Peripheral neuropathy does not alter the fractal dynamics of stride intervals of gait

Deanna H. Gates¹ and Jonathan B. Dingwell²

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering and ²Department of Kinesiology and Health Education, University of Texas, Austin, Texas

Submitted 7 April 2006; accepted in final form 10 November 2006

Peripheral neuropathy is a significant long-term complication of diabetes mellitus that results in a “dying back” of nerve function from the periphery to more proximal regions (24). Neuropathic patients are 15 times more likely to experience an injury while walking than appropriately matched subjects with intact sensation (3). Neuropathic patients also have a greater incidence of repetitive falls (21), and their increased risk of falling is independent of other comorbidities (20, 22). One predictive factor that has been linked to increased fall risk is increased stride-to-stride variability (15). However, although neuropathic patients do exhibit increased stride-to-stride variability in both gait cycle timing and walking kinematics, these increases are mainly due to their slower walking speeds and are not directly related to their sensory loss (5). These findings raise the question of whether, and to what extent, the loss of peripheral sensory feedback affects these patients’ abilities to appropriately regulate gait cycle timing.

One proposed indicator of a person’s capacity to regulate gait cycle timing that is independent of measures of variability is the presence of long-range correlations in stride time (10, 11). The time it takes to complete each stride varies slightly in individuals walking over a long period of time. These changes are typically small and are often assumed to represent an uncorrelated random process (9). If this were true, the duration of each stride would be completely independent of the duration of the previous stride or strides. In a complex system like the human body that uses a variety of inputs and feedback to regulate movements, it seems unlikely that the duration of one stride would have no effect on the duration of subsequent strides. Instead, it seems more likely, for example, that if you took one longer than average stride the following stride would be shorter than average to maintain a relatively constant mean. This then raises the question that, if such correlations exist, how is a particular stride related to previous strides? If each stride time only depends on a few previous strides, the series is said to exhibit short-range correlations (11). Alternatively, if each stride time depends in some way on many previous strides, the full sequence of stride times may exhibit long-range correlations that “decay in a scale-free (fractal-like) power-law fashion” (11).

In healthy adults walking at a variety of speeds over level ground, the time series of sequential stride intervals exhibited just such long-range correlations (12). Stride intervals become more uncorrelated (random) in elderly subjects, patients with Huntington’s disease (10), Parkinson’s disease (7), and healthy people walking in time with a metronome (12). Herman et al. (13) examined subjects with higher level gait disorders who had experienced falls and compared them with those that did not. They found that the stride intervals of fallers were more uncorrelated than those of nonfallers (13). Based on these findings, it has been postulated that long-range correlations in stride interval are regulated by supraspinal control mechanisms. The loss of these correlations would then be due to the deterioration of central processing mechanisms resulting from aging or central nervous system disease (11, 13). Recent efforts to model these phenomena have been based on the assumption that stride interval correlations are governed by central mechanisms (1, 25).

To date, however, the question of how (if at all) changes in the peripheral mechanisms involved in the control and regulation of locomotion affect these long-range correlations in gait...
cycle timing has not been examined. Peripheral sensory feedback mechanisms related to muscle and load receptor reflexes are also thought to play a significant role in regulating gait cycle timing (26). In patients with peripheral sensory neuropathy, these mechanisms would presumably be significantly disrupted. If the loss of the long-range correlations normally present in stride time reflects a general loss of ability to regulate gait cycle timing, then a similar loss should be seen in patients with peripheral sensory neuropathy. If, on the other hand, this loss of long-range correlation structure indicates deterioration specifically of the central control of gait, as has been suggested (10, 13), then changes in peripheral sensation should not affect these long-range correlations. The purpose of this study was therefore to directly test these two competing hypotheses by determining whether significant deterioration of peripheral sensory feedback alters the fractal structure of gait stride timing in the same way as changes in central nervous system structures have been shown to do.

METHODS

The data analyzed in this paper were originally collected as part of a separate study on the variability and local dynamic stability of walking in patients with diabetic neuropathy (5, 6). Briefly, 14 diabetic patients with significant peripheral neuropathy (NP group) and 12 control subjects with no history of diabetes or neuropathic illness (CO group) participated. These groups were matched on marginal distributions (i.e., similar mean and variance in each group) according to age, gender, height, weight, and body mass index (Table 1). Subjects in both groups were overweight (body mass index > 25) or obese (body mass index > 30), based on Centers for Disease Control guidelines. All participants signed institutionally approved consent forms and were screened to ensure that no subject had a history of medications, surgeries, injuries, or illnesses (other than diabetes and neuropathy where appropriate) that might have affected their walking.

