Plyometric training effects on Achilles tendon stiffness and dissipative properties
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Fouré A, Nordez A, Cornu C. Plyometric training effects on Achilles tendon stiffness and dissipative properties. J Appl Physiol 109: 849–854, 2010. First published June 24, 2010; doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01150.2009.—The aim of this study was to determine the effects of 14 wk of plyometric training on mechanical properties of the Achilles tendon. Nineteen subjects were randomly assigned to trained or control group. Cross-sectional area (CSA), stiffness, and dissipipation coefficient of the Achilles tendon were measured before and after the training period. In the trained group, a decrease in dissipation coefficient (−35.0%; P < 0.05) and an upward trend in stiffness (+24.1%) of the Achilles tendon was found, without any changes in Achilles tendon CSA (P > 0.05). Plyometric training enhances the muscular tension transmission mainly through a reduction in energy dissipated by the tendon. The lack of changes in the Achilles tendon CSA indicates that changes in mechanical properties would mainly result from a qualitative change in tendinous tissues rather than from changes in the geometry of the Achilles tendon.
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PLYOMETRIC TRAINING IS KNOWN to improve vertical jump performances (9, 22). During a plyometric exercise, the mechanical properties of the Achilles tendon, such as stiffness and dissipative properties, underlie the elastic energy storage-recoil process and the transmission of muscular tension (4). These mechanical properties may also play a role in debilitating injuries, including Achilles tendon rupture or tendinopathy (46).

It has been shown that strength and stretch training induce changes in the stiffness and dissipative properties of the Achilles tendon (19, 21). The results of previous studies on the effects of plyometric training on Achilles tendon stiffness remain conflicting. Burgess et al. (6) and Wu et al. (47) found an increase in Achilles tendon stiffness after 6 and 8 wk of plyometric training, respectively, whereas Kubo et al. (22) found no significant change in Achilles tendon stiffness after 12 wk of plyometric training.

Although Achilles tendon stiffness is considered an important mechanical property of the tendon, dissipative properties also influence the functional behavior of the tendon. Thus, during the loading and unloading of the tendon, the force-length relationship of the Achilles tendon classically displays a hysteresis, demonstrating the ability of the tendon to dissipate energy (23). This energy dissipation indicates that the muscular tension is not fully transmitted to the skeleton. Considering the process of plyometric training, if this hysteresis were to be decreased, the efficiency of the storage-recoil process in the tendon structure could be increased after 14 wk of strength training, as shown by Reeves et al. (37). Surprisingly, changes in dissipative properties of the tendon have received little attention in the literature. To our knowledge, only one recent study has demonstrated that, astonishingly, the hysteresis characteristics of the tendon remained unchanged after 8 wk of plyometric training (47), contrasting with results obtained after 8 wk of combined strength and stretching training (19) (i.e., decrease in hysteresis). The plyometric training period of 8 wk may have been too brief to result in significant changes in the dissipative properties of the tendon. The effects of plyometric training on the dissipative properties of the tendon remain unclear. Hence, it is germane to assess tendon adaptation after a longer training period.

In addition, changes in the mechanical properties of the Achilles tendon after training may be due to changes in qualitative properties of the tendinous tissue (i.e., intrinsic mechanical properties of tendinous tissues) and/or changes in geometric parameters of the Achilles tendon structure (27). A review of the literature has revealed only one study that has investigated changes in Achilles tendon cross-sectional area (CSA) after plyometric training. These authors found no change in tendon CSA after 12 wk of plyometric training (22).

A comprehensive assessment of the geometric properties of the Achilles tendon (i.e., length and CSA) is needed to discuss the nature of the potential physiological mechanisms involved in the changes in mechanical properties after plyometric training.

The aim of the present study was to determine effects of 14 wk of plyometric training on the stiffness, dissipative properties, and geometric parameters of the Achilles tendon.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects. Nineteen men volunteered to participate in this study and were randomly assigned to the trained group (n = 9, 18.8 ± 0.9 yr, 177.3 ± 6.2 cm, 88.7 ± 6.5 kg) or control group (n = 10, 18.9 ± 1.0 yr, 179.8 ± 5.4 cm, 73.3 ± 8.0 kg). All subjects were involved in regular sport practices (10.5 ± 6.2 h/wk) and did not change their usual activity during the period of the study. Subjects were fully informed about the nature and the aim of the study before they signed a written consent form. This study was conducted according to the Helsinki Statement and has been approved by the local ethics committee.

