Microchambers and macrochambers in heel pads: are they functionally different?
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THE HEEL PAD, located beneath the calcaneus, is subject to repeated load bearing and functions as an efficient shock absorber during walking. The heel pad contains organized fibrous compartments that retain the adipose tissue. The fibrous septa extending from the skin to the calcaneal perichondrium is organized into small chambers connecting directly with the inside of the subcutis and greater chambers situated deep in the small chamber stratum. Therefore the human heel pad is anatomically divided into a superficial microchamber and a deep macrochamber layers (4). Although different anatomies for the subcalcaneal fat pad have been addressed, the roles of the respective portion in the heel pad in walking have not been formerly reported.

There is increasing interest in measuring heel-pad mechanical properties because pathological changes may not possess detectable structural alteration but generally correlate with changes in tissue biomechanics. Tissue behavior provides not only information regarding the material itself but also indicates the presence of a disease (16). Several approaches, including a material testing machine (3, 15), the drop impact test (13), the ballistic pendulum test (1), roentgenography (17), an ultrasonic indentation probe (23), a three-dimensional indentation system (14), the method integrating contact pressure measurements and a fluoroscopy (7), and an ultrasound-based loading-unloading device (10, 11, 22), have been utilized to study the heel-pad mechanical properties.

Tissue stiffness and dissipated energy for healthy and diseased heels have been described in these above studies. The heel pad was hypothesized as a single homogeneous material, which is in contrast to the inborn inhomogeneous nature of human soft tissues. Spears and Miller-Young (19) investigated the effect of heel-pad thickness (i.e., skinned and skinless) on heel-pad stiffness of cadaveric feet using material testing machine. As in vivo description of mechanical properties in each layer is limited, little is known about the function of microchamber and macrochamber layers in the heel pad. Further investigation is warranted to enhance knowledge of the different heel-pad anatomic layers. Findings may assist in preventing and treating shock-induced heel problems.

Understanding of heel-pad biomechanics can possibly be achieved with high-resolution ultrasonography, as this modality is versatile in diagnosing soft tissue pathologies in different locations (16) and has long been a reliable tool in assessing human heel-pad thickness (8, 18). This investigation attempted to obtain information associated with respective tissue displacement and biomechanical behaviors of the microchamber and macrochamber layers in the human heel pad.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six healthy volunteers, including four men and two women, were recruited in this study. Subject mean age was 25.2 yr (SD 0.98) (range: 24–27 yr). Mean body mass index was 21.6 kg/m². Subject mean age was 25.2 yr (SD 0.98) (range: 24–27 yr). Mean body mass index was 21.6 kg/m².
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No subjects had a foot problem within the last 6 mo before this study. A 10-MHz linear-array ultrasound transducer (HDl5000, Advanced Technology Laboratory, Bothell, WA) with a diameter of 39.7 mm was used to continually monitor the test heels. The transducer was incorporated into a Plexiglas cylinder and a contact surface area of $1.24 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^2$. A load cell (U9B, HBM, Darmstadt, Germany) was on the bottom of the Plexiglas cylinder. Both the transducer and the load cell were then placed in a standing platform. When the transducer was loaded, force signals were transmitted to an amplifier (INA128/UA42, Texas Instruments, Austin, TX) and then to a low-pass filter where frequencies above 10 Hz were filtered, by which the electronic noise and irrelevant motion artifacts were removed. The force signals were further transformed into stress data by dividing by the contact area during the assessment. The processed information was digitized using an analog-to-digital converter (DAQPad-6015, National Instruments) and then transmitted to an IBM-compatible personal computer. A pulse generator (Texas Instruments, Dallas, TX) was used to synchronize load cell signals and tissue thickness information. Figure 1 presents the experimental design. All subjects gave written informed consent before this noninvasive examination, and the ethics committee approved this study (Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Institutional Review Board 95-0095B).

Each left heel was pretreated with alcohol to allow the ultrasound to penetrate the soft tissue before the examination. A sufficient amount of jelly was applied to the transducer to enhance contact between the transducer and the tested heel. The subject then stood on the platform with the test heel on the ultrasound transducer and the other leg on the other platform; the platform height was approximately at the same level as the platform containing the ultrasound transducer. A cross sign was marked on the platform to identify the position of the built-in ultrasound transducer. The subject placed his or her foot axis, the line connecting the midheel and the second toe ray, along the indicated line. Then the subject loaded and unloaded the transducer rhythmically according to the metronome (quartz metronome SQ-77, Seiko S-Yard, Tokyo, Japan) frequency of 0.5 Hz. The subject loaded the transducer progressively from zero to maximum loading force of 196 N (maximum stress of 158 kPa). When this maximum value of loading force was reached, the system beeped, and the subject then withdrew his or her heel immediately. A loading-unloading cycle was completed when the heel was totally moved away from the ultrasound transducer. Average loading rate was calculated using the maximum deformation of the overall heel pad divided by the loading time interval (21). Average loading rate corresponding to the metronome frequency in this study was 0.52 cm/s (SD 0.13) (range 0.40–0.73 cm/s). Figure 2 presents ultrasound images for an unloaded, end-loaded, and fully relaxed heel pad.