NP patients had a significantly reduced passive range of motion at the first metatarsophalangeal joint and at the knee compared with CO patients (P < 0.05) but not at the ankle (P = 0.298). NP subjects also had slightly reduced (P < 0.063) lower extremity muscle strength compared with CO subjects. Further details have been previously reported (5).

Peripheral sensory status was quantified in all subjects at several locations on the bottom of the foot using touch/pressure sensation (TPS) and vibration perception threshold tests. TPS was evaluated to a score of 300 g for “off-scale.” All NP patients had “loss of protective sensation” as determined by the TPS tests (2) and exhibited substantial sensory loss compared with CO subjects (Fig. 1). Vibration perception threshold tests (not shown) yielded similar results (5).

Subjects wore their own comfortable low-rise rubber-soled walking shoes. Three NP patients wore extra-depth shoes, and one NP patient wore custom-molded inserts in their shoes. Each subject was fitted with a custom-made self-contained programmable data collection system that received input from a strain-gauge electrogoniometer (Penny & Giles, Santa Monica, CA) placed across the knee joint of the right leg (5). Each subject walked around an approximately rectangular, 7-m-wide by 200-m-long, open-level indoor walking track at self-selected speeds and was instructed “to walk in as consistent a manner as possible.” Electrogoniometer data were sampled at 200 Hz continuously for 10 min. The total distance walked was measured with a rolling measuring wheel and was used to determine each subject’s average walking speed.

To compute the total number of strides and stride times for each subject, points of maximum knee joint extension just before heel strike were extracted for each successive stride from the continuous knee goniometer data (Fig. 2A). Although these points did not exactly correspond to the actual times of heel contact, the parameters quantified from these data required only that the duration of each complete stride of gait be known. Thus the definition of where exactly each stride began or ended was arbitrary, as long as the same point during each gait cycle was chosen consistently. The point of maximum end-swing knee extension was used because it was easy to extract and because it was relatively close to the actual heel strike times. We then analyzed the time series of stride times obtained from each subject (e.g., Fig. 2B). The number of strides recorded ranged from 445 to 620 for NP patients and from 488 to 668 for CO subjects. The measurement of stride time had a resolution of ±0.005 s.

Average walking speeds were calculated by dividing the total distance each subject walked by 10 min. Average stride times and standard deviations of stride times were calculated for each subject from the individual stride times extracted from the continuous time

Table 1. Subject characteristics for NP patients and CO subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender ratio (men/women)</td>
<td>12/2</td>
<td>10/2</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>61.0 (6.6)</td>
<td>57.6 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, m</td>
<td>1.77 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.76 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>95.2 (14.1)</td>
<td>91.1 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>30.3 (4.4)</td>
<td>29.4 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means (SD). NP, diabetic neuropathy group; CO, control group; BMI, body mass index.
series data. These values were used as independent measures in a single-factor general linear model (GLM) ANOVA for a randomized block design to test for differences between NP and CO groups (5).

To determine whether subjects exhibited long-range correlations, stride interval time series were analyzed using two different methods. The first method used was based on calculating the power spectral density (PSD) distribution for each time series. The entire time series of stride times for each subject was used for these analyses. For each time series, the log of the squared Fourier transform amplitude was calculated for the stride time data for each subject. These values were then used as independent measures in a single-factor GLM ANOVA for a randomized block design to test for differences between NP and CO groups.

To determine statistically whether long-range correlations were different from an uncorrelated process, we used surrogate data (11, 23). For each subject, 20 surrogate data sets were generated by shuffling their original time series in random order. Thus each surrogate represented a time series of temporally independent values with the exact same amplitude distribution as the original time series. DFA analysis was run on each of these surrogates to obtain a value of the scaling exponent, $\alpha$. The mean and SD of $\alpha$ for these 20 surrogates were then calculated and compared with values from the original series. For each subject, if the original $\alpha$ was more than 3 SDs away from the mean of the 20 surrogates, the result was considered significant (11, 23).

RESULTS

Average self-selected walking speeds were significantly slower ($P = 0.008$) for NP patients than for CO subjects (Fig. 3). These findings were similar to those of previous studies in NP patients (4, 14, 16). Average stride times for NP patients were not significantly different from those of CO subjects ($P = 0.110$). However, the difference between NP patients and CO subjects for the SDs of stride times was statistically significant ($P = 0.036$; Fig. 3). This increased variability can also be seen qualitatively in Fig. 2B. The NP patients therefore exhibited greater variability in their gait cycle timing.