Training. The plyometric training program consisted of standardized jumping protocols that have been defined in the literature (7, 36, 42). Specifically, the subjects performed the following: 1) squat jumps (SJ), defined as vertical jumps without prior counter-movement; 2) vertical counter movement jumps (CMJ); 3) drop jumps [i.e., hopping, jumps from either low (40 cm), medium (60 cm), or high (80 cm) platforms]; and 4) a series of jumps over hedges alternating between one foot and both feet. A constant increase in the number of exercises, the number of jumps per exercise, and intensity of the exercises (series of jumps from platforms where the height was increased) was performed. The duration of the training period was 14 weeks.
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wk and included 34 one-hour sessions for a total of ~6,800 jumps (from 200 to 600 jumps/session).

Experimental design. All of the subjects of the trained group participated in three testing sessions before (pre-tests) and 1 wk after the end of the plyometric training period (post-tests). Untrained subjects were restested 15 wk after their respective baseline evaluation. The three independent testing sessions were performed on different days in a randomized order and were defined as follows: 1) a jump test session to determine performances in SJ, CMJ, and reactive jump (RJ); 2) a session to assess Achilles tendon mechanical properties (i.e., stiffness and dissipative properties) during isometric plantar flexion; and 3) a session to assess Achilles tendon geometric parameters (i.e., CSA and length).

Jump performances. Performances in SJ, CMJ, and RJ were determined for all subjects, using Bosco’s jumping mat (ErgoJump, Globus Italia, Codogno, Italy). The SJ was performed with a start angle of 90° of knee flexion. The maximal heights in SJ and CMJ were determined from the maximum of three trials. Average height in the eight RJ without knee flexion was also assessed. During all jump tests, subjects were instructed to place hands on their hips to minimize contribution of the arms during the jumps. The test was repeated if the subject did not adhere to the specific jumping protocol.

Achilles tendon geometric parameters. Measurements of the Achilles tendon CSA were performed by ultrasonographic imaging scans (Philips HD3, Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA) with an electronic linear array probe (7.5-MHz wave frequency; L9-5, Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA). Subjects were positioned in a prone position on an examination couch with legs fully extended, with the ankle angle at 90°. A stand-off pad was placed over the posterior aspect of the ankle. CSA measurements were performed in the transverse plane with the transducer perpendicular to the Achilles tendon at the level of the medial malleolus (48). Images were saved to a hard disk, and CSA was determined using open-source digital measurement software (Image J, NIH, Bethesda, MD). The CSA was averaged over at least three images. Achilles tendon length (L) was defined as the distance between the most distal identifiable portion of the gastrocnemius medialis muscle (23,39) and Achilles tendon insertion on calcaneum, measured via ultrasonography. L was measured by using a ruler (30).

Achilles tendon mechanical properties. A Bodyscan System 3 Research (Bodyscan Medical, Shirley, NY) isokinetic dynamometer was used to measure the external torque, ankle joint angle, and ankle joint angular velocity during a muscular contraction. Subjects were positioned prone with their legs fully extended. Thighs, hips, and shoulders were secured by adjustable lap belts and were held in position as previously described (9,33). The right ankle joint was securely strapped to a footplate connected to the arm of the dynamometer. The input axis of the dynamometer was carefully aligned with the supposed rotational axis of the right ankle joint. External torque, ankle angle, and angular velocity were sampled at 1,000 Hz using an analog-to-digital converter (National Instrument, Delsys, Boston, MA) and stored on a hard drive using EMGWorks 3.1 software (Delsys) for further analysis.

