of already open lung regions, in effect reducing mechanical stresses and ventilation heterogeneity (37, 59). Clearly, there is a need for better regional assessment of lung function in patients with acute and chronic lung disease.

Accordingly, some of the important mechanistic questions for the physiologist interrogating these pathways relate to 1) regional detection and measurement of structure-function abnormalities prior to symptomatology; 2) understanding regional pathophysiology and measuring subtle regional responses to therapy; 3) improved phenotyping or patient stratification based on structural and functional abnormalities; and 4) utilizing regional characterizations to predict important clinical outcomes such as acute exacerbations, disease progression, and mortality.

**SOLUTIONS AND ANSWERS PROVIDED BY IMAGING**

The development of functional imaging protocols in pulmonary physiology is resource intensive, and immediate benefits to patients with lung disease are not yet apparent. Thus the question remains: what is the clinical utility of functional lung imaging? The answer is straightforward: in vivo medical imaging, even at relatively low spatial and temporal resolutions, provides a foundation for understanding pulmonary pathophysiology as heterogeneous, regional phenomena (38). Gas phase tracers or contrast agents can be used to estimate gas distribution and even regional ventilation using single breath or multibreath methods. Methods that measure regional oxygen or carbon dioxide concentration or use tracers that exchange with the circulation can be used to estimate important local ventilation/perfusion relationships. Image registration techniques quantify spatial parenchymal deformation and strain, providing a surrogate for ventilation (36) and quantifying local pulmonary mechanical properties. We summarize x-ray CT (with or without the use of inhaled xenon or krypton signal enhancement and using single-energy or dual-energy approaches) and MRI in terms of their corresponding strengths, weaknesses, and availability for research and patient care. In Table 2, we provide a summary of these imaging methods, their spatial and temporal resolution, and typical measurements that can be generated or derived from the images acquired. We think it is also important to acknowledge that there has been limited experience in comparing physiological tests and images in the same person, even with the availability of three-dimensional (3D) volumetric lung measurements derived from CT and MRI. For some examples in Table 2, we provide some of the basic relationships that have been determined between imaging and more established pulmonary function measurements.

**Table 2. Summary of imaging methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time for Exam</th>
<th>Radiation Dose*</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution</th>
<th>Temporal Resolution</th>
<th>Imaging Measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Inspiration/expiration 5–10 min Total</td>
<td>2–5 mSv for 2- whole lung scans</td>
<td>Up to 0.5 mm isotropic</td>
<td>Up to 0.3 s slice, &lt;5 s whole lung</td>
<td>Specific volume change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe CT</td>
<td>approximately 10–20 min Total</td>
<td>High for washin/ washout studies—depends on protocol and extent of lung imaged</td>
<td>Up to 0.5 mm isotropic</td>
<td>Up to 0.3 s slice, &lt;5 s whole lung</td>
<td>Specific ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual energy Xe CT</td>
<td>5–10 min Total</td>
<td>High for washin/ washout studies 1–5 mSv for single breath</td>
<td>Up to 0.5 mm isotropic</td>
<td>Up to 0.3 s slice, &lt;5 s whole lung</td>
<td>Specific ventilation, inhaled gas distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4DCT</td>
<td>10–20 min Total</td>
<td>30–40 mSv for low pitch helical study</td>
<td>Up to 0.5 mm isotropic</td>
<td>Up to 0.3 s slice, &lt;5 s whole lung</td>
<td>Specific volume change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>approximately 5–10 min Total</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2D 3mmx10 mm 3D isotropic 3 mm voxels</td>
<td>15 s acquisition 3D 40 cmx 40 cmx 30 cm every 20–30 s</td>
<td>Inhaled Gas distribution VDV, VDP, PVV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂-enhanced ¹H</td>
<td>approximately 30–60 min Total</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3 mm isotropic voxels</td>
<td>3D 40 cmx 40 cmx 30 cm every 20–30 s</td>
<td>Specific ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTE ¹H static</td>
<td>approximately 5–10 min Total</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 mm voxels</td>
<td>¹H Signal intensity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D (FD) ¹H</td>
<td>approximately 20–30 min Total</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>3 mm voxels</td>
<td>90 s acquisition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*20–30 s, milliSievert WBDE (whole body dose equivalent). Compare with 3–10 mSv for standard dose chest helical CT, 1–2.5 mSv for low-dose helical chest CT, average background radiation exposure of 3–4 mSv/yr.
**Multidetector X-Ray Computed Tomography**