Figure 4, left, shows representative log-log PSD plots for one representative NP patient and one representative CO subject. Both plots exhibit a smooth and approximately linear decay in spectral power at increasing frequencies. Similar results were obtained from all subjects tested. The scaling exponents, $\beta$, defined by the slopes of linear fits to these plots, were 0.595 (SD 0.130) for the NP patients and 0.599 (SD 0.252) for the CO subjects (Fig. 4, right). Statistically, these values were nearly identical ($P = 0.954$). Thus the structure of the long-range correlations in the NP patients walking patterns, as quantified by $\beta$, was completely unaffected by the significant deterioration in peripheral sensory feedback that these patients experienced.

Figure 5, left, shows the results of the DFA analysis for the same subjects shown in Fig. 4A. Both plots exhibit a smooth and approximately linear increase in log[$F(n)$] with increasing $n$. All fits in all subjects were highly statistically significant ($r^2 \geq 0.97, P < 0.001$). All subjects in both groups
exhibited long-range correlations in their stride intervals ($\alpha > 0.5$). The results for $\alpha$, as defined from the slopes of linear fits to these curves, were 0.880 (SD 0.070) for the NP patients and 0.879 (SD 0.106) for the CO subjects (Fig. 5, right). These values of $\alpha$ for the NP and CO groups were also statistically identical ($P = 0.974$; Fig. 5, right). Thus values of $\alpha$ were also completely unaffected by the significant peripheral neuropathy experienced by these NP patients.

The surrogate analyses further verified the presence of long-range correlations in all subjects. Figure 6 shows the mean and $\pm 3$ SD of the surrogate data. For all subjects, the average scaling exponents for the surrogates were very close to 0.5 (i.e., white noise). However, the scaling exponents for the original time series for all subjects were significantly different from 0.5 and from an uncorrelated random process (i.e., white noise).

For subjects in both groups, the average values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ agreed with the theoretical prediction that $\alpha \approx (1 + \beta)/2$ (11, 19). In the case of both measures, these findings demonstrate that the normal long-range correlation structure of stride intervals was unaltered by significant peripheral neuropathy. Thus the hypothesis that changes in $\alpha$ and/or $\beta$ reflect deterioration of the mechanisms in general that regulate gait cycle timing was rejected. Instead, the alternative hypothesis that loss of these long-range correlations results primarily from deterioration of the central mechanisms controlling gait cycle timing was supported.

**DISCUSSION**

Peripheral sensory feedback from muscle and load receptor reflexes are thought to play a significant role in regulating gait cycle timing (26). Peripheral sensory feedback is severely disrupted in patients with significant diabetic neuropathy, suggesting that the ability of these patients to appropriately regulate gait cycle timing should also be significantly disrupted. Indeed, neuropathic patients do exhibit increased stride-to-stride variability in gait cycle timing (5). The presence of long-range correlations in stride interval time series has been proposed as an indicator of a healthy nervous system’s capacity to regulate gait cycle timing, independent of variability (11). Statistical measures quantifying these long-range correlations have been shown to be a sensitive indicator of the degeneration...
of central nervous system mechanisms involved in regulating gait cycle timing (10, 13). The purpose of the present study was to determine whether these measures were equally sensitive to the degeneration of the peripheral nervous system.

Despite their significant peripheral sensory loss, patients with even severe diabetic neuropathy maintained normal long-range correlations in their stride intervals. In fact, for both of the dependent measures collected (α and β), the NP and CO groups were statistically identical (P = 0.95). The very large magnitudes of the P values that we obtained strongly suggest that the statistical possibility of type II error was extremely small. Furthermore, although there were no differences in fractal dynamics between the neuropathic and control subjects, differences were seen in other measures. These same NP subjects exhibited increased variability (5) and decreased local dynamic instability compared with the CO subjects (6). These changes indicate that the lack of significant findings in fractal dynamics cannot be attributed to noise, small sample size, or other study-related issues.

One reason neuropathic patients retained long-range correlations may be that diabetic neuropathy has little or no effect on the central nervous system, which is thought to be the primary source of these correlations (1, 10, 13). The findings of the present study generally support this view. Of course, central and peripheral mechanisms are closely coupled and changes in one are likely to affect changes in the other. If so, however, this would also be true for Huntington’s disease, the elderly, and the other central deteriorations that have been studied previously. Somehow, people with peripheral deficits (at least diabetic neuropathic patients) can accommodate and adapt to their peripheral sensory loss, whereas people with central deficits do not.