The session that assessed the mechanical properties of Achilles tendon included the following protocols. 1) A warm-up consisting of constant submaximal isometric plantar flexion. 2) Two maximal voluntary isometric contractions in plantar flexion and dorsiflexion, with the knee fully extended at an ankle angle of 90°. The maximal isometric torque in plantar flexion (MVC) was then determined as the maximal value for the two trials. The maximal rate of torque development in plantar flexion (RTDmax), defined as the maximal slope of the torque-time relationship, was also characterized for the two MVC trials. 4) Familiarization trials to perform a constant increase in isometric torque in plantar flexion, from a relaxed state to 90% of MVC within 5 s, followed by a constant decrease in isometric torque, from 90% of MVC to the rest state within 5 s. Visual feedback was used to regulate and train the subjects for this task. 5) Five trials of constant isometric torque development in plantar flexion as described in point 3 were then performed by each subject with 2 min of rest between each trial. Displacement of the distal myotendinous junction of the gastrocnemius was measured during the test using ultrasonography. The linear array probe mounted on an externally fixed bracket was wrapped onto the skin of subjects to obtain longitudinal ultrasonic images of the distal myotendinous junction of the gastrocnemius.

Ultrasonographic videos were recorded on a hard disk at 25 Hz. To synchronize the torque signal and ultrasonographic images from the videos, the signal of the switch used to start the video was also recorded using the Delsys system. A selection of 40 images, comprised of 20 images equally spaced from both the loading and unloading phases of torque development, were collected (Adobe Premiere Elements, Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA) from each trial. Displacements of the myotendinous junction were quantified on these 40 images using open-source digital measurement software (Image J).

The ankle joint torque measured by the dynamometer was converted to tendon force (Ft) using Eq. 1 (3):

\[ Ft = \frac{T}{m_g} \] (1)

where T is the ankle joint torque and m_g is the moment arm length of gastrocnemii at 0°, which was estimated from the limb length of each subject (12). Myotendinous junction displacement is classically attributed to both angular rotation and contractile tension, since any angular joint rotation occurs in the direction of ankle plantar flexion during an “isometric” contraction (1,25). This myotendinous junction displacement determined during isometric contraction is usually corrected by the displacement of the myotendinous junction attributed to joint rotation alone (i.e., during passive stretching on range of motion, between 0 and 20° in plantar flexion) (1,25).

The Ft/ΔL relationship was classically curvilinear, consisting of an initial region (toe region), characterized by a large increase in ΔL with increasing force, and a linear region after the toe region. In the present study, the Ft and ΔL values from the ascending curve between 50 and 90% of minimal MVC (i.e., determined before and after intervention period) were fitted to a linear regression equation, the slope of which was defined as the Achilles tendon stiffness (S_A) (19). In addition, a stiffness index (S_ISK) was determined from a Sten-Knudsen model (34,43) fitted to the Ft and ΔL values. Thus the S_ISK allows the assessment of changes in stiffness, independent of tendon force changes.

The maximal elongation was defined as ΔLmax. Areas under the ascending and descending phases of the curve were calculated and represent the potential elastic energy stored (EE) and recoiled energy (ER), respectively (23,32,35). From these parameters, a dissipation coefficient (DC) was calculated by using Eq. 2:

\[ DC = (EE - ER)/1 \] (2)

Statistics. After checking the distribution of data, parametric statistical tests were performed using Statistica software (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK). Two-way multivariate ANOVA (group × test) were performed to assess the statistical significance of changes in jump performances, MVC, RTDmax, ΔLmax, L, CSA, S_A, normalized stiffness (S_A/CSA), S_ISK, and DC. A Newman-Keuls post hoc analysis was conducted where appropriate. The critical level of significance in the present study was set at P < 0.05.

Reliability. A pilot study was conducted on seven subjects (25.4 ± 2.4 y, 178.7 ± 8.8 cm, 72.8 ± 9.9 kg) in conjunction with the present study to assess the reliability of the methods to determine the MVC, the RTDmax, and the geometric and mechanical properties of the Achilles tendon. Two repeated testing sessions completed at the same
time of day with 2 days of rest in between were performed. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) (8) were calculated and are presented in Table 1 (ICC ranged from 0.82 to 1.00). In addition, the standard error of the mean and coefficient of variation associated with each ICC were calculated (Table 1). These results support the reliability of the methods proposed in the present study described herein.