Since its introduction in the 1970s, CT has provided tremendous insight into the structural and anatomic basis of lung disease that has been supplemented in recent years by its increasing ability to provide functional imaging measurements, including estimates of regional pulmonary ventilation, perfusion, and mechanics. Among the numerous advantages that CT provides include its wide availability and high spatial resolution, with concomitant anatomic and pathologic correlation. Currently available CT scanners are capable of true dynamic volumetric imaging with subsecond temporal resolution, allowing imaging of the entire thorax in less than 3 s, i.e., within a single breathhold even in dyspneic patients. Two major challenges still remain, however, and these are related to lowering the exposure to ionizing radiation by incorporating imaging protocol optimization, and other methods, and translating practical image processing tools into mainstream research and clinical workflows. For example, conventional, 4D and dual energy thoracic CT still results in ~100–500 times the dose of a chest x-ray radiograph (or 1–5 times the annual background radiation).

Tissue contrast in CT stems from the differential attenuation of x-rays by different atomic materials measured in Hounsfield units (HU), which is scaled in an arbitrary and linear fashion with zero defined as the attenuation of x-rays in water and, at the other end of the scale, −1,000 for the attenuation of x-rays in air. The volume averaged signal from the lung parenchyma is linearly related to the proportion of air and tissue; as lung volume increases, the quantity of gas increases while the tissue remains the same and, therefore, overall lung density decreases as the lung expands. Changes in CT density provide accurate measurements of regional and global lung air and tissue volumes as well as an indication of the heterogeneity of lung expansion. To allow quantitation of regional gas movement and mixing, stable radio-dense gaseous xenon (Xe) or krypton (Kr) can be used as tracers or contrast agents (32, 48). Because Xe gas is denser than air, the CT density of an airspace containing Xe increases linearly with Xe concentration (~80 HU maximum density increase with 40% Xe at 80 kV). The rate of Xe wash-in and -out of the parenchyma during steady-state breathing can therefore be estimated from serial CT images on a high-resolution, regional basis and used to quantify regional specific ventilation. This method entails repeat imaging with substantial radiation exposure and the anesthetic properties of Xe limit its concentration in humans (33). Therefore, approaches to reduce the number of images, including single breath methods, and to increase sensitivity and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), such as dual energy Xe-CT have also been explored. Dual energy Xe-CT uses the differential absorbance of xenon at energies above and below its K-edge to generate an image of the contrast agent alone, reducing the noise associated with the density of the lung parenchyma (11). As discussed earlier, the use of an airway tracer gas to measure ventilation may not necessarily correlate directly with either regional CO2 elimination or O2 uptake, because both are determined by regional V/Q ratio rather than Vλ alone and it assumes that the rate of transfer of the tracer into and out of the alveoli is similar to that of CO2 elimination. Certainly, this approach is subject to the limitation of the potential differences in gas transport and changes to ventilation distribution of the extremely dense Xe gas, with 40% Xe in air ~50% denser than air alone (14). In this regard, Kr gas is theoretically more suitable because it is 1/3 less dense than Xe and has no anesthetic side effects; however, because it is also less radiodense the contrast enhancement achieved is only 25–30% of that of Xe (13).