It should also be noted that the sensory loss in these neuropathic patients was not complete: i.e., they still retained proximal somatosensory inputs, as well as visual and vestibular feedback information. The present findings cannot completely rule out the possibility that long-range stride interval correlations might still be disrupted in patients with more complete...
somatosensory loss and/or significant degradation of multiple sensory systems. However, in either case, the present results clearly demonstrate that distal sensory feedback is not necessary for maintaining the complex structure of stride cycle timing exhibited by healthy humans.

Another possible reason that differences in long-range correlations were not found in these patients could be adaptation effects. Peripheral neuropathy is a slowly advancing disease, and these patients had been living with significant and progressive sensory loss for many years. Their walking patterns reflect not only the direct effects of the neuropathy but also of any adaptive mechanisms that they developed to compensate for their sensory loss (e.g., perhaps by relying more heavily on other sources of sensory information). These adaptations may enable them to maintain normal long-range correlations. However, general aging is also a slow process in which reduced proprioception and muscle weakness may also cause people to adapt their gait patterns in different ways. Likewise, although the rate of progression of the disease does vary, Huntington’s disease results from the gradual degeneration of neurons that progresses over many years. Unlike the peripheral neuropathy subjects examined here, however, elderly individuals and patients with Huntington’s disease experience a loss of long-range correlations in their stride intervals (10). It is more likely then that this loss of correlation structure in this population is due to the deterioration of central nervous system mechanisms that regulate gait cycle timing, rather than a general adaptation effect.

NP and CO groups were matched for age, height, weight, and gender. Ideally, to make completely valid comparisons, all subjects would also have walked barefoot or worn the same shoes. In patients with diabetic neuropathy; however, there is a high risk of injury to the feet when walking barefoot or in unfamiliar shoes. For this reason, all subjects were permitted to wear their own shoes. Only 4 of the 14 NP subjects wore corrective shoes, and these included only relatively minor modifications. Even if we could have tested everyone in the same shoes, it is highly unlikely that our final result would have been different. The results for the 4 NP subjects with modified shoes were no different than those for the 10 NP subjects that wore normal street shoes. Therefore, even when we eliminated the subjects with modified footwear, the differences between the groups were still far from significant. Therefore, these minor differences in footwear did not affect our final results.

Previously, elderly were shown to exhibit a decreased α value (increased randomness) compared with healthy young adults (10). Although both subject groups in the present study were older adults, they did not exhibit a loss of long-range correlations. This could be related to the fact that the subjects used in previous work were significantly older (75.7 (SD 3.2) yr) than the subjects tested here [CO: 57.7 (SD 7.7) yr, NP: 61.0 (SD 6.6) yr].

The presence of long-range (fractal) correlations is believed to reveal something interesting about its behavior in normal and diseased conditions. Analysis of the time series of heartbeats for patients with severe heart disease and elderly showed that these populations could be differentiated from healthy individuals by quantifying the scaling exponent, α (8, 18). The value of α is reduced in the elderly and approaches 0.5 for the diseased population, indicating a loss of long-range correlations. If this scaling exponent could be used as a predictor of heart disease, could it also be used as a predictor of falls? Previous research found that people with higher level gait disorders who had a history of falls had more uncorrelated stride intervals than nonfallers (13). From these results, it could be suggested that the loss of long-range correlations might also indicate an increased risk of falls. The results of the present study do not support this belief; however, because there were no differences in either α or β measures for the NP patients, even though they are well known to be at greater risk of falling (20, 22). It should be noted that, although the patients tested in the present study were considered to be at high risk, none of them had a history of falls in the previous year. Thus, although the loss of these long-range correlations may suggest a history of falls (13), the present findings clearly demonstrate that these measures may give no indication of a patient’s future risk of falls. Therefore, the use of measures of α and/or β to predict fall risk prospectively is strongly cautioned against.

It is still unclear what specific mechanisms are involved in generating and regulating the fractal dynamics of the stride interval. We now know that the fractal dynamics of the stride interval do not change in patients with significant peripheral neuropathy, whereas they do for patients with Huntington’s disease, the elderly, children, and people walking in time with a metronome. Together, these findings do suggest that these long-range correlations are centrally controlled. Further research needs to be done to determine the clinical relevance (if any) of long-range correlations in predicting disease: e.g., can we identify whether a person has a peripheral or central disorder based purely on the α or β measures? Additional research should also focus on identifying those specific mechanisms within the central nervous system (e.g., the cerebellum, basal ganglia, etc.) that give rise to these long-range correlations.
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