RESULTS

An interaction between “group” and “test” factors was found for SJ, CMJ, and RJ performances (P < 0.05). For the trained group, a significant increase in height was shown in the SJ (mean: +11.0%; P < 0.001), CMJ (mean: +7.4%; P < 0.02), and average performance on eight RJ (mean: +27.7%; P < 0.001). A significant increase in MVC was also seen for the trained group (+5.2%; P < 0.01), whereas no significant change in RTD$_{\text{max}}$ was found (P = 0.10) (Table 2). For the control group, no significant changes were observed for any of the considered parameters (P > 0.05).

The mean relationships between Ft and ΔL for both groups are presented in Fig. 1. Linear regression and the Sten-Knudsen model applied on individual Ft-ΔL curves showed a very good correlation coefficient (mean $R^2 = 0.98 \pm 0.02$ and 0.99 ± 0.01, respectively), which allowed the calculation of S$_{\text{AT}}$ and S$_{\text{SK}}$, respectively.

No significant difference was found at baseline between control and trained groups for all the parameters. Interactions were found between “group” and “test” factors on S$_{\text{SK}}$, S$_{\text{AT}}$, S$_{\text{AT}}$/CSA, and DC (P < 0.05) (Table 3; Fig. 2). Individual changes in S$_{\text{AT}}$ and DC are also shown on Fig. 2. Significant increases of 24.1 and 65.2% in S$_{\text{AT}}$ and S$_{\text{SK}}$ were found for the trained group (P < 0.05). Concerning normalized stiffness (i.e., S$_{\text{AT}}$/CSA), a significant increase of 21.0% was observed (P < 0.05), and a decrease of 35.0% in DC was determined for the trained group (P < 0.05). There was no significant effect of training on L, ΔL$_{\text{max}}$, and CSA (P > 0.05) (Table 3). For the control group, no significant changes were observed, whatever the considered parameters (P > 0.05).

Table 2. Functional performances for trained and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trained Group Pretest</th>
<th>Trained Group Posttest</th>
<th>Control Group Pretest</th>
<th>Control Group Posttest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SJ maximal height, cm</td>
<td>37.5 ± 4.4</td>
<td>41.5 ± 4.2*</td>
<td>34.7 ± 7.8</td>
<td>35.5 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ maximal height, cm</td>
<td>44.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>47.5 ± 3.8‡</td>
<td>40.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>39.5 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average height on 8 RJ, cm</td>
<td>32.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>40.6 ± 3.0*</td>
<td>27.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>25.2 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVC, N-m</td>
<td>133 ± 16</td>
<td>141 ± 18‡</td>
<td>131 ± 16</td>
<td>132 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTD$_{\text{max}}$, N/m s</td>
<td>1,389 ± 447</td>
<td>1,490 ± 426</td>
<td>1,448 ± 362</td>
<td>1,386 ± 331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jump performances (SJ, squat jump; CMJ, counter movement jump; RJ, reactive jump), MVC, and RTD$_{\text{max}}$ in trained and control groups before (pretest) and after (posttest) 14 wk of plyometric training. Results are presented as means ± SD. Post hoc test: *P < 0.001; †P < 0.02; ‡P < 0.01.

Table 3. Tendon geometric and mechanical parameters for trained and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trained Group Pretest</th>
<th>Trained Group Posttest</th>
<th>Control Group Pretest</th>
<th>Control Group Posttest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L, mm</td>
<td>199 ± 24</td>
<td>200 ± 25</td>
<td>198 ± 24</td>
<td>200 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔL$_{\text{max}}$, mm</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>15.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA, mm$^2$</td>
<td>55.6 ± 12.2</td>
<td>57.3 ± 13.1</td>
<td>53.8 ± 9.7</td>
<td>55.3 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$_{\text{SK}}$, mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$_{\text{AT}}$/CSA, N/mm$^3$</td>
<td>4.40 ± 2.47</td>
<td>5.34 ± 3.74*</td>
<td>5.54 ± 2.25</td>
<td>5.15 ± 2.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Achilles tendon L, ΔL$_{\text{max}}$, CSA, S$_{\text{SK}}$, and S$_{\text{AT}}$/CSA before and after plyometric training period for both control and trained groups. Results are means ± SD. Post hoc test: *P < 0.01.
DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to determine the effects of 14 wk of plyometric training on the stiffness and dissipative properties of the Achilles tendon. The main results revealed a decrease in the dissipation coefficient of the Achilles tendon (−35.0%, *P* < 0.05) as a result of training without any changes in geometric parameters (i.e., CSA and *L*; *P* > 0.05).