Xe is moderately soluble in blood, and its uptake by the circulation is the basis for its use in the measurement of cerebral blood flow (26) and as an inhaled anesthetic (47). This uptake has been used to successfully estimate regional V/Q ratios from Xe-CT images (43), although translating this technique to clinical use will be challenging because maximizing the signal-to-noise ratio required the use of high Xe concentrations that would be anesthetic in humans. Alternatively, methods for measuring regional pulmonary perfusion with CT from repeat imaging during bolus contrast injection (19) or coregistered SPECT (10) can potentially be used to estimate regional V/Q ratios.

An alternate approach to estimating regional ventilation with CT is to image the lung at two volumes (ideally end expiration and end inspiration) and then exploit the high-resolution anatomic detail of CT images to measure regional changes in lung volume [specific volume change, sVol = (volume change)/ (initial volume)]. sVol is an estimate of regional ventilation only if one assumes that the volume change occurred because of the inflow of fresh gas in to the region. Nonrigid lung registration techniques can be used to map anatomic details, voxel by voxel, from one lung volume to the other (31, 61) and the volume change measured from the calculated deformation of each voxel (3) or, alternatively, from the density change of the corresponding mapped lung regions (29, 31). Compared with the Xe-CT approach, this so-called 4DCT ventilation estimate cannot distinguish actual inflow of fresh gas (ventilation) from redistribution of alveolar or dead space gas (pendelluft), but it does provide a reasonable surrogate for regional ventilation that has been validated in healthy lungs (29, 61). Evolving techniques for gated imaging or retrospective reconstruction of the whole lung during uncontrolled or “free” tidal breathing make this technique increasingly attractive for use in patients (8, 31). As shown in Fig. 1, this approach also provides novel insights into regional lung mechanical behavior on a finer spatial scale than previously possible (36). A direct comparison between 4DCT and 3He-MRI estimates of pulmonary ventilation is provided in Fig. 2. It is important to note, however, that with all methods that involve multiple image acquisitions and the requirement for image registration, there is a potential source of error because of the elastic nature of the lung. More technically demanding, nonrigid registration methods are required in these cases, although there is still the potential for error in the generation of ventilation maps. We also must acknowledge that in such cases where static or dynamic imaging is suggestive of ventilation abnormalities, in most cases there has been no comparison to ground truth (histopathology) so the exact etiology of such abnormalities is not known.

Therefore, for example in Fig. 2, there is no way to normalize color scales for 4DCT and 3He-MRI because ground truth is not known. By way of example, we note that the differences in relative intensities of gas in the trachea (4DCT trachea is devoid of gas compared with 3He-MRI...
that is gas filled) might reflect the differences in the breathing maneuvers used for image acquisition (see Table 1).

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

In contrast to CT-based methods, the use of MRI for assessment of pulmonary function is relatively recent. Except in very limited situations, pulmonary MRI is still considered a research tool. The reasons for this relate directly to the structure and function of the respiratory system and the physics of MRI, both of which represent fundamental challenges that have limited the utility of conventional $^1$H-MRI for pulmonary disease. Conventional MRI tissue contrast stems from the perturbation of water- and fat-bound hydrogen atoms [otherwise known as protons ($^1$H)] using a burst of radiofrequency energy. The MRI signal derives from the tiny net fraction of protons that are aligned with the magnetic field of the scanner, and after application of radiofrequency radiation, these undergo realignment that is detected and converted into the image. The lung has very low tissue and proton density, and therefore pulmonary MRI, even when optimized for the lung, results in images (39, 42) with lung regions mainly devoid of contrast, tissue, and morphological information (Fig. 3). Compounding this, the different magnetic environments related to the tightly spaced air and tissue compartments result in so-called magnetic susceptibility artifacts that accelerate signal decay, i.e., less signal is available for imaging in a given time window compared with organs with a higher proton density such as, for example, the liver or the muscles. For these reasons, the development of conventional pulmonary MRI has been mainly overlooked as a clinical application, although its diagnostic potential was recognized nearly three decades ago (54). Pulmonary applications...
of $^1$H-MRI have been further developed (4, 5) with renewed interest in the potential of pulmonary MRI stimulated by novel pulmonary functional MRI techniques using noble gas contrast agents (28) as well as oxygen-enhanced (53) and Fourier-decomposition $^1$H-MRI (6, 7).