The loading-unloading process of the heel pad was continuously monitored for 2 s by the ultrasound. The two-dimensional motion image was further divided into 90 frames of brightness mode pictures at successive time sequence in the loading-unloading cycle. The axial component of the strain image was estimated by comparing the gradient displacement between two successive pictures occurring after starting the examination. The unloaded thickness ($T_{\text{unload}}$) and thickness measured at the maximum stress ($T_{\text{max}}$) of the microchamber and macrochamber layers were determined by the echo tracking technique, respectively. The time-deformation curve for each layer was plotted according to the continuous ultrasound images (Fig. 3). Maximum deformation of the microchamber ($\Delta X_{\text{mic}}$) was calculated as $\Delta X_{\text{mic}} = T_{\text{unload}} - T_{\text{max}}$. Maximum deformation of the macrochamber ($\Delta X_{\text{mac}}$) was...
determined in the same manner. The proportion of maximum microchamber tissue deformation to the maximum overall heel-pad deformation was defined as $\Delta X_{\text{mic}} / (\Delta X_{\text{mic}} + \Delta X_{\text{mac}})$, and the proportion of maximum macrochamber tissue deformation to the maximum overall heel-pad deformation was defined as $\Delta X_{\text{mac}} / (\Delta X_{\text{mic}} + \Delta X_{\text{mac}})$. The maximum deformation ratio demonstrated the contribution of deformation of each layer during the examination. Average deformation rates for the microchamber and macrochamber layers at the loading (Def$_{\text{load}}$) and unloading (Reb$_{\text{unload}}$) phases were calculated from the time-deformation relationship and were defined as follows:

$$\text{Def}_{\text{load}} = \frac{\Delta X_{\text{mic}}}{T_{\text{load}}} \text{ (cm/s)} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Def}_{\text{load}} = \frac{\Delta X_{\text{mac}}}{T_{\text{load}}} \text{ (cm/s)}$$

$$\text{Reb}_{\text{unload}} = \frac{\Delta X_{\text{mic}}}{T_{\text{unload}}} \text{ (cm/s)} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Reb}_{\text{unload}} = \frac{\Delta X_{\text{mac}}}{T_{\text{unload}}} \text{ (cm/s)}$$

where $T_{\text{load}}$ and $T_{\text{unload}}$ represented loading and unloading periods, respectively, in the microchamber and macrochamber. The relationship between stress ($\sigma$) and strain ($\varepsilon$) of an elastic material could be described as $\sigma = E\varepsilon$ (6). The elastic modulus ($E$), representing tissue stiffness, could be defined as:

$$E = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{\left(\frac{Th_{\text{unload}} - Th_{\text{max}}}{Th_{\text{unload}}}\right)} \text{ kPa}$$

where $P_{\text{max}}$ represents maximum stress, i.e., 158 kPa in this study.

Reliability was determined by a test-retest procedure. We repeated the measurement on the heel pad in five healthy individuals at intervals of 10 min, 2 h, and 1 wk after the first examination. The coefficient of variance for the assessment of unloaded thickness and $E$ in the two layers ranged from 1 to 3% and 2.7% to 13.6%, respectively. Unloaded thickness, end-loaded thickness, deformation proportion, deformation rates, rebound rates, and the tissue stiffness between the microchamber and macrochamber layers were assessed using the Mann-Whitney $U$-test. Analysis was also conducted to estimate the differences between the loading and unloading processes during the examination period, and average deformation rates and
average rebound rates within each layer. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean values of unloaded thickness, end-loaded thickness, and elastic modulus for the heel pad between the skin and the calcaneus were 1.47 cm (SD 0.22), 0.88 cm (SD 0.08), and 50.7 kPa (SD 26), respectively. The tissue stiffness of the microchamber layer was significantly greater ($P = 0.002$) than that in the macrochamber layer. The microchamber layer also had significantly less unloaded thickness, end-loaded thickness, Defload, Rebunload, and deformation proportion than the macrochamber layer. A significant difference ($P = 0.002$) existed between average soft tissue deformation and rebound rates in the microchamber layer. Similar soft tissue responses during loading-unloading testing were detected in the macrochamber layer. The unloaded and end-loaded thickness were significantly different in the macrochamber layer. The loading and unloading intervals were similar between the two layers. Table 1 lists the detailed information for tissue properties in the microchamber and macrochamber layers.