The increases in jump performances (between 7.4 and 27.7% according to the respective jump form) and MVC (+5.2%) after the plyometric training for the trained group are in agreement with published results from the literature. For example, a meta-analytic review reported an increase of 4.7 and 8.7% in SJ and CMJ performances, respectively, after 4–24 wk of plyometric training (29). In addition, a 13% increase in MVC has been reported after a similar training protocol (22). The values and change in RTDmax assessed in the present study are also in close agreement with other studies (15). The upward trend in RTDmax of 12.7% (*P* = 0.10) has been shown to exist after plyometric training (6). Therefore, jumps, MVC, and RTDmax results obtained in the present study demonstrate the functional efficiency of the described plyometric training program herein.

Nevertheless, some methodological points of the present study should be discussed before further discussion. First of all, the reliability of the methods proposed to study changes in tendon mechanical properties may limit the ability to detect small changes in some parameters. However, a satisfactory reliability for all of the parameters is reported in Table 1, indicating that they could be used to assess the effects of a chronic intervention.

Second, the relative contribution of muscles other than the triceps surae to active plantar flexion torque is not taken into account in the present study. This is the situation for many other studies determining effects of chronic intervention on Achilles tendon mechanical properties (e.g., Refs. 17–20, 28, 37, 44). Nevertheless, the relative contribution of the triceps surae muscles and other muscles to active ankle plantar flexor torque could have changed in a different way in subjects who do and do not undertake plyometric training. The contribution of the triceps surae muscles to active plantar flexion torque could be estimated from relative physiological CSA (11). In an additional study, architectural parameters and CSA of the triceps surae muscles (i.e., averaged on three levels of the lower leg length) were assessed using ultrasonography. No significant change was found in pennation angle, fascicule length, and CSA for each muscle of the triceps surae (*P* > 0.05). Architectural parameters and CSA of the other muscles involved in plantar flexion torque were not assessed in the present study, but the contribution of other muscles to plantar flexion torque production is limited to ~20% in our experiments (2, 31, 45). Thus even a significant change of ~10% of the PCSA of the other muscles should have a minor influence on the results of the present study (~2%). Therefore, considering the magnitude of the changes in *S*<sub>AT</sub> and DC (i.e., +24 and 35%, respectively, for the trained group), the contribution of other muscles is likely to have a minor influence on the results of the present study concerning the effects of plyometric training.

In addition, due to an increase (i.e., +5.2%) of the MVC after the training period, the force level was not identical before and after the intervention period. Since the stiffness of the Achilles tendon was calculated at the same level of force for both tests, this parameter was not affected by this limit. To determine whether the range of force production has influence on parameters related to DC, a supplementary experiment was performed. Seven subjects (27.7 ± 4.8 yr, 178.0 ± 7.9 cm, 72.0 ± 13.7 kg) volunteered to participate in this experiment to determine the DC during three different isometric contractions from rest, to 90, 84, and 70% of MVC, respectively. The force range excluded, the same protocol as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS was performed. One-way ANOVA revealed no significant effect of the force range (i.e., 70, 84, and 90%) for the DC (*P* = 0.48; 70% of MVC: 16.3 ± 13.0%; 84% of MVC: **Significant difference (*P* < 0.05).
18.2 ± 16.1%; 90% of MVC: 18.8 ± 15.1%), indicating that, due to the normalization of the energy dissipated with energy stored, the force range has no significant influence on this parameter. Therefore, this parameter truly represents the ability of the tendon to dissipate potential elastic energy independently from the chosen range of force.