Noble gas MRI, first described for $^{129}$Xe (1), provides a way to visualize in relatively high spatial resolution the distribution of inhaled gas. In particular, so-called static ventilation imaging provides a map of gas distribution, with imaging typically taking place with the subject in breath hold after inhalation of a discrete volume of magnetized (or hyperpolarized) helium or xenon gas. As shown in Fig. 3, the inhaled gas provides a way to estimate gas distribution after a single inhalation in a variety of subjects. In healthy young adults, a single inhalation and breath hold of hyperpolarized $^3$He gas results in homogeneous signal, suggesting that all areas of the lung are participating equally in gas distribution (Fig. 3A). In contrast, characteristic volumetric focal defects are observed in COPD and asthma and even in otherwise healthy elderly never-smokers (57, 58) as regions that do not appear to contain gas signal (Fig. 3, B-D). These are thought to correspond to areas of the lung that do not participate in ventilation or perhaps are poorly ventilated during steady state. Following a single inhalation from FRC and breath hold of tracer gas, focal $^3$He or $^{129}$Xe gas distribution abnormalities (Fig. 4) can be directly quantified. Historically, such abnormalities were qualitatively evaluated as mild, moderate, or severe (2, 65) and then as the field progressed, ventilation defects were counted or scored based on a cluster of voxels appearing to reflect gas intensities at or below the background noise. Manual segmentation of gas distribution abnormalities was also undertaken to generate the absolute volume of the focal defects as the ventilation defect volume (VDV) (58), the normalized ventilation defect percent (VDP) (50, 51), and the percent ventilation volume (PVV) (70), all of which have excellent reproducibility (50). It is important to note, however, that all these functional measurements of the parenchyma depend on gas flow or diffusion through the airways, providing an indirect measurement of airway patency and function (44). Unfortunately, the exact etiology of such gas distribution abnormalities is not known, making it difficult if not impossible to understand if such defects are attributable to airway closure and/or narrowing or perhaps bullous disease (in COPD). Because such defects do not appear to be random but appear regionally fixed and temporally persistent in individual subjects with COPD and asthma, this suggests structural abnormalities such as airway narrowing or closure that is not intermittent. Another consideration is that defects likely reflect time constants for filling that are longer than the relatively short breath-hold static snapshot that is typically acquired. In other words, such images are acquired as a static breath-hold snapshot within the time course of a typical 10- to 15-s scan (52), so the relationship between these functional abnormalities to structural abnormalities and to ventilation (at equilibrium) is not completely clear. What is clear, however, are the numerous advantages of single breath-hold imaging in terms of time, expense, simplicity, patient comfort, and compliance and all of
these issues have implications for clinical translation. To address this issue, in Fig. 2 we show a recent direct comparison of a static breath hold $^3$He-MRI with dynamic 4DCT imaging—both of which can be used to estimate surrogates of ventilation. As shown, there is excellent spatial or regional correlation between gas distribution abnormalities shown using both methods, suggesting that $^3$He-MRI ventilation defects may indeed be related to airway constriction that also results in 4DCT gas distribution defects that can be generated over a tidal breathing cycle.

Beyond static ventilation imaging with hyperpolarized $^3$He, an elegant dynamic approach was recently described whereby a single breath hold of $^3$He gas was employed and the relationship was determined between well-established pneumotachography and $^3$He-MRI measurements of inert gas washout (22). Pertinent to this review, the novelty of this study directly relates to the use of imaging and pneumotach measurements to calculate TLC and this allows for a better and regional understanding of inert gas washout measurements. The imaging and physiological measurements were in good agreement, showing the predicted regional differences in ventilation as evidenced by differences in residual $^3$He gas present in the lung after multiple breaths of fresh air. The same team took advantage of time-delayed or time-resolved imaging to directly visualize delayed $^3$He gas distribution in COPD into regions of the lung that they suggested was due to collateral ventilation (49), providing an important regional description of this critical physiological mechanism.