DISCUSSION

Mechanical properties are determined primarily by the tissue composition (16). The fibrous septa within the human heel-pad contain predominantly elastic fibers in the thin microchamber layer and roughly an equal amount of collagen and elastic fibers in the thick macrochamber layer (5). Thus different biomechanical behaviors between the two subcutaneous compartments can be anticipated based on the different tissue compositions. In this study, high-resolution ultrasound identified unequal tissue displacement of various layers in the heel pad during loading-unloading examination. This novel observation indicates the anisotropic characteristics of the human heel pad, which may challenge the hypothesis that deformation is equally distributed in different heel-pad locations when receiving external disturbance. In this study, the bulk heel-pad tissue stiffness was close to macrochamber tissue stiffness because of greater volume effect and was considerably less than microchamber tissue properties. This finding has not been scientifically documented in the previous investigations.

The unloaded human heel-pad thickness and its mechanical properties have been studied as altered tissue thickness, and biomechanical behaviors are proposed to have relationships with aging heels (11) and several shock-induced pathologies, including Achillodynia (12), heel pain syndrome (11), ulceration of the reconstructed musculocutaneous heel flap (22), and diabetic foot problems (10). The reported overall heel-pad thickness in healthy young persons is $\sim$1.5 cm (11, 17, 23), and tissue stiffness in different age groups is approximately 40–300 kPa (3, 7, 10, 11). Different test devices (10), different test velocities (9), and heel geometry (19) can result in different tissue stiffness. Overall heel-pad tissue thickness and elastic modulus estimated in this study were located within previously reported ranges. However, tissue stiffness is quite different after dividing the heel pad into microchamber and macrochamber layers. In this study, the elastic modulus of the microchamber was $\sim$10 times that in the macrochamber and was considerably higher than measured in any previous reports. The tendency agreed with findings based on recent finite element analysis for cadaveric heels (19).

In this study, the macrochamber layer was deformed immediately after compression and rebounded quickly after loading was removed. Under the same loading condition, the microchamber layer deformed faster, but the change in thickness is much less than the macrochamber layer. This observation is considered to be associated with the high tissue stiffness of microchambers. The different loading and unloading pathways, representing hysteresis of a viscoelastic material, within each layer and the different deformation behaviors between the two layers can be seen in the time-deformation curves (Fig. 3). These different physiological functions of the two anatomic layers in the human heel pad have not been previously identified. Obviously, the macrochamber layer plays a major role in the heel-pad tissue resiliency, i.e., the ability of the tissue to recover its shape after deformation caused by compression. This layer may be responsible for the cushioning effect in the heel pad during walking. The microchamber layer seems to function as an inherent heel cup that maintains most of the macrochamber layer beneath the calcaneus and prevents excessive macrochamber layer deformation.

Nonlinear heel-pad mechanical properties have been documented in many English literatures (2, 3, 10, 11, 13, 14). To provide more insight into the in vivo heel-pad heterogeneity, the stress and strain relationship in the study was based on the assumption of elastic materials, which could not simulate the nonlinear tissue behaviors. The stress in the macrochamber layer of the heel pad is equal to or less than the stress in the microchamber layer since the transducer is loaded on the superficial surface of the heel pad, and this may affect the quantification of tissue stiffness. More efforts are required to explore the nonlinear heel-pad tissue characteristics and stress inside a living soft tissue to achieve true heel-pad tissue nature.

In conclusion, the human heel pad is shown to be an inhomogeneous tissue in the study. The superficial microcham-

### Table 1. Mechanical properties of the microchamber and macrochamber layers in the heel pad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Microchamber</th>
<th>Macrochamber</th>
<th>$P^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unloaded thickness, cm</td>
<td>0.35 (0.08)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-loaded thickness, cm</td>
<td>0.33 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination duration, s</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading</td>
<td>1.37 (0.37)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unloading</td>
<td>1.73 (0.34)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.28)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation proportion $\times$</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3.90 (1.8)</td>
<td>96.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The tissue rate response in a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loading-unloading cycle, cm/s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defload</td>
<td>0.08 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebunload</td>
<td>0.045 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P^*$</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$, kPa</td>
<td>450 (240)</td>
<td>46.4 (18)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means (SD). $E$, elastic modulus; Defload, average deformation rate for microchamber and macrochamber at the loading phase; Rebunload, average deformation rate for microchamber and macrochamber at the unloading phase. *Mann-Whitney $U$-test for differences among variables of unloaded thickness, end-loaded thickness, examination duration, deformation proportion. Defload, Rebunload, and $E$ between the microchamber and macrochamber layers. †Mann-Whitney $U$-test for differences between unloaded and end-loaded thickness, loading and unloading duration, Defload, and Rebunload during examination, respectively within each layer.
ber layer has greater tissue stiffness than the deep macrochamber layer. Substantial deformation of the macrochamber layer during loading-unloading examination was demonstrated. Different physiological functions in the two layers can be expected owing to the different biomechanics of the two layers. These findings may provide a treatment guide of injection of viscous supplement for reducing risk of tissue breakdown in diabetic patients (20). The therapeutic effects of interventions for different locations in the human heel pad can be monitored using the present technique.
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