Changes in tendon stiffness after plyometric training remain an issue under debate. A significant increase in S_{AT} was found for the trained group (P < 0.05). Nevertheless, a nonsignificant baseline imbalance (P > 0.05) in S_{AT} is observed between trained (224.7 ± 92.2 N/mm) and control (301.8 ± 149.8 N/mm) groups (Fig. 2). The baseline imbalance is detrimental for statistical evidence of a training effect (e.g., Ref. 5), and a significant effect in the trained group could then potentially be misleading. Therefore, we conclude that the significant increase in S_{AT} would more appropriately be considered as an upward trend for the trained group. The upward trend in S_{AT} is consistent with most previous studies concerning plyometric training effect on tendon stiffness (10, 22). This upward trend in S_{AT} was not concomitant with an increase in CSA (P < 0.05). The lack of change in CSA after training is similar to the findings of Kubo et al. (22).

To our knowledge, only two studies have reported results on tendon elastic energy utilization after a plyometric training period (22, 47). Kubo et al. (22) showed an increase of ~9.5 and 19.6% in maximal tendon elongation and energy stored by the tendon during loading phase, respectively, after 12 wk of training. Wu et al. (47) also found an increase of ~32.5% in energy stored during the loading phase. Although a similar increase in the recoiled energy was found in the study by Wu et al. (47), no change in the hysteresis area (i.e., dissipation coefficient) was determined compared with a control group after 8 wk of plyometric training. In the present study, a significant decrease of 35.0% in the dissipation coefficient without any significant change in energy storage and recoil was characterized as a result of 14 wk of plyometric training. This decrease in dissipation coefficient was also observed after long-term strength training (38). The discrepancy in the dissipative properties of the Achilles tendon change, considering studies dealing with plyometric training effects may be due to training intensity and volume [i.e., ~1,500 jumps in the study of Wu et al. (47) vs. ~6,800 jumps in the present study] and/or duration of training (i.e., 8 wk vs. 14 wk, respectively). It has already been shown in animal models that tendons submitted to high physiological loading levels exhibit lower mechanical hysteresis than tendons subjected to smaller loads (41). The decrease in hysteresis area improves muscular tension transmission and probably partially contributes to the increase in jump performance.

Considering the lack of change in CSA in the present study, changes in S_{AT} and DC mainly result from qualitative change of tendinous tissues (i.e., intrinsic mechanical properties of tendinous tissues) rather than from changes in the geometric parameters of the Achilles tendon structures. Since long-term recreational running in untrained individuals was not sufficient to increase the Achilles tendon CSA (13, 26), the increase in tendon CSA in humans may require prolonged amnnesia of training (14). Nevertheless, it has recently been shown that changes in tendon CSA seem to be inhomogeneous and rather occur near the tendon insertions as observed on the patellar tendon after 9 and 12 wk of knee extension heavy resistance training (16, 40). Even if our results concerning change in Achilles tendon CSA are similar to those of Kubo et al. (22), it must be considered that change in Achilles tendon CSA could have been undetectable in the present study, considering the measurement of CSA was performed at the middle level of the Achilles tendon.

As described in the present study, appropriate mechanical loading can result in positive changes in the tendinous structures and may lead to improved performance, whereas excessive loading may induce tendon degeneration (28). However, the lack of change in CSA found in the present study combined with the increase in MVC and subsequent tendon stress may predispose the tendon to injuries (i.e., rupture and tendinopathy). In addition, the decrease in Achilles tendon DC would reduce the tendon capacity to protect muscles from mechanical loading and potentially increase risks of muscle or tendon injuries.

In summary, the present study determined the plyometric training effects on the stiffness, dissipative properties, and geometric parameters of the Achilles tendon. Plyometric training enhances the muscular tension transmission and storage-recoil of elastic energy via a decrease in energy dissipated by tendon structures and an upward trend in Achilles tendon stiffness. Thus long-term plyometric training induced changes in mechanical properties of tendinous structures, which partially explain the performance improvements, but may also increase the risk of muscle or tendon injuries.
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