Finally, we end this discussion of MRI measurements of ventilation and surrogates of ventilation by returning to more conventional $^1$H-MRI without the use of polarized tracer gases. As already mentioned, lung tissue (parenchyma) MRI can be performed with very short echo times (otherwise known as ultrashort echo time MRI or UTE), thereby minimizing artifacts related to the air-tissue interfaces in the lung (27). In this way, and with subjects in breath hold, images can be acquired to identify regions of low proton intensity that are mainly devoid of tissue (tissue destruction related to bullae/emphysema) (56) or regions of higher proton density that may be related to fluid infiltrates or edema (40, 45, 46, 69). In contrast to this structural information, functional oxygen-enhanced $^1$H-MRI can also be employed that relies on the innate contrast provided by air or oxygen and the fact that it is weakly paramagnetic, decreasing the longitudinal relaxation time ($T_1$) of the lung parenchyma (35, 55, 64). Accordingly, the change in $O_2$ concentration in lung tissues is reflected by a local change in $T_1$, and this strictly depends on the rate of change of alveolar $O_2$ concentration—itself a function of the regional specific ventilation. Oxygen-enhanced $^1$H-MR images are typically acquired over a series of breathing maneuvers as a pair of images—one set of images acquired with the subject required to inhale oxygen from a mask at concentrations higher than air (typically 100%) and another set of images acquired with the subject inhaling air (21% oxygen). The recent application of this method to describe the vertical distribution of regional ventilation in human subjects (64) provides a good
example of fruitful collaborations between physiologists and imaging scientists to address fundamental questions in pulmonary medicine. In a different application that does not involve oxygen enhancement, tidal breathing of room air can be estimated using dynamic ultra-short echo time $^1H$-MRI, using the $^1H$ signal intensity of the lung tissue in each voxel that changes as the lung expands and contracts when air moves in and out of the lung. Ingeniously, the Fourier decomposition (FD) of the $^1H$ signal intensity fluctuations that occur during normal tidal breathing of room air can be used to dissect the ventilation (air in and out component of the sinusoidal $^1H$ signal intensity time curve) and the perfusion (blood in and out component of the sinusoidal $^1H$ signal intensity time curve) signals (7). With appropriate registration of the inspiratory and expiratory images, $^1H$ ventilation and perfusion maps can be generated (6). The strength of this approach is the use of conventional scanning equipment and pulse sequences, thus translating the power of functional MRI to any scanner or patient, without the need for magnetized contrast agents or other specialized equipment. Limitations or drawbacks of dynamic or FD MRI for the generation of ventilation images relate to the need for complex image registration algorithms and excellent reproducibility between tidal breaths to ensure accurate image registration.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS AND CHALLENGES FOR THE FUTURE

A variety of CT- and MRI-based methods may now be used for the evaluation of regional lung function, including ventilation. To move such imaging techniques into mainstream scientific research and clinical medicine, tight integration of physiology and imaging science as interdisciplinary fields will be required. Early detection of regional structure-function abnormalities using functional imaging will allow for the testing and development of therapies based on appropriate physiological and anatomic endpoints. Understanding regional pathophysiology and its response to treatment requires accurate and reproducible imaging protocols that are co-developed by physicists and physiologists with appreciation of the subtle diagnostic information provided by such measurements. Patient phenotyping requires both clinical and scientific awareness of lung disease and the complementary relationship between clinical findings and imaging data. Ultimately functional lung imaging must be evaluated as important and reliable predictors of clinical outcomes, such as acute exacerbations, progressive functional deterioration, and mortality